WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION & LICENSING



Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing Access to the Public Records of the Reports of Decisions

This Reports of Decisions document was retrieved from the Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing website. These records are open to public view under Wisconsin's Open Records law, sections 19.31-19.39 Wisconsin Statutes.

Please read this agreement prior to viewing the Decision:

- The Reports of Decisions is designed to contain copies of all orders issued by credentialing authorities within the Department of Regulation and Licensing from November, 1998 to the present. In addition, many but not all orders for the time period between 1977 and November, 1998 are posted. Not all orders issued by a credentialing authority constitute a formal disciplinary action.
- Reports of Decisions contains information as it exists at a specific point in time in the
 Department of Regulation and Licensing data base. Because this data base changes
 constantly, the Department is not responsible for subsequent entries that update, correct or
 delete data. The Department is not responsible for notifying prior requesters of updates,
 modifications, corrections or deletions. All users have the responsibility to determine whether
 information obtained from this site is still accurate, current and complete.
- There may be discrepancies between the online copies and the original document. Original documents should be consulted as the definitive representation of the order's content. Copies of original orders may be obtained by mailing requests to the Department of Regulation and Licensing, PO Box 8935, Madison, WI 53708-8935. The Department charges copying fees. All requests must cite the case number, the date of the order, and respondent's name as it appears on the order.
- Reported decisions may have an appeal pending, and discipline may be stayed during the
 appeal. Information about the current status of a credential issued by the Department of
 Regulation and Licensing is shown on the Department's Web Site under "License Lookup."
 The status of an appeal may be found on court access websites at:
 http://ccap.courts.state.wi.us/InternetCourtAccess and http://www.courts.state.wi.us/licenses.
- Records not open to public inspection by statute are not contained on this website.

By viewing this document, you have read the above and agree to the use of the Reports of Decisions subject to the above terms, and that you understand the limitations of this on-line database.

Correcting information on the DRL website: An individual who believes that information on the website is inaccurate may contact the webmaster at web@drl.state.wi.gov

STATE OF WISCONSIN BEFORE THE BOARD OF NURSING

IN THE MATTER OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST

ORDER FIXING COSTS Order0000374 07NUR406, 07NUR451

YASMIN PAVE, R.N., RESPONDENT.

On September 2, 2010, the Board of Nursing filed its Final Decision and Order in the above-captioned matter by which the board ordered that pursuant to sec. 440.22, Wis. Stats., the costs of this proceeding be assessed against respondent. Pursuant to sec. RL 2.18 (4), Wis. Admin. Code, on or about September 14, 2010, the Board of Nursing received the Affidavits of Costs in the amount of \$1,251.12, filed by Attorney Jeanette Lytle. On or about September 8, 2010, the Board of Nursing received the Affidavit of Costs of the Division of Hearings and Appeals in the amount of \$189.10, filed by Administrative Law Judge Amanda Tollefsen.

The Board of Nursing considered the following factors in determining whether to impose full costs in this matter against the respondent:

- 1) The number of counts, charged, contested, and proven.
- 2) The nature and seriousness of the misconduct.
- 3) The level of discipline sought by the parties.
- 4) The respondent's cooperation with the disciplinary process.
- 5) Prior discipline, if any.
- 6) The fact that the Department of Regulation and Licensing is a "program revenue" agency, whose operating costs are funded by the revenue received from licensees and the fairness of imposing the costs disciplining a few members of the profession on the vast majority of the licensees who have not engaged in misconduct.

Respondent, by the nature of being in default, did not present any evidence regarding any of the above factors that would mitigate the imposition of full costs in this proceeding. All of the counts charged were deemed admitted and proven to the requisite standard of proof. There was no argument that any of the factual findings were investigated and litigated unnecessarily. The alleged misconduct is serious and the level of discipline, the revocation of licensure, is a significant disciplinary outcome. The respondent failed to cooperate with the disciplinary process. Finally, the department is a revenue program agency; therefore it would be fundamentally unfair to assess the costs of this disciplinary action upon the fellow members of the profession who have not engaged in such conduct. Based upon the foregoing analysis, the Board of Nursing considered the Affidavits of Costs on November 4, 2010, and orders as follows:

ORDER

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that pursuant to sec. 440.22, Wis. Stats., the costs of this proceeding in the amount of \$1,440.22, set forth in the Affidavits of Costs of Attorney Jeanette Lytle and Administrative Law Judge Amanda Tollefsen, which are attached hereto and made a part hereof, are hereby assessed against respondent, and shall be payable by respondent to the Department of Regulation and Licensing. Failure of respondent to make payment on or before December 6, 2010, shall constitute a violation of the Order unless respondent petitions for and the board grants a different deadline. Under sec. 440.22 (3), Wis. Stats., the

Department of Regulation and Licensing may not restore, renew or otherwise issue any credential to the respondent until respondent has made payment to the department in the full amount assessed.

