### WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF SAFETY AND PROFESSIONAL SERVICES



#### Wisconsin Department of Safety and Professional Services Access to the Public Records of the Reports of Decisions

This Reports of Decisions document was retrieved from the Wisconsin Department of Safety and Professional Services website. These records are open to public view under Wisconsin's Open Records law, sections 19.31-19.39 Wisconsin Statutes.

#### Please read this agreement prior to viewing the Decision:

- The Reports of Decisions is designed to contain copies of all orders issued by credentialing authorities within the Department of Safety and Professional Services from November, 1998 to the present. In addition, many but not all orders for the time period between 1977 and November, 1998 are posted. Not all orders issued by a credentialing authority constitute a formal disciplinary action.
- Reports of Decisions contains information as it exists at a specific point in time in the Department of Safety and Professional Services data base. Because this data base changes constantly, the Department is not responsible for subsequent entries that update, correct or delete data. The Department is not responsible for notifying prior requesters of updates, modifications, corrections or deletions. All users have the responsibility to determine whether information obtained from this site is still accurate, current and complete.
- There may be discrepancies between the online copies and the original document. Original documents should be consulted as the definitive representation of the order's content. Copies of original orders may be obtained by mailing requests to the Department of Safety and Professional Services, PO Box 8935, Madison, WI 53708-8935. The Department charges copying fees. *All requests must cite the case number, the date of the order, and respondent's name* as it appears on the order.
- Reported decisions may have an appeal pending, and discipline may be stayed during the appeal. Information about the current status of a credential issued by the Department of Safety and Professional Services is shown on the Department's Web Site under "License Lookup."

The status of an appeal may be found on court access websites at: http://ccap.courts.state.wi.us/InternetCourtAccess and http://www.courts.state.wi.us/wscca

•Records not open to public inspection by statute are not contained on this website.

By viewing this document, you have read the above and agree to the use of the Reports of Decisions subject to the above terms, and that you understand the limitations of this on-line database.

**Correcting information on the DSPS website:** An individual who believes that information on the website is inaccurate may contact DSPS@wisconsin.gov



# State Of Wisconsin DEPARTMENT OF SAFETY AND PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

In the Matter of a Petition for an Administrative Injunction Involving FREDERICK G. KRIEMELMEYER, Respondent

FINAL DECISION AND ORDER

Order No.

0003610

#### Division of Legal Services and Compliance Case No. 14 UNL 060

The State of Wisconsin, Department of Safety and Professional Services, having considered the above-captioned matter and having reviewed the record and the Proposed Decision of the Administrative Law Judge, make the following:

#### **ORDER**

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby ordered that the Proposed Decision annexed hereto, filed by the Administrative Law Judge, shall be and hereby is made and ordered the Final Decision of the State of Wisconsin, Department of Safety and Professional Services.

The rights of a party aggrieved by this Decision to petition the department for rehearing and the petition for judicial review are set forth on the attached "Notice of Appeal Information."

Dated at Madison, Wisconsin on the 19th day of December, 2014.

Mighael J. Berndt Chief Legal Counsel

Department of Safety and Professional Services



## State Of Wisconsin DIVISION OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS

In the Matter of a Petition for an Administrative Injunction Involving FREDERICK G. KRIEMELMEYER, Respondent

PROPOSED DECISION AND ORDER DHA Case No. SPS-14-0069

0003610

#### Division of Legal Services and Compliance Case No. 14 UNL 060

The parties to this proceeding for purposes of Wis. Stat. §§ 227.47(1) and 227.53 are:

Frederick G. Kriemelmeyer 319 Main Street, Suite 400 La Crosse, WI 54601

Department of Safety and Professional Services, Division of Legal Services and Compliance, by

Attorney Al Rohmeyer Department of Safety and Professional Services Division of Legal Services and Compliance P. O. Box 7190 Madison, WI 53707-7190

Michael J. Berndt Chief Legal Counsel Department of Safety and Professional Services P.O. Box 8368 Madison, WI 53708-8368

#### **PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

These proceedings were initiated when the Department of Safety and Professional Services, Division of Legal Services and Compliance (Division), filed a Petition for an Administrative Injunction against Respondent Frederick G. Kriemelmeyer alleging that Respondent engaged in the practice of dentistry without a credential in violation of Wis. Stat. § 447.03(1). The Division served Respondent on September 9, 2014, by sending a copy of the Notice of Hearing and Petition for an Administrative Injunction to his last known address. Following the 20-day period in which to file an Answer to the Complaint, the undersigned Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) held a prehearing conference on October 13, 2014, at which Respondent refused to appear.

