# WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION & LICENSING



## Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing Access to the Public Records of the Reports of Decisions

This Reports of Decisions document was retrieved from the Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing website. These records are open to public view under Wisconsin's Open Records law, sections 19.31-19.39 Wisconsin Statutes.

### Please read this agreement prior to viewing the Decision:

- The Reports of Decisions is designed to contain copies of all orders issued by credentialing authorities within the Department of Regulation and Licensing from November, 1998 to the present. In addition, many but not all orders for the time period between 1977 and November, 1998 are posted. Not all orders issued by a credentialing authority constitute a formal disciplinary action.
- Reports of Decisions contains information as it exists at a specific point in time in the
  Department of Regulation and Licensing data base. Because this data base changes
  constantly, the Department is not responsible for subsequent entries that update, correct or
  delete data. The Department is not responsible for notifying prior requesters of updates,
  modifications, corrections or deletions. All users have the responsibility to determine whether
  information obtained from this site is still accurate, current and complete.
- There may be discrepancies between the online copies and the original document. Original documents should be consulted as the definitive representation of the order's content. Copies of original orders may be obtained by mailing requests to the Department of Regulation and Licensing, PO Box 8935, Madison, WI 53708-8935. The Department charges copying fees. All requests must cite the case number, the date of the order, and respondent's name as it appears on the order.
- Reported decisions may have an appeal pending, and discipline may be stayed during the
  appeal. Information about the current status of a credential issued by the Department of
  Regulation and Licensing is shown on the Department's Web Site under "License Lookup."
  The status of an appeal may be found on court access websites at:
  <a href="http://ccap.courts.state.wi.us/InternetCourtAccess">http://ccap.courts.state.wi.us/InternetCourtAccess</a> and <a href="http://www.courts.state.wi.us/wscca">http://www.courts.state.wi.us/licenses</a>.
- Records not open to public inspection by statute are not contained on this website.

By viewing this document, you have read the above and agree to the use of the Reports of Decisions subject to the above terms, and that you understand the limitations of this on-line database.

**Correcting information on the DRL website:** An individual who believes that information on the website is inaccurate may contact the webmaster at <a href="web@drl.state.wi.gov">web@drl.state.wi.gov</a>

STATE OF WISCONSIN BEFORE THE REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS BOARD IN THE MATTER OF THE DISCIPLIN ARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST : FINAL DECISION AND ORDER THOMAS J. NOVAK, RESPONDENT.

LS0805212APP

Division of Enforcement Case No. 07 APP 003

The parties to this action for the purpose of Wis. Stat. § 227.53 are:

Thomas J. Novak 718 100th Avenue Amery, WI 54001

Wisconsin Real Estate Appraisers Board P.O. Box 8935 Madison, WI 53708

Department of Regulation and Licensing Division of Enforcement P.O. Box 8935 Madison, WI 53708-8935

The parties in this matter agree to the terms and conditions of the attached Stipulation as the final disposition of this matter, subject to the approval of the Real Estate Appraisers Board (Board). The Board has reviewed this Stipulation and considers it acceptable Accordingly, the Board in this matter adopts the attached Stipulation and makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Order:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Thomas J. Novak (D.O.B. 11/03/63) holds Wisconsin appraiser license # 4-1722, which was first granted on 01/26/04 and is current through 12/14/09.
- Mr. Novak's most recent address on file with the Wisconsin Department of Regulation and Licensing is 718 100th Avenue, Amery, WI 54001.
- 3. In 2006, Mr. Novak submitted an application to the Department to become a Certified Residential Appraiser. As part of the application process, Mr. Novak submitted three appraisals that were reviewed by the Department. Review of those three appraisals demonstrated that Mr. Novak's appraisals failed to be in compliance with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice in effect at the time the appraisals were completed, and the Department denied Mr. Novak's application.
  - 4. The matter was referred to the Division of Enforcement for disciplinary action against his appraiser license in light of Mr. Novak's appraisals failing to comply with USPAP.
  - 5. Mr. Novak's appraisals were reviewed by the Department and a Real Estate Appraiser Board Member acting as a case advisor, and were found to be in violation of USPAP as detailed below

