

WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF SAFETY AND PROFESSIONAL SERVICES



Wisconsin Department of Safety and Professional Services Access to the Public Records of the Reports of Decisions

This Reports of Decisions document was retrieved from the Wisconsin Department of Safety and Professional Services website. These records are open to public view under Wisconsin's Open Records law, sections 19.31-19.39 Wisconsin Statutes.

Please read this agreement prior to viewing the Decision:

- The Reports of Decisions is designed to contain copies of all orders issued by credentialing authorities within the Department of Safety and Professional Services from November, 1998 to the present. In addition, many but not all orders for the time period between 1977 and November, 1998 are posted. Not all orders issued by a credentialing authority constitute a formal disciplinary action.
- Reports of Decisions contains information as it exists at a specific point in time in the Department of Safety and Professional Services data base. Because this data base changes constantly, the Department is not responsible for subsequent entries that update, correct or delete data. The Department is not responsible for notifying prior requesters of updates, modifications, corrections or deletions. All users have the responsibility to determine whether information obtained from this site is still accurate, current and complete.
- There may be discrepancies between the online copies and the original document. Original documents should be consulted as the definitive representation of the order's content. Copies of original orders may be obtained by mailing requests to the Department of Safety and Professional Services, PO Box 8935, Madison, WI 53708-8935. The Department charges copying fees. *All requests must cite the case number, the date of the order, and respondent's name* as it appears on the order.
- Reported decisions may have an appeal pending, and discipline may be stayed during the appeal. Information about the current status of a credential issued by the Department of Safety and Professional Services is shown on the Department's Web Site under "License Lookup."

The status of an appeal may be found on court access websites at:

<http://ccap.courts.state.wi.us/InternetCourtAccess> and <http://www.courts.state.wi.us/wscca>

- Records not open to public inspection by statute are not contained on this website.

By viewing this document, you have read the above and agree to the use of the Reports of Decisions subject to the above terms, and that you understand the limitations of this on-line database.

Correcting information on the DSPS website: An individual who believes that information on the website is inaccurate may contact DSPS@wisconsin.gov



**Before The
State Of Wisconsin
DEPARTMENT OF SAFETY AND PROFESSIONAL SERVICES**

In the Matter of a Petition for an Administrative
Injunction Involving Francisco Alfredo Pacheco Campos,
Respondent

FINAL DECISION AND ORDER

Order No. **0004790**

Division of Legal Services and Compliance Case No. 15 UNL 051

The State of Wisconsin, Department of Safety and Professional Services, having considered the above-captioned matter and having reviewed the record and the Proposed Decision of the Administrative Law Judge, make the following:

ORDER

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby ordered that the Proposed Decision annexed hereto, filed by the Administrative Law Judge, shall be and hereby is made and ordered the Final Decision of the State of Wisconsin, Department of Safety and Professional Services.

The rights of a party aggrieved by this Decision to petition the department for rehearing and the petition for judicial review are set forth on the attached "Notice of Appeal Information."

Dated at Madison, Wisconsin on the 30th day of June, 2016.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael J. Berndt", written over a horizontal line.

Michael J. Berndt
Chief Legal Counsel
Department of Safety and Professional Services



**Before The
State Of Wisconsin
DIVISION OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS**

In the Matter of a Petition for an Administrative Injunction
Involving Francisco Alfredo Pacheco Campos, Respondent

DHA Case No. SPS-16-0034
DLSC Case No. 15 UNL 051

PROPOSED DECISION AND ORDER

The parties to this proceeding for purposes of Wis. Stat §§ 227.47(1) and 227.53 are:

Francisco Alfredo Pacheco Campos
2021 Decker Avenue #315
Green Bay, WI 54304

Wisconsin Department of Safety and Professional Services
P.O. Box 8366
Madison, WI 53708-8366

Department of Safety and Professional Services, Division of Legal Services and
Compliance, by

Attorney Renee M. Parton
Department of Safety and Professional Services
Division of Legal Services and Compliance
P.O. Box 7190
Madison, WI 53707-7190

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

These proceedings were initiated when the Department of Safety and Professional Services (Department), Division of Legal Services and Compliance (Division), filed and served a formal Notice of Hearing and Petition for an Administrative Injunction against Respondent Francisco Alfredo Pacheco Campos (Respondent). The Petition for an Administrative Injunction alleged Respondent engaged in the practice of barbering and cosmetology without a credential in violation of Wis. Stat. §§ 454.22(1) and 454.04(1)(a).

The Division served Respondent on April 11, 2016, by sending a copy of the Notice of Hearing and Petition for an Administrative Injunction to his last known address, which is 2021 Decker Avenue #315, Green Bay, Wisconsin 54304, and to his last known business

address, which is 611 George Street, Green Bay, Wisconsin 54302. Respondent failed to file an Answer to the Petition for an Administrative Injunction within 20 days from the date of service, as required by Wis. Admin. Code § SPS 3.08(4), and failed to appear at the telephone prehearing conference held before the Division of Hearings and Appeals (DHA) on May 13, 2016.