Payment shall be made payable to the Wisconsin Department of Regulation and Licensing and mailed to:

Department Monitor
Division of Enforcement
Department of Regulation and Licensing
P.O. Box 8935
Madison, WI 53708-8935

Dated this 4th day of November, 2010.

BOARD OF NURSING

Member of the Board

STATE OF WISCONSIN BEFORE THE BOARD OF NURSING

IN THE MATTER OF THE DISCIPLINARY **PROCEEDINGS AGAINST**

) ss.

)

AFFIDAVIT OF COSTS

YASMIN PAVE

07 NUR 451

RESPONDENT

STATE OF WISCONSIN

COUNTY OF DANE

Being duly on affirmation, the undersigned employee of the Department of Regulation and Licensing, upon information and belief, deposes and states as follows:

That set out below are the costs of the proceeding accrued to the Division of Enforcement in this matter, based upon Division of Enforcement records compiled in the regular course of agency business in the above-captioned matter.

				HOURS	MINUTES
CA response, revise summ, files to	JCL			0	15
Status check, CCAP, disc w/JCL, pr	rint to file, org file, email to	o Sullivan re; revoke?		2	0
review, org file, scan info, call to Fra contact R by phone.	ank Pave re; divorce, CCA	Ap, email to R, Ltr to R. Atts t	0	3	0
STIGATOR EXPENSE	5 HOURS AND	15 MINUTES		HOURS	MINUTES
heir average salary and benefits at	the Division of Enforcem	nent)	TOTALS	5	15
	Status check, CCAP, disc w/JCL, proview, org file, scan info, call to Fracontact R by phone. STIGATOR EXPENSE	review, org file, scan info, call to Frank Pave re; divorce, CCA contact R by phone. STIGATOR EXPENSE 5 HOURS AND	Status check, CCAP, disc w/JCL, print to file, org file, email to Sullivan re; revoke? review, org file, scan info, call to Frank Pave re; divorce, CCAp, email to R, Ltr to R. Atts t contact R by phone.	Status check, CCAP, disc w/JCL, print to file, org file, email to Sullivan re; revoke? review, org file, scan info, call to Frank Pave re; divorce, CCAp, email to R, Ltr to R. Atts to contact R by phone. STIGATOR EXPENSE 5 HOURS AND 15 MINUTES peir average salary and benefits at the Division of Enforcement)	CA response, revise summ, files to JCL Status check, CCAP, disc w/JCL, print to file, org file, email to Sullivan re; revoke? 2 review, org file, scan info, call to Frank Pave re; divorce, CCAp, email to R, Ltr to R. Atts to 3 contact R by phone. STIGATOR EXPENSE 5 HOURS AND 15 MINUTES HOURS HOURS

ΔΤ	\$29.00	PFR	HOUR	= \$1	52.25
\sim 1	343.00	FLIX	HOOK	- 31	32.23

DATE	en (NVESTIGA)	IUK EAPENS	E Dawn Kalles 👯 🗀		HOURS	MINUTES
10/14/2008	ICE status check, letter to Respondent (time div	ided w/ 06 ME	D 406)		10003	0
10/14/2008	HR & 3 patient records requested	ided W/ OO MIL	.D 400)		1	30
06/05/2008	review for status				Ö	10
03/05/2008	recs rec'd				Ö	5
02/20/2008	phone call w/ Columbia re: recs				0	5
02/15/2008	2 phone calls w/ Columbia re: records				0	10
02/14/2008	review file; begin rec requests				0	30
TOTAL INVE	STIGATOR EXPENSE 3 HO	URS AND	30 MINUTES		HOURS	MINUTES
(Based on tl	eir average salary and benefits at the Division	of Enforceme	ent)	TOTALS	3	30
AT \$29.00 F	ER HOUR = \$101.50					