- On or about November 14, 2005, Mr. Novak completed an appraisal for property located at 125 State Road 48, Cumberland, WI 54829. The appraisal showed a market value of \$53,000.
  - 7. This appraisal was reviewed by the Department and a member of the Real Estate Appraisers Board acting as a case advisor, and it was determined that the appraisal violated the 2005 Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPA P) in the following respects:
- a. <u>Standards Rule 1-4(3)</u>, sales comparison approach and <u>Standards Rule 2-2(b)(x)</u> by making errors in the analysis of comparable sales data and failing to provide adequate reasoning for adjustments, analyses, opinions and conclusions in the following ways: failing to adjust for selfer concessions per the MLS sheet for Sale 1; failing to mention or adjust for the fact that Sale 1 is located adjacent to County forest lands, has a new well and septic and a pole sheet, by reporting Sale 2 as having 1 battoroom, when the listing for this property identifies 2 battrooms; and by failing to provide reasoning for the lack of adjustments for the fact that Sale 4 is a HUD foreclosure that sold \$6,026 above the listed price indicating potential concessions. There is no reasoning provided for the lack of 3 distinctions, and adjusting for these features would tend to lower the overall value of the subject property in an inflated value estimate.

  - Standards Rule 2-2(b)(i) by failing to identify the client and intended user;

    Standards Rule 2-2(b)(ii) by failing to provide the type and definition of value and the value source;

    Standards Rule 2-2(b)(iii) by failing to provide stope of Work sufficient to summarize the level of work used to develop the appraisal; and

    Standards Rule 1-1(a, b, c) by rendering services in a careless or negligent manner, such as by making a series of errors identified above that in the aggregate affects the credibility of the results of the appraisal and by failing to provide adequate reasoning for adjustments, analysis, opinions and conclusions.

#### COUNT II-Appraisal of Property at 561 95th Street, Amery, WI

- On or about September 13, 2005, Mr. Novak completed an appraisal for property located at 561 95th Street, Amery, WI 54001. The appraisal showed a market value of \$143,000.
- 9. This appraisal was reviewed by the Department and a member of the Real Estate Appraisers Board acting as a case advisor, and it was determined that the appraisal violated the 2005 Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) in the following respects:
- a. Standards Rule 1-4(a). Sales comparison approach and Standards Rule 2-2(b)(ix) by making errors in the analysis of comparable sales data and failing to provide adequate reasoning for adjustments, analyses, opinions and conclusions in the following ways: for Sale 2, the MLS data sheet indicates that it is located across the road from the A pple River, contains a detached garage, a woodstow, and a barn and pole shed, yet now of these features is indicated in the grid or adjusted, Sale 2 contains 8 acres according to the MLS and grid, yet Mr. Novak fails to adjust for the difference in site size as was done for Sale 1; the Sale 1 adjustment indicates a dow navard adjustment of \$9,300 should be made to the sale (\$10000 + 3 acre difference). Sale 2 and of the sale 1 adjustment indicates a dow navard adjustment indicates a dow navard adjustment of \$9,300 should be made to the sale (\$10000 + 3 acre difference). Sale 2 and of the sale 2 and of the sale 3 and plated upwards a double detached garage similar to business; and so the sale 3 and plated upwards a double detached garage similar to business.

  - b Standards Rule 2.2(b)(ii) by failing to identify the client and intended user;

    C Standards Rule 2.2(b)(iii) by failing to provide the type and definition of value and the value source;

    Standards Rule 2.2(b)(iii) by failing to provide the type and definition of value and the value source;

    Standards Rule 2.2(b)(iii) by failing to provide a Seyon of Work standards Rule 2.2(b)(iiii) by failing to provide a Seyon of Work standards Rule 2.2(b)(iii) by failing to provide a Seyon of Work standards Rule 2.2(b)(iii) by failing to provide adequate reasoning for adjustments, analysis, opinions and conclusions.