The Division moved for default pursuant to Wis. Admin. Code § SPS 3.13 and Wis. Admin. Code § HA 1.07(3)(c), on grounds that Respondent had failed to file an Answer and failed to appear at the prehearing conference. The ALJ found Respondent to be in default, and on October 13, 2014, issued a Notice of Default against Respondent, requiring the Division to file a recommended proposed decision no later than October 23, 2014. The Division filed its recommended proposed decision on October 23, 2014.

A companion case involving disciplinary proceedings against Respondent is being issued in *In the Matter of Disciplinary Proceedings Against Frederick G. Kriemelmeyer, DDS*, DHA Case No. SPS-14-0068.

#### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

#### Facts Related to Unlicensed Practice

Findings of Fact 1-3 are taken from the Division's Petition for an Administrative Injunction against Respondent.<sup>1</sup>

- 1. Respondent Frederick G. Kriemelmeyer was licensed in the State of Wisconsin to practice dentistry, license number 5110378-15. This license was first issued on July 19, 1974 and expired on October 1, 2013.
- 2. Respondent's most recent address on file with the Wisconsin Department of Safety and Professional Services (Department) is 319 Main Street, Suite 400, La Crosse, Wisconsin 54601.
- 3. Respondent has engaged in the practice of dentistry without a credential in violation of Wis. Stat. § 447.03(1).

Findings of Fact 4-6 are taken from Finding of Fact No. 17 in the companion case, *In the Matter of Disciplinary Proceedings Against Frederick G. Kriemelmeyer*, *DDS*, DHA Case No. SPS-14-0068, of which official notice is taken.

- 4. On or about December 27, 2013, January 2, 2014, and March 17, 2014, Respondent sought reimbursement from an insurance company for dental services he rendered on December 23, 2013 and March 17, 2014.
- 5. Respondent's name, signature, and license number appears on the claim forms as evidence that he was authorized to practice dentistry in the State of Wisconsin.
- 6. As of August 21, 2014, Respondent maintained an active website, http://www.frederickkriemelmeyer.com, in which he advertises that he is available to perform dental services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Division's recommended proposed decision contains proposed facts which the Division claims are set forth in its Petition, but which do not actually appear there.

#### Facts Related to Default

- 7. On September 9, 2014, the Notice of Hearing and Petition for Administrative Injunction were served on Respondent by both certified and regular mail, consistent with Wis. Admin. Code § SPS 3.07. The Notice of Hearing informed Respondent that he was required to file an Answer to the Petition within 20 days, failing which he would be found to be in default, and a special order could be entered against him enjoining him from the continuation of practice or use of a title. The Notice of Hearing also informed Respondent that if a special order were entered and he violated the order, he could be required to forfeit not more than \$10,000 for each offense.
- 8. On September 29, 2014, the ALJ issued a Notice of Telephone Prehearing Conference setting an October 13, 2014 prehearing telephone conference. The Notice instructed Respondent to provide the ALJ with a telephone number at which he could be reached for the prehearing conference no later than October 8, 2014. The Notice informed Respondent that his failure to appear for the prehearing conference could result in default judgment being entered against him. Respondent failed to provide a telephone number, either before or after the due date.
- 9. On October 3 and 6, 2014, respectively, Respondent filed identical documents, presumably, one for each pending case against him, entitled, "Courtesy Notice," which, although largely incomprehensible, generally objected to the ALJ's authority over this matter.
- 10. At the prehearing conference, the Division provided a telephone number for Respondent, whereby the ALJ contacted him at what appeared to be his office. In his conversation with the ALJ, Respondent:
  - a. indicated that he did not consent to these proceedings and that his October 3 and October 6, 2014 filings represented all that he wished to say in these matters;
  - b. asked the ALJ to inform him which "bonding agency" she had so that the bonding agency could "indemnify" Respondent for these proceedings;
  - c. told the ALJ he would not talk to anyone else; and
  - d. refused to speak further about the case, despite the ALJ's admonition that if he failed to participate in the proceedings, a default judgment would be entered.
- 11. The ALJ reconvened the prehearing conference with the Division's attorney as the sole party participant.
- 12. The Division moved for default pursuant to Wis. Admin. Code § SPS 3.13 and Wis. Admin. Code § HA 1.07(3)(c) based on Respondent's failure to file an Answer and failure to appear at the prehearing conference.
  - 13. The ALJ granted the Division's motion.

14. On October 13, 2014, the ALJ issued a Notice of Default and ordered the Division to file no later than October 23, 2014 its recommended proposed decision and order in this matter. On October 20 and October 22, 2014, Respondent filed identical documents entitled, "Declaration of Rejection Without Dishonor By I Am," which were again nonsensical and objected to the proceedings against him. On October 23, 2014, the Division filed its proposed decision and order.

#### DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

#### **Default**

As stated in the October 13, 2014 Notice of Default and Order, Respondent is in default for failure to appear at the October 13, 2014 prehearing conference. Based on the Division's representation at the conference that Respondent had also failed to file an Answer the Petition, the Notice further concluded that Respondent was in default for failure to file an Answer. However, in the Division's October 23, 2014 submissions, the Division clarifies that Respondent filed various documents in response to the Petition but that these documents did not meet the requirements established in Wis. Admin. Code § SPS 3.08. The Division asserts that it received a document on September 19, 2014, captioned, "Declaration of Rejection Without Dishonor By I Am," and another on September 25, 2014, captioned, "Courtesy Notice." The Division has excerpted portions of the documents in its recommended proposed decision but has not provided the ALJ with copies of these documents. From the excerpted portions, it appears that the documents generally object to the authority of the Department or Board over Respondent.

Because copies of these documents have not been provided for my review, I rescind the portion of the Notice of Default and Order which finds Respondent to be in default on grounds of failure to file an Answer and instead determine him to be in default based only on his failure to appear at the prehearing conference. As a result of the resulting default from his failure to appear, an order may be entered against him on the basis of the Petition. See Wis. Admin. Code § 3.13; Wis. Admin. Code § HA 1.07(3).

#### Injunction

Wisconsin Stat. § 440.21(2) provides that if, after holding a public hearing,<sup>2</sup> the Department determines that a person has engaged in a practice or used a title without a credential required under Wis. Stat. chs. 440 to 480, the Department may issue a special order enjoining the person from the continuation of the practice or use of the title.

Pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 447.03(1)(a), no person may practice or offer to practice dentistry unless that person is licensed to practice dentistry. Wisconsin Stat. § 447.01(8)(am) defines

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Respondent waived his right to a hearing by refusing to appear at the prehearing conference at which such a hearing could have been scheduled.

dentistry as "the examination, evaluation, diagnosis, prevention, or treatment, including surgery, of diseases, disorders, or conditions of the human oral cavity or its adjacent or associated tissues and structures, or of the maxillofacial area, and their impact on the human body." Respondent's license to practice dentistry expired on October 1, 2013. Nevertheless, he continued to engage in the practice of dentistry after that date, in violation of Wis. Stat. § 447.03(1)(a).<sup>3</sup>

Accordingly, Respondent should be enjoined from engaging in the unlicensed practice of dentistry and from holding himself out as one authorized to practice dentistry. Enjoining Respondent from practicing dentistry without a credential is necessary to protect the health, safety or welfare of the public from someone who is unlicensed to practice and who evidently believes he is not subject to the requirements governing his profession.

#### **ORDER**

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that unless and until Respondent Frederick G. Kriemelmeyer is properly licensed by the Wisconsin Dentistry Examining Board, he is enjoined and prohibited from the practice of dentistry in the State of Wisconsin and from holding himself out as one so authorized. Violation of this special order may result in a forfeiture of up to \$10,000 for each day of violation. See Wis. Stat. § 440.21(4)(a).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that unless and until Respondent is properly licensed by the Wisconsin Dentistry Examining Board, Respondent is enjoined and prohibited from informing the public directly or indirectly in any language, orally, in writing or printing, or by drawings, demonstrations, signs, pictures or other means that he is authorized to perform or will attempt to perform dental services of any kind. Violation of this special order may result in a forfeiture of up to \$10,000 for each day of violation. See Wis. Stat. § 440.21(4)(a).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the Department determines that there is probable cause to believe that Respondent has violated any terms of this administrative injunction, the Department may refer the violations covered by this decision and order to any appropriate prosecutorial unit for review for possible criminal charges.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the terms of this Order are effective the date the final decision and order is signed by the Department.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Division alleges in its recommended proposed decision and order that Respondent also violated Wis. Stat. § 447.03(1)(c) which prohibits an unlicensed person from "[i]nform[ing] the public directly or indirectly in any language, orally, in writing or printing, or by drawings, demonstrations, signs, pictures or other means that he or she can perform or will attempt to perform dental services of any kind." This allegation was not contained in the Petition in this matter and will not be considered for purposes of this proceeding, although it is addressed in the companion case.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the above-captioned matter is closed as to Respondent Frederick G. Kriemelmeyer.

Dated at Madison, Wisconsin on this 5th day of November, 2014.

STATE OF WISCONSIN DIVISION OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS 5005 University Avenue, Suite 201 Madison, Wisconsin 53705 Tel. (608) 266-7709

Fax (608) 264-9885

Jennifer E. Nashold

Administrative Law Judge