DATE			COST
12/11/2008	copy charge - Columbia Hospital (McMorris)		\$27.12
12/11/2008	copy charge - Columbia Hospital (Powers)		\$88.92
12/11/2008	copy charge - Columbia Hospital (Grosgalves)		\$46.82
12/11/2008	copy charge - Columbia Hospital (Bynum)		\$81.42
	PARALEGAL EXPENSE — Jan Johnson		
DATE		HOURS	MINUTES
04/13/2010	Receive ret'd cert mail card; make copy; send orig doc's (cmp/hn/aff serv/cert mail cards) to	0	5
	DHA; file (1/2)		
04/08/2010	File to & disc w/ JL (1/2)	0	5
04/07/2010	Draft & process aff serv; receive response from DHA; FM; organize file (1/2)	0	15
04/06/2010	Receive signed doc's; edit hn; process-file/serve cmp (deliver to post office); create pleadings	0	20

03/31/2010 Review e-mail from atty Lytle (JL) re direction; ck ICE; review/edit cmp & e-mail to JL; draft hearing notice (hn) - to JL; prep for mailing (1/2)

30

TOTAL PARALEGAL EXPENSE ---

1 HOURS AND

15 MINUTES

HOURS MINUTES

(Based on their average salary and benefits at the Division of Enforcement)

TOTALS

15

AT \$29.00 PER HOUR = \$36.25

05/17/2010 Prehearing conf.	0	10
TOTAL PROSECUTING ATTORNEY EXPENSE 0 HOURS AND 10 MINUTES	HOURS	MINUTE
Based on their average salary and benefits at the Division of Enforcement) TOTAL	.S 0	10
T \$61.00 PER HOUR = \$10.17		

	EXPENSE SUMMARY	
PARALEGAL EXPENSE	Jan Johnson	\$36.25
INVESTIGATOR EXPENSE	Dawn Kalies	\$101.50
INVESTIGATOR EXPENSE	Daniel Williams	\$152.25
MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSE	Jane Brischke	\$244.28
PROSECUTING ATTORNEY EXPENSE	Jeanette Lytle	\$10.17
	TOTAL ASSESSABLE COST >>>	\$544.45

Jeanette Lytle, Attorney

Subscribed and affirmed to before me this

Notary Public

My commission

MARCH 25 2012

5

0

5

15

15

STATE OF WISCONSIN BEFORE THE BOARD OF NURSING

IN THE MATTER OF THE DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST

AFFIDAVIT OF COSTS

TOTALS

YASMIN PAVE

07 NUR 406

RESPONDENT

STATE OF WISCONSIN

)) ss.)

(Based on their average salary and benefits at the Division of Enforcement)

04/07/2010 Draft & process aff serv; receive response from DHA; FM; organize file (1/2)

COUNTY OF DANE

Being duly on affirmation, the undersigned employee of the Department of Regulation and Licensing, upon information and belief, deposes and states as follows:

That set out below are the costs of the proceeding accrued to the Division of Enforcement in this matter, based upon Division of Enforcement records compiled in the regular course of agency business in the above-captioned matter.

DATE		HOURS	MINUTES
03/15/2010	CA emails, revise brief summ, files to JCL	0	15
03/10/2010	review, CCAP, disc w/JCL, org file, email to Sullivan re; Revoke	2	0
1/26/2010	26/2010 Review, org, scan, atts to contact R, speak w/Frank Pave re; divorce, CCAP, Itr to R @ divorce address, email to R.		0

AT \$29.00 PER HOUR = \$152.25

04/08/2010 File to & disc w/ JL (1/2)

INVESTIGATOR EXPENSE — Dawn Kalies		65 Tab.
DATE	HOURS	MINUTES
10/31/2008 med recs rec'd from Speciality	0	5
10/31/2008 HR recs rec'd frm Guardian HealthStaff	0	5
10/28/2008 certified recs rec'd frm Meriter	0	5
10/28/2008 phone call frm Specialty re: recs	0	5
10/23/2008 2 phone calls w/ IOD re: Meriter recs for LW	. 0	20
10/14/2008 review file; req HR recs; req patient recs X 2; letter & consents to Respondent (divided w/ 0 NUR 451)	7 2	0
06/05/2008 review file for case status	0	5
01/11/2008 status report for Sup MB	0	6
TOTAL INVESTIGATOR EXPENSE 2 HOURS AND 51 MINUTES	HOURS	MINUTES
(Based on their average salary and benefits at the Division of Enforcement)	TOTALS 2	51
AT \$29.00 PER HOUR = \$82.65		

