# WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION & LICENSING



### Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing Access to the Public Records of the Reports of Decisions

This Reports of Decisions document was retrieved from the Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing website. These records are open to public view under Wisconsin's Open Records law, sections 19.31-19.39 Wisconsin Statutes.

#### Please read this agreement prior to viewing the Decision:

- The Reports of Decisions is designed to contain copies of all orders issued by credentialing authorities within the Department of Regulation and Licensing from November, 1998 to the present. In addition, many but not all orders for the time period between 1977 and November, 1998 are posted. Not all orders issued by a credentialing authority constitute a formal disciplinary action.
- Reports of Decisions contains information as it exists at a specific point in time in the
  Department of Regulation and Licensing data base. Because this data base changes
  constantly, the Department is not responsible for subsequent entries that update, correct or
  delete data. The Department is not responsible for notifying prior requesters of updates,
  modifications, corrections or deletions. All users have the responsibility to determine whether
  information obtained from this site is still accurate, current and complete.
- There may be discrepancies between the online copies and the original document. Original documents should be consulted as the definitive representation of the order's content. Copies of original orders may be obtained by mailing requests to the Department of Regulation and Licensing, PO Box 8935, Madison, WI 53708-8935. The Department charges copying fees. All requests must cite the case number, the date of the order, and respondent's name as it appears on the order.
- Reported decisions may have an appeal pending, and discipline may be stayed during the
  appeal. Information about the current status of a credential issued by the Department of
  Regulation and Licensing is shown on the Department's Web Site under "License Lookup."
  The status of an appeal may be found on court access websites at:
  <a href="http://ccap.courts.state.wi.us/InternetCourtAccess">http://ccap.courts.state.wi.us/InternetCourtAccess</a> and <a href="http://www.courts.state.wi.us/wscca">http://www.courts.state.wi.us/licenses</a>.
- Records not open to public inspection by statute are not contained on this website.

By viewing this document, you have read the above and agree to the use of the Reports of Decisions subject to the above terms, and that you understand the limitations of this on-line database.

**Correcting information on the DRL website:** An individual who believes that information on the website is inaccurate may contact the webmaster at <a href="web@drl.state.wi.gov">web@drl.state.wi.gov</a>

## STATE OF WISCONSIN BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION AND LICENSING

IN THE MATTER OF THE DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST

NORTHERN EXPOSURE INVESTIGATIONS,

CASE # LS0806041 RAL

RESPONDENT

\_\_\_\_\_

#### ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO WITHDRAW COMPLAINT

(Division of Enforcement Case File 07 RAL 037)

TO: Northern Exposure Investigations c/o Robert Dossetto
P.O. Box 182
Marquette, MI 49855

Angela L. Arrington
Prosecuting Attorney
Division of Enforcement
Department of Regulation and Licensing
1400 East Washington Avenue
P.O. Box 8935
Madison, WI 53708

#### PROCEDURAL HISTORY

This Class 2 disciplinary proceeding was commenced by the filing of a Notice of Hearing and Complaint on behalf of the Department of Regulation and Licensing by the Division of Enforcement, on June 4, 2008. Attorney Angela Arrington represented the Division of Enforcement. The Respondent appeared *pro per* through Robert Dossetto, the owner of Northern Exposure Investigations. On June 20, 2008, prior to the scheduling and holding of the hearing, Ms. Arrington filed an *Affidavit of Facts* seeking to set aside and dismiss the Complaint. In response, on June 23, 2008, Mr. Dossetto filed a *Motion for Summary and Request for Discovery and Disclosure* on behalf of the Respondent.

On July 14, 2008, a prehearing conference was held before the Administrative Law Judge, Colleen M. Baird. At the conference, Ms. Arrington indicated that Mr. Dossetto had received his private detective license as of July 10, 2008, and upon this basis she was prepared to withdraw the formal Complaint. Accordingly, the hearing scheduled to be held on July 17, 2008 was adjourned. The order of Adjournment requested that Ms. Arrington submit her motion in writing with an explanation of the reasons supporting the motion.

On July 23, 2008, Ms. Arrington filed a more complete *Motion to Withdraw Complaint* which set forth the reasons and information which supported the motion. On August 7, 2008, the Respondent filed a response indicating that he opposed the Motion to Withdraw and requested that the ALJ issue a ruling on the matter based upon the facts and evidence presented by the parties.

#### **Analysis**

This is a Class 2 disciplinary proceeding involving allegations that the Respondent Northern Exposure Investigations,

through its' business advertisements, has violated Wis. Stat. § 440.26(6)(a)4 and Wis. Admin. Code § RL 35.01(6). Essentially, the Complainant alleges that the Respondent Northern Investigations engaged in false, misleading and deceptive advertisements by offering to provide private detective services when it did not have a licensed private detective working for or associated with the company.

The Complainant further alleged that Mr. Dossetto, the sole proprietor of Northern Investigations, had been repeatedly advised by the Department that he was required to obtain a private detective license before his company could lawfully advertise to furnish detective services; the grant of a business entity license alone was not sufficient.

The Respondent admits through Mr. Dossetto that it did not have in its employ a licensed private detective at the time it advertised to furnish private detective services. However, Mr. Dossetto argued in his *Motion to Dismiss* that he had completed an application and submitted the credential fees in 2004 to obtain a private detective license from the Department, but was issued the wrong type of license. Because the Complainant has moved to withdraw the Complaint on the basis that the appropriate license has since been issued, there was no adjudication of this allegation and there is no legal basis to proceed in a Class 2 disciplinary action.

It is a fundamental requirement in a disciplinary proceeding that a genuine controversy or dispute must exist between the parties; not rendered moot by subsequent action. In this matter, the controversy between the parties has been resolved or mooted by the granting of the private detective license. As a result, the Complainant has chosen to withdraw the disciplinary Complaint because the dispute has been resolved through compliance. Given the resolution of this matter, the Administrative Law Judge is not authorized to proceed as there no longer remains a genuine controversy nor can the prosecution of this matter be forced to continue.

#### **COSTS**

The Respondent also contends that he is entitled to reimbursement of Mr. Dossetto's time and expenses in examination preparation and fees. The administrative rule governing the Class 2 disciplinary proceedings does not provide for the reimbursement of expenses in connection with obtaining a credential required for the lawful conduct of licensed activity. Moreover, the costs for which the Respondent is seeking reimbursement are those normally incurred by anyone who is applying for a credential. Reimbursement of litigation costs may be available in certain governmental actions under the Wisconsin Equal Access to Justice Act (WEAJA), Wis. Stat. § 227.485, if the party seeking costs meets the threshold requirements of the law. The Respondent has not filed a petition for such costs and would not likely meet the requirements for an award of costs under WEAJA because it is not the prevailing party since the matter was withdrawn.

For these reasons, it is the interests of judicial economy to grant the Complainant's *Motion to Withdraw* the Complaint and close this matter with no further action.

Dated this 5th day of December, 2008.

Colleen M. Baird Administrative Law Judge P.O. Box 8935 Madison, WI 53708 (608) 266-1815