At the May 13, 2016 telephone prehearing conference, the Division moved for default pursuant to Wis. Admin. Code § SPS 3.13 and Wis. Admin. Code § HA 1.07(3)(c). In light of Respondent's failure to file an Answer to the Petition for an Administrative Injunction and failure to appear for the prehearing conference, the undersigned Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) found Respondent to be in default and issued a Notice of Default and Order on May 13, 2016. Consistent with this notice, the Division filed a recommended proposed decision and order by the deadline of May 25, 2016.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Facts Related to the Alleged Violations

Findings of Fact 1-7 are taken from the Division's Petition for an Administrative Injunction against Respondent filed in this matter.

1. Respondent resides at 2021 Decker Avenue #315, Green Bay, Wisconsin 54304.
2. Respondent's business address is 611 George Street, Green Bay, Wisconsin.
3. Respondent is not and has never been licensed in the State of Wisconsin to practice barbering or cosmetology.
4. On July 23, 2015, a Department investigator conducted an inspection of Executive Cuts, an unlicensed barbering establishment located at 611 George Street, Green Bay, Wisconsin.
5. During the July 23, 2015 inspection, the Department investigator observed Respondent cutting hair and receiving payment for his services.
6. On January 13, 2016, Department investigators conducted a follow-up inspection of Executive Cuts located at 611 George Street, Green Bay, Wisconsin.
7. During the January 13, 2016 inspection, Department investigators observed Respondent cutting hair and receiving payment for his services.

Facts Related to Default

8. The Petition for an Administrative Injunction and Notice of Hearing in this matter were served on Respondent on April 11, 2016 at his last known home and business addresses, by both certified and regular mail consistent with Wis. Admin. Code § SPS 3.07. The Notice of Hearing advised Respondent: "If you do not provide a proper Answer within 20 days or do not

appear for the hearing, you will be found to be in default and a special order may be entered against you enjoining you from the continuation of a practice or use of a title. If a special order is issued as a result of this proceeding and thereafter you violate the special order, you may be required to forfeit not more than \$10,000 for each offense. Each day of continued violation constitutes a separate offense.”

9. Respondent failed to file an Answer as required by Wis. Admin. Code § SPS 3.08(4).

10. Following expiration of the 20-day time period to file an Answer, the ALJ scheduled a telephone prehearing conference for May 13, 2016. Notice of this prehearing conference was sent to both parties, with instructions that Respondent provide to the ALJ a telephone number at which he could be reached no later than May 11, 2016. The Notice instructed Respondent: “The Respondent’s failure to appear at a scheduled conference or hearing may result in default judgment being entered against the Respondent.” On May 6, 2016, the Notice sent to Respondent was returned to the DHA by the U.S. Postal Service, with no forwarding address.

11. Respondent failed to provide a telephone number at which he could be reached for the May 13, 2016 prehearing conference. At the prehearing conference, the Division provided a telephone number for Respondent, at which the ALJ attempted to contact Respondent but was informed she had the wrong number.

12. Based on the Respondent’s failure to Answer the Petition for an Administrative Injunction and failure to appear at the May 13, 2016 prehearing conference in this matter, the Division moved for default pursuant to Wis. Admin. Code § SPS 3.13 and Wis. Admin. Code § HA 1.07(3)(c).

13. On May 13, 2016, the ALJ issued a Notice of Default and Order, requiring the Division to file and serve no later than May 25, 2016, a recommended proposed decision and order. On May 20, 2016, the Notice sent to Respondent was returned to DHA by the U.S. Postal Service, with no forwarding address.

14. The Division timely filed its recommended proposed decision and order.

15. Respondent did not file a response to the Notice of Default or to the Division’s recommended proposed decision and order.

Facts Related to Licensure

Findings of Fact 16-21 are taken from the undisputed Affidavit of Susan Theisen filed by the Division in this matter.

16. On May 16, 2016, the Records Management Supervisor of the Department’s Division of Professional Credential Processing performed a search of Department credentialing records for the names “Francisco Alfredo,” “Francisco Pacheco,” and “Francisco Campos.” She also performed a general search of the names “Alfredo,” “Pacheco,” and “Campos” and reviewed the list of all credential holders with these names.

17. According to the Records Management Supervisor's May 16, 2016 records search, on May 16, 2016, Francisco Alfredo Pacheco Campos was not and never had been any of the following:

- a. a licensed barber,
- b. a licensed barbering manager,
- c. an apprentice in barbering,
- d. a temporary barbering permit holder,
- e. a licensed cosmetologist,
- f. a licensed cosmetology manager,
- g. an apprentice in cosmetology, or
- h. a temporary cosmetology permit holder.

18. The Records Management Supervisor's May 16, 2016 search of credentialing records, described in paragraph 16 above, would have identified any such credential, if one existed.

19. On May 17, 2016, the Records Management Supervisor also performed a search of Department credentialing records for any credential associated with the following location: 611 George Street, Green Bay, Wisconsin.

