# WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION & LICENSING



# Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing Access to the Public Records of the Reports of Decisions

This Reports of Decisions document was retrieved from the Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing website. These records are open to public view under Wisconsin's Open Records law, sections 19.31-19.39 Wisconsin Statutes.

#### Please read this agreement prior to viewing the Decision:

- The Reports of Decisions is designed to contain copies of all orders issued by credentialing authorities within the Department of Regulation and Licensing from November, 1998 to the present. In addition, many but not all orders for the time period between 1977 and November, 1998 are posted. Not all orders issued by a credentialing authority constitute a formal disciplinary action.
- Reports of Decisions contains information as it exists at a specific point in time in the
  Department of Regulation and Licensing data base. Because this data base changes
  constantly, the Department is not responsible for subsequent entries that update, correct or
  delete data. The Department is not responsible for notifying prior requesters of updates,
  modifications, corrections or deletions. All users have the responsibility to determine whether
  information obtained from this site is still accurate, current and complete.
- There may be discrepancies between the online copies and the original document. Original documents should be consulted as the definitive representation of the order's content. Copies of original orders may be obtained by mailing requests to the Department of Regulation and Licensing, PO Box 8935, Madison, WI 53708-8935. The Department charges copying fees. All requests must cite the case number, the date of the order, and respondent's name as it appears on the order.
- Reported decisions may have an appeal pending, and discipline may be stayed during the
  appeal. Information about the current status of a credential issued by the Department of
  Regulation and Licensing is shown on the Department's Web Site under "License Lookup."
  The status of an appeal may be found on court access websites at:
  <a href="http://ccap.courts.state.wi.us/InternetCourtAccess">http://ccap.courts.state.wi.us/InternetCourtAccess</a> and <a href="http://www.courts.state.wi.us/wscca">http://www.courts.state.wi.us/licenses</a>
- Records not open to public inspection by statute are not contained on this website.

By viewing this document, you have read the above and agree to the use of the Reports of Decisions subject to the above terms, and that you understand the limitations of this on-line database.

**Correcting information on the DRL website:** An individual who believes that information on the website is inaccurate may contact the webmaster at <a href="web@drl.state.wi.gov">web@drl.state.wi.gov</a>

## STATE OF WISCONSIN BEFORE THE REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF THE DISCIPLINARY : PROCEEDINGS AGAINST :

FINAL DECISION AND ORDER

JULES F. PARMENTIER, :

RESPONDENT. : LS0805213APP

#### Division of Enforcement Case No. 07 APP 012

The parties to this action for the purpose of Wis. Stat. § 227.53 are:

Jules F. Parmentier 1534 Memorial Drive Sturgeon Bay, WI 54235

Wisconsin Real Estate Appraisers Board P.O. Box 8935 Madison, WI 53708

Department of Regulation and Licensing Division of Enforcement P.O. Box 8935 Madison, WI 53708-8935

The parties in this matter agree to the terms and conditions of the attached Stipulation as the final disposition of this matter, subject to the approval of the Real Estate Appraisers Board (Board). The Board has reviewed this Stipulation and considers it acceptable.

Accordingly, the Board in this matter adopts the attached Stipulation and makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Order:

#### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

- 1. **Jules F. Parmentier** (D.O.B. 12/20/46) was granted Wisconsin certified general appraiser certification # 10-67 on 10/24/91. Mr. Parmentier's certification expired on 12/14/07.
- 2. Mr. Parmentier's most recent address on file with the Wisconsin Department of Regulation and Licensing is 1534 Memorial Drive, Sturgeon Bay, WI 54235.
- 3. On or about June 8, 2005, Mr. Parmentier prepared an appraisal report for property known as Yacht Works—a marine facility and storage facility—located at 10967 N. Bay Shore Drive, Sister, WI 54234. The appraisal showed a final value estimate of \$10,500,000.00.
- 4. This appraisal was reviewed by the Department and a member of the Real Estate Appraisers Board acting as a case advisor, and it was determined that the appraisal violated the 2005 Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) in the following respects:
  - a. <u>S.R.1-1 Developing an Appraisal</u> and <u>S.R. 2-1 Report Not Misleading</u>, by the combination of the

concerns detailed further below;