#### COUNT III—Appraisal of Property at 2078 270th Street, Deer Park, WI 54007

- On or about July 15, 2005, Mr. Novak completed an appraisal for property located at 2078 270th Street, Deer Park, WI 54007. The appraisal showed a market value of \$237,000.
- 11. This appraisal was reviewed by the Department and a member of the Real Estate Appraisers Board acting as a case advisor, and it was determined that the appraisal violated the 2005 Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) in the following respects:
- s Standards Rule 1-1(1) has been comparison approach and Standards Rule 2-2(b)(1) by making errors in the analysis of comparable sales data and failing to provide adequate reasoning for adjustments, analyses, opinions and conclusions in the following ways: for Sale 1, the MLS data sheer indicates that it contains a pond and had seller concessions of \$4.660 paid, yet no adjustment of \$1,000 year, while a sale with an effective age of 20 (Sale 3) has an adjustment of \$1,333 year. Sale 2 contains an adjustment of \$1,330 year sale 2 contains an adjustment of \$1,000 year, while a sale with an effective age of 20 (Sale 3) has an adjustment of \$1,333 year. Sale 2 contains an adjustment of \$1,330 year. Sale 2 contains an adjustment of \$1,000 year, while a sale with an effective age of 20 (Sale 3) has an adjustment of \$1,333 year. Sale 2 contains an adjustment of \$1,300 per acre for the difference in acreage, yet sales of the sale of \$1,000 year, while a sale with an effective age of 20 (Sale 3) has an adjustment of \$1,333 year. Sale 2 contains an adjustment of \$1,300 per acre for the difference in acreage, yet sales of \$1,000 year, while a sale with an effective age of 20 (Sale 3) has an adjustment of \$1,333 year. Sale 2 contains an adjustment of \$1,300 per acre for the difference in acreage, yet sales of \$1,000 year, while a sale with an effective age of 20 (Sale 3) has an adjustment of \$1,300 year. Sale 2 contains an adjustment of \$1,300 year. Sale 2 contains an adjustment of \$1,300 year. Sale 2 contains an adjustment of \$1,000 year, while a sale with an effective age of 20 (Sale 3) has an adjustment of \$1,300 year. Sale 2 contains an adjustment of \$1,300 year. Sale 2 contains an adjustment of \$1,000 year, while a sale with an effective age of 20 (Sale 3) has an adjustment of \$1,300 year. Sale 2 contains an adjustment of \$1,300 year. Sale 2 contains an adjustment of \$1,000 year. While a sale with an effective age of 20 (Sale 3) has an adjustment of \$1,000 year. Sale 2 contains an adjustment of \$1,000 year. While a sa

- 1. The Wisconsin Real Estate Appraisers Board has jurisdiction to act in this matter pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 458.26, and is authorized to enter in the attached Stipulation pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 227.44(5).
- The conduct described above in paragraphs 6-11 constitutes a violation of:
  - a. 2005 USPAP Standards Rule 1-1(a,b,c), developing an appraisal;
- b. 2005 USPAP Standards Rule 1-4(a), sales comparison approach
- b. 2005 USPAP Standards Rule 2-2(b)(i), client and intended users
- 2005 USPAP Standards Rule 2-2(b)(v), type and definition of value
- d. 2005 USPAP Standards Rule 2-2(b)(vii); scope of work; and

- e. 2005 USPAP Standards Rule 2-2(b)(ix), reasoning that supports analyses, opinions and conclusions.

  As a result of the above USPAP violations, Mr. Novak is deemed to have also violated Wis. Admin. Code § 86.01(2), thereby subjecting himself to discipline pursuant to Wis. Admin. Code § 86.01(1) and Wis. Stat. § 458.26(3)(b) and (c).

#### NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The certificate of licensure of Respondent Thomas J. Novak, license # 4-1722, is hereby LIMITED as follows:
  - a. Respondent Thomas J. Novak shall, within one year of the date of this Order, successfully complete one of the following courses offered by the Appraisal Institute, or another similar course <u>pre-appraved by the Board</u>, at his own expense, including taking and passing the exam offered for the course:
  - "Basic Appraisal Principles" (30 hours plus exam); <u>ar</u>
     "Basic Appraisal Procedures" (30 hours plus exam); <u>ar</u>
     "Residential Sales Comparison and Income Approaches" (30 hours plus exam).
- b. Mr. Novak shall submit proof of the same in the form of verification from the institution providing the education to the address stated below. None of the education completed pursuant to this requirement may be used to satisfy any continuing education requirements that are or may be instituted by the Board or the Department of Regulation and Licensing, or for continuing education in any other state in which Mr. Novak holds a license or certification, and may also not be used in future attempts to upgrade his credential in Wisconsin. This educational requirement limitation shall be lifted upon the Department Monitor's receipt of the full verification of Mr. Novak's successful completion of the required education.
  - 2. Thomas J. Novak shall, within 90 days of the date of this Order, pay COSTS of this matter in the amount of \$ 850.00.
  - 3. Proof of successful course completion and payment of costs (made payable to the Wisconsin Department of Regulation and Licensing) shall be mailed, faxed or delivered to the Department Monitor at this address:

Department Monitor

Division of Enforcement
Department of Regulation and Licensing
P.O. Box 8935, Madison, WI 53708-8935
Telephone (608) 261-7904, Fax (608) 266-2264

4. Violation of any of the terms of this Order may be construed as conduct imperiling public health, safety and welfare and may result in a summary suspension of Respondent's license. The Board in its discretion may in the alternative impose additional conditions and limitations or other additional discipline for a violation of any of the terms of this Order. In the event Respondent fails to timely submit any payment of the costs as set forth above or fails to complete the education as ordered, or is otherwise in violation of this order, Mr. Novak's license # 4-1722 SHALL BE SUSPENDED, without further notice or hearing, until Respondent has complied with the terms of this Order.

WISCONSIN REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS BOARD

by: Marla Britton A Member of the Board