20. According to the results of the Records Management Supervisor's May 17, 2016 records search, on May 17, 2016, there was not and never had been a licensed school of barbering, cosmetology, aesthetics, electrology or manicuring at this location. On May 17, 2016, there also was not and never had been a licensed specialty school of aesthetics, electrology or manicuring at this location.

21. The Records Management Supervisor's May 17, 2016 search of credentialing records, described in paragraph 19 above, would have identified any such credential, as Department records associate the credential with its location.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Default

As stated in the May 13, 2016 Notice of Default and Order, Respondent is in default for failing to file an Answer to the Petition for an Administrative Injunction and failing to appear for

the telephone prehearing conference. As a result, an order may be entered against him on the basis of the Petition and other evidence. *See* Wis. Admin. Code § SPS 3.13; Wis. Admin. Code § HA 1.07(3)(b) and (c).

Violation of Wis. Stat. §§ 454.22(1) and 454.04(1)(a):

The Division alleges Respondent has engaged in the practice of barbering and cosmetology without a credential in violation of Wis. Stat. §§ 454.22(1) and 454.04(1)(a). The undisputed facts of this case show that Respondent was observed cutting hair without a credential for compensation on two separate occasions.

Wisconsin Stat. § 454.22(1) prohibits the unlicensed practice of barbering. It states:

No person may engage in barbering unless the person is one of the following: (a) A licensed barber. (b) A licensed barbering manager. (c) An apprentice in barbering under s. 454.26. (d) A student in a barbering course of instruction. (e) A person who holds a temporary permit to practice barbering granted by the department under s. 454.23(7). (f) A licensed cosmetologist. (g) A licensed cosmetology manager. (h) An apprentice in cosmetology under s. 454.10. (i) A student in a cosmetology course of instruction. (j) A person who holds a temporary permit to practice cosmetology granted by the cosmetology examining board under s. 454.06(10).

Wisconsin Stat. § 454.04(1)(a) prohibits the unlicensed practice of cosmetology. It states, subject to exceptions which do not apply in this case, that:

. . . no person may engage in cosmetology unless the person has received training in the areas of service provided and holds a current cosmetologist license or cosmetology manager license issued by the examining board that is not an inactive license or temporary permit issued by the examining board or is an apprentice under s. 454.10 or a student in a cosmetology course of instruction.

The act of cutting hair constitutes the practice of both barbering and cosmetology. Pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 454.20(2), barbering means, among other things, cutting hair for compensation, and Wis. Stat. § 454.01(7m)(a) states that cosmetology means, among other things, performing barbering for compensation.

The undisputed facts of this case show that Department investigators observed Respondent cutting hair and receiving payment for his services at an unlicensed barbering establishment named Executive Cuts during inspections on July 23, 2015 and January 13, 2016. Department records show that as of May 16, 2016, Respondent was not and has never been a licensed barber, barbering manager, cosmetologist, or cosmetology manager. He also has never held a temporary barbering or cosmetology permit and has never been an apprentice in barbering or cosmetology. Department records also show that Respondent was not working as a student, as

Wis. Stat. § 454.01(15) defines a student as someone who is learning at a licensed school, specialty school or exempted school. Department records show that as of May 17, 2016, the location where Department investigators observed Respondent cutting hair was not and has never been any of the schools delineated in Wis. Stat. § 454.01(15).

Therefore, Respondent engaged in the unauthorized and unlicensed practice of barbering and cosmetology in violation of Wis. Stat. §§ 454.22(1) and 454.04(1)(a).

Administrative Injunction

The Department requests the ALJ issue a special order enjoining Respondent from the practice of barbering and/or cosmetology without a credential. Pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 440.21(2): "If, after holding a public hearing, the department determines that a person has engaged in a practice or used a title without a credential required under chs. 440 to 480, the department may issue a special order enjoining the person from the continuation of the practice or use of the title."

In light of the facts of this case, a special order enjoining Respondent from the practice of barbering and/or cosmetology without a credential is warranted.


ORDER

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent Francisco Alfredo Pacheco Campos is hereby ENJOINED from the continuation of the practice of barbering and/or cosmetology or use of the title, unless and until Respondent is properly credentialed or is an apprentice or a student in barbering or cosmetology as required by Wis. Stat. §§ 454.04(1)(a) and 454.22(1), effective on the date the final decision and order is signed by the Department.

Violation of this special order may result in a forfeiture of up to \$10,000 for each day of violation. *See* Wis. Stat. § 440.21(4)(a). If the Department determines that there is probable cause to believe that Respondent has violated any terms of this Administrative Injunction, the Department may refer the violations covered by this decision and order to any appropriate prosecutorial unit for review for possible criminal charges.

Dated at Madison, Wisconsin on May 24, 2016.

STATE OF WISCONSIN
DIVISION OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS
5005 University Avenue, Suite 201
Madison, Wisconsin 53705
Tel. (608) 266-7709
Fax (608) 264-9885

By: 
Jennifer E. Nashold
Administrative Law Judge