WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION & LICENSING



Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing Access to the Public Records of the Reports of Decisions

This Reports of Decisions document was retrieved from the Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing website. These records are open to public view under Wisconsin's Open Records law, sections 19.31-19.39 Wisconsin Statutes.

Please read this agreement prior to viewing the Decision:

- The Reports of Decisions is designed to contain copies of all orders issued by credentialing authorities within the Department of Regulation and Licensing from November, 1998 to the present. In addition, many but not all orders for the time period between 1977 and November, 1998 are posted. Not all orders issued by a credentialing authority constitute a formal disciplinary action.
- Reports of Decisions contains information as it exists at a specific point in time in the
 Department of Regulation and Licensing data base. Because this data base changes
 constantly, the Department is not responsible for subsequent entries that update, correct or
 delete data. The Department is not responsible for notifying prior requesters of updates,
 modifications, corrections or deletions. All users have the responsibility to determine whether
 information obtained from this site is still accurate, current and complete.
- There may be discrepancies between the online copies and the original document. Original documents should be consulted as the definitive representation of the order's content. Copies of original orders may be obtained by mailing requests to the Department of Regulation and Licensing, PO Box 8935, Madison, WI 53708-8935. The Department charges copying fees. All requests must cite the case number, the date of the order, and respondent's name as it appears on the order.
- Reported decisions may have an appeal pending, and discipline may be stayed during the
 appeal. Information about the current status of a credential issued by the Department of
 Regulation and Licensing is shown on the Department's Web Site under "License Lookup."
 The status of an appeal may be found on court access websites at:
 http://ccap.courts.state.wi.us/InternetCourtAccess and http://www.courts.state.wi.us/licenses.
- Records not open to public inspection by statute are not contained on this website.

By viewing this document, you have read the above and agree to the use of the Reports of Decisions subject to the above terms, and that you understand the limitations of this on-line database.

Correcting information on the DRL website: An individual who believes that information on the website is inaccurate may contact the webmaster at web@drl.state.wi.gov

STATE OF WISCONSIN BEFORE THE REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF DISCIPLINARY

PROCEEDINGS AGAINST : FINAL DECISION AND ORDER

KATIE M. DENEEN, : LS0902255APP

RESPONDENT.

Division of Enforcement case file 08 APP 037

The parties to this action for the purpose of Wis. Stats. sec. 227.53 are:

Katie M. Deneen 1515 Broadway Street Prairie du Sac, WI 53578

Wisconsin Real Estate Appraisers Board P.O. Box 8935 Madison, WI 53708-8935

Department of Regulation and Licensing Division of Enforcement P.O. Box 8935 Madison, WI 53708-8935

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The parties in this matter agree to the terms and conditions of the attached Stipulation as the final disposition of this matter, subject to the approval of the Wisconsin Real Estate Appraisers Board ("Board"). The Board has reviewed this Stipulation and considers it acceptable. Accordingly, the Board adopts the attached Stipulation in this matter and makes the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Katie M. Deneen is licensed in the State of Wisconsin as a Real Estate Appraiser having license # 4-1790, first issued on May 5, 2004.
- 2. Ms. Deneen's most recent address on file with the Department of Regulation and Licensing ("Department") is 1515 Broadway Street, Prairie du Sac, WI 53578.
- 3. On March 18, 2008, Ms. Deneen prepared an appraisal of property at 1013 Connie Road in West Baraboo, Wisconsin.
- 4. In her appraisal and appraisal report, Ms. Deneen failed to comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) Standards Rules (S.R.) in the following ways:
 - a. The pre-printed language in the report indicates that the intended use is mortgage financing when it was instead to confirm value prior to a prospective buyer writing an offer to purchase. This could have been more clearly stated in the report. This concern by itself would not be a cause for discipline.
 - b. The report does not provide an opinion of reasonable exposure time linked to the value opinion (see the comment below S. R. 1-2 (c) (iv)). This concern by itself would not be a cause for discipline.
 - c. The report incorrectly states that the subject property has a two-car garage, when it is three.
 - d. The report incorrectly states that the subject was built in 2008, when it has been listed on the market since June of

2006.

According to the MLS, the subject

- e. The report fails to mention the a number of value-adding features that are reported on MLS: a hot tub, fireplace, solid surface kitchen counters, cathedral/vaulted ceiling and wood or simulated wood and tile floors.
- f. The report states that the property is .30 acres, but there are two tax parcels assigned to this address, one with a site size of .16 acres and the other with a site size of .35 acres. This should be explained.
- g. The report uses 2006 tax information when 2007 tax information would have been readily available.
- h. The report does not include a Highest and Best Use analysis. Simply checking a box on a form does not constitute analysis, even though this is generally accepted practice when using the FNMA/FHLMC forms. This concern by itself would not be a cause for discipline.
- i. The report does not explain the lack of age adjustments when it appears as if they should have been made.
- j. The report lists the age of comparable sale (comp) 2 as 2 years; it sold previously in June of 2004 for \$272,900, so it is 4 years old, not 2.
- k: The report fails to list and address various value-adding features for the comparable sales:
 - comp 1- solid surface counters, fireplace, cathedral/vaulted ceiling, jetted tub.
 - comp 2 fireplace, cathedral/vaulted ceiling, tile floors, deck
 - comp 3 cathedral/vaulted ceiling, jetted tub.
 - comp 4 fireplace
 - comp 5 cathedral/vaulted ceiling, birch and tile floors, granite counters, wet bar.
- l. The report says on pages 2 and 13 that the Cost Approach was not completed when it was completed. The report states incorrectly that "The cost approach is not an ordinary approach to value for this age of property."
- m. The Income Approach was excluded due to "lack of data", which is not a valid reason to exclude it. More appropriate reasons might be that zoning prohibits rental, similar homes are purchased for owner occupancy (not investment), etc.
- n. The report contains no analysis of the subject's listing agreement.
- o. The report lists the wrong expiration date for Ms. Deneen's license.
- 5. Ms. Deneen has agreed to resolve this matter by voluntarily surrendering her Real Estate Appraisers license.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Wisconsin Real Estate Appraisers Board has jurisdiction to act in this matter pursuant to section 458.26 (3) of the Wisconsin Statutes and is authorized to enter into the attached Stipulation pursuant to sec. 227.44(5), Wis. Stats.
- 2. By failing to comply with USPAP in her appraisal and appraisal report, Respondent Katie M. Deneen violated sections RL 86.01 (1) and (2) of the Wisconsin Administrative Code.

ORDER

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the attached Stipulation is hereby accepted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the voluntary surrender of the Real Estate Appraisers license issued to Katie M. Deneen is hereby accepted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that file 08 APP 037 be closed.

Dated this 25th day of February, 2009.

By: Sharon Fiedler