0.00	MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSE — Jane Brischke		
DATE			COST
11/20/2008	copy charge - Select Specialty Hospital (Wehr)		\$90.30
11/20/2008	copy charge - Guardian HealthStaff LLC (Pave)		\$16.35
10/30/2008	copy charge - Meriter Hospital (Wehr)		\$70.39
10/30/2008	copy charge - Meriter Hospital (Wehr)		\$62.89
	PARALEGAL EXPENSE Jan Johnson		100
DATE		HOURS	MINUTES
04/13/2010	Receive ret'd cert mail card; make copy; send orig doc's (cmp/hn/aff serv/cert mail cards) to DHA; file (1/2)	0	5

, 7 3				OF COSTS
04/06/2010	Receive signed doc's; edit hn; process-file/serve cmp (deliver to post office); create pleading file (1/2)	js	0	20
04/01/2010	Create pleadings file		0	5
03/31/2010	The second secon		0	30
TOTAL PAR	ALEGAL EXPENSE 1 HOURS AND 20 MINUTES		HOURS	MINUTES
(Based on the	heir average salary and benefits at the Division of Enforcement)	TOTALS	1	20

DATE	PROSECUTING ATTORNEY EXPENSE — Jeanette Lytle	HOURS	MINUTES
09/08/2010	File maintenance.	0	10
07/23/2010	Check status of proposed decision	0	10
05/20/2010	Draft letter recommending discipline, mail to ALJ and R.	0	45
05/14/2010	E-mail to case advisor regarding status.	0	10
05/12/2010	Check status. Update case advisor.	0	20
03/16/2010	Review file and draft complaint.	1	15
03/15/2010	Review e-mails. Conf. with investigator. Preliminary file review.	0	20
	SECUTING ATTORNEY EXPENSE 3 HOURS AND 10 MINUTES	HOURS	MINUTES
Based on the	heir average salary and benefits at the Division of Enforcement) TOTALS	3	10

	EXPENSE SUMMARY	
PARALEGAL EXPENSE	Jan Johnson	\$38.67
INVESTIGATOR EXPENSE	Dawn Kalies	\$82.65
INVESTIGATOR EXPENSE	Daniel Williams	\$152.25
MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSE	Jane Brischke	\$239.93
PROSECUTING ATTORNEY EXPENSE	Jeanette Lytle	\$193.17
	TOTAL ASSESSABLE COST >>>	\$706.67

Jeanette Lyle, Attorney

AT \$29.00 PER HOUR = \$38.67

AT \$61.00 PER HOUR = \$193.17

Subscribed and affirmed to before me this

Notary Public

My commission

25 2012



In the Matter of the Disciplinary Proceedings Against YASMIN PAVE, Respondent

AFFIDAVIT OF COSTS DHA Case No. DRL-10-0021

DOE Case Nos. 07NUR406 and 07NUR451

Amanda Tollefsen, affirms the following before a notary public for use in this action, subject to the penalties for perjury in Wis. Stat. § 946.31:

- 1. I am an administrative law judge/attorney licensed to practice law in the State of Wisconsin, and am employed by the Wisconsin Division of Hearings and Appeals. I served as the Administrative Law Judge in the above-captioned matter.
- 2. This affidavit sets forth the costs and expenses incurred in this matter based upon my contemporaneous time keeping entries in connection with the hearing.

April 26, 2010	File Review	.3 hours
May 17, 2010	File Review, Prehearing Conference, Draft Default Order	.3 hours
June 21, 2010	Draft Proposed Order	2.5 hours

- 3. That upon information and belief the total assessable costs for the Division of Hearings and Appeals are as follows:
 - A. Administrative Law Judge: 3.1 hours at \$61.00 per hour = \$189.10.

Dated this day of September 2010.	
By: Amand Tull	_
Amanda Tollefsen	
Administrative Law Judge	
Division of Hearings and Appeals	

Subsoftbed and sworn to before me this day of <u>Jeys Lens be</u> 2010

NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF WISCONSIN MY COMMISSION EXPIRES ON 16/36/11.

G:\DOCS\DRLDecision\PaveyYasAffofCosts.aat.doc