- b. <u>S.R. 1-2(a) Client and Intended User(s)</u> by the report being addressed to Mr. Fokert, but referring in the appraisal to the appraisal being ordered by "the Lender, and also refers to the intended user being Mr. Fokert—these are contradictory statements;
- c. <u>S.R. 1-2(b) Intended Use</u> by stating that the intended use is "to facilitate underwriting or mortgage lending" in a report addressed to Mr. Fokert; unless Mr. Fokert is a lender, this is illogical;
- d. <u>S.R. 1-2(c) Purpose and Reasonable Market Exposure Time</u> by failing to provide a definition of the value sought, failing to provide a source for the definition of value, and by failing to provide an opinion of reasonable exposure time linked to the value opinion (see the Comment below S.R. 1-2(c)(iv);
- e. <u>S.R. 1-2(e) Property Characteristics</u> by the report referring at pages 5-6 to ten parcel numbers, but the workfile contains 11 parcel numbers with the omitted parcel containing 3.68 acres; pages 11-13 give the age for only two of the buildings, fail to give building sizes for all buildings, fail to give bedroom count for all of the cottages, and provide weak and incomplete descriptions; on page 11, the report says there are six buildings but then nine buildings are described; site size is indicated as 216,000 sq. ft. (4.96 acres) at page eight, but on page nine site size is indicated as 6.36 acres; on page 15 and 16, the appraisal values 216,000 sq. ft. plus four acres (for a total of 8.96 acres), and values the water frontage separately—this implies that there are at least 8.96 acres; the legal description attached to the tax rolls indicate a total of 6.96 acres, but the legal descriptions clearly indicate that the highway is included in the 6.96 acres—since the owner does not own the highway, the land area without the roads should have been used and it appears to be less than 6.36 acres, but one cannot tell from the report and work file how much land is actually appraised;
- f. <u>S.R. 1-2(f) Scope of Work</u> by failing to include a complete scope of work statement;
- g. <u>S.R. 1-3(b) Highest and Best Use</u> by providing a very weak highest and best use analysis; the report indicates that the cottages are nonconforming and will probably be torn down, and indicates the highest and best use "is the present use;" but it is doubtful from the limited information available in the report and work file that the portion of the land with the cottages would be re-developed with cottages;
- h. S.R. 1-4(a) Sales Comparison Approach by stating at page 17 that Sale 1 has no retail building when the Department's investigation revealed that there was a retail building at the time of sale; the work file reveals the sales price for Sale 1 was \$3,000,000 and an additional \$1,500,000 ostensibly paid in personal property; yet the report indicates the sales price for Sale 1 to be \$4,500,000 which clearly includes the personal property; Sale 1 and 4 sold for \$3,000,000 and \$2,800,000 for the real estate and each had more land and more boat capacity than the subject and Sale 4 had more frontage, yet these two sales are considered \$7,500,000 and \$7,700,00 inferior to Mr. Parmentier's value estimate for the subject property; Mr. Parmentier indicates that the difference is based on condition, yet in the cost approach, the cost new for the buildings was concluded to be \$1,762,000; it is not logical that buildings which would cost \$1,762,000 to build could be \$7,500,000 \$7,700,000 better in condition to other buildings which have value; there is no analysis of either the land sales nor the improved sales, no adjustment grid, no discussion of differences in land area, location, date of sale, terms of sale, boat capacity, amount of frontage, building size or building ages;
- i. <u>S.R. 1-4(b) Cost Approach</u> by failing to provide support for the numbers used in the cost approach at page 15 of the report, other than a few cost estimates for portions of the property; the report appears to triple count the land in the land valuation on pages 15 and 16; the water frontage is part of the 216,000 sq. ft., yet it values it separately and there is no indication of what the 4 acres consists of;

- j. <u>S.R. 1-4(c) Income Approach</u> by failing to develop a valuation via the income approach for an income producing property; by stating that "the capitalization rate will be based on projected figures supplied by the owner"—this constitutes advocacy and is inappropriate; and failing to provide support for the \$350,000 "sales" or the \$40,000 in expenses;
- k. <u>S.R. 1-5 Subject Listing and Sale Information</u> by failing to provide an analysis of the sale information for a portion of the property that transferred on 8/20/02—within the three years prior to the effective date;
- I. <u>S.R. 1-6 Reconciliation</u> by stating that "All three approaches to value are considered in this case" but failing to complete an income approach for the entire property;
- m. <u>S.R. 2-2 Reporting Options</u> by failing to identify the reporting option used; and
- n. <u>S.R. 2-3 Certification</u> by failing to include a signed certification in the appraisal.
  - 5. It is noted that Mr. Parmentier's appraisal was not ultimately used by any lender.
- 6. Mr. Parmentier closed his appraisal business and has retired from the practice of real estate appraisal with no intention to return to the profession.
- 7. In resolution of this matter, Mr. Parmentier wishes to voluntarily surrender the right to renew his certified general appraiser certification, #10-67.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. The Wisconsin Real Estate Appraisers Board has jurisdiction to act in this matter pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 458.26, and is authorized to enter in the attached Stipulation pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 227.44(5).
- 2. By failing to comply with the 2005 Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP), as described above in paragraph 4 of the Findings of Fact, Mr. Parmentier is deemed to have also violated Wis. Admin. Code § 86.01(2), thereby subjecting himself to discipline pursuant to Wis. Admin. Code § 86.01(1) and Wis. Stat. § 458.26(3)(b) and (c).

#### **ORDER**

#### NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The VOLUNTARY SURRENDER of the right to renew the certified general appraiser certification of Jules F. Parmentier, # 10-67, is hereby ACCEPTED.

#### IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

- 2. In the event that Mr. Parmentier seeks to renew or reinstate his certification to practice as a certified general appraiser in the State of Wisconsin, or seeks to apply for appraiser licensure or general appraiser certification in Wisconsin, he shall submit a new application for certification or licensure and meet all then-existing requirements for licensure or certification.
- 3. In the event that Mr. Parmentier seeks to renew or reinstate his certification, or applies for licensure or certification to practice as a real estate appraiser in the State of Wisconsin, the Department may determine whether and under what terms and conditions such request may be granted.
- 4. Mr. Parmentier shall not practice as a real estate appraiser or attempt to practice as one in the State of Wisconsin without being licensed or certified in Wisconsin.

#### IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT:

5. If Mr. Parmentier ever seeks to renew his certification to practice as a certified general appraiser, or petitions for reinstatement, or applies for any real estate appraiser license or certification in the state of Wisconsin, then he shall pay the costs of investigating and prosecuting this matter in the amount of FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$500.00) before such renewal, petition or application may be considered. Payment shall be made payable to the Wisconsin Department of Regulation and Licensing, and mailed to:

Department Monitor
Division of Enforcement
Department of Regulation and Licensing
P.O. Box 8935
Madison, WI 53708-8935
Telephone (608) 261-7904
Fax (608) 266-2264

6. This Order is effective on the date of its signing.

## WISCONSIN REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS BOARD

by: Marla Britton 5/21/08
A Member of the Board Date