

WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION & LICENSING



Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing Access to the Public Records of the Reports of Decisions

This Reports of Decisions document was retrieved from the Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing website. These records are open to public view under Wisconsin's Open Records law, sections 19.31-19.39 Wisconsin Statutes.

Please read this agreement prior to viewing the Decision:

- The Reports of Decisions is designed to contain copies of all orders issued by credentialing authorities within the Department of Regulation and Licensing from November, 1998 to the present. In addition, many but not all orders for the time period between 1977 and November, 1998 are posted. Not all orders issued by a credentialing authority constitute a formal disciplinary action.
- Reports of Decisions contains information as it exists at a specific point in time in the Department of Regulation and Licensing data base. Because this data base changes constantly, the Department is not responsible for subsequent entries that update, correct or delete data. The Department is not responsible for notifying prior requesters of updates, modifications, corrections or deletions. All users have the responsibility to determine whether information obtained from this site is still accurate, current and complete.
- There may be discrepancies between the online copies and the original document. Original documents should be consulted as the definitive representation of the order's content. Copies of original orders may be obtained by mailing requests to the Department of Regulation and Licensing, PO Box 8935, Madison, WI 53708-8935. The Department charges copying fees. *All requests must cite the case number, the date of the order, and respondent's name as it appears on the order.*
- Reported decisions may have an appeal pending, and discipline may be stayed during the appeal. Information about the current status of a credential issued by the Department of Regulation and Licensing is shown on the Department's Web Site under "License Lookup." The status of an appeal may be found on court access websites at: <http://ccap.courts.state.wi.us/InternetCourtAccess> and <http://www.courts.state.wi.us/wscca>.
- Records not open to public inspection by statute are not contained on this website.

By viewing this document, you have read the above and agree to the use of the Reports of Decisions subject to the above terms, and that you understand the limitations of this on-line database.

Correcting information on the DRL website: An individual who believes that information on the website is inaccurate may contact the webmaster at web@drl.state.wi.gov

**STATE OF WISCONSIN
BEFORE THE REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS BOARD**

IN RE DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS	:	
AGAINST	:	FINAL DECISION AND ORDER
	:	AS TO RESPONDENT
TIMOTHY A. FIEREK and	:	JEFFERY A. CONNER
JEFFREY A. CONNER,	:	
	:	LS0607123APP
RESPONDENTS.	:	

Division of Enforcement Case No. 04 APP 025

The parties to this action for the purposes of Wis. Stats. Sec. 227.53 are:

Timothy A. Fierek
W7029 Old Lake Road
Shawano, WI 54166

Jeffrey A. Conner
13525 Cathers Cove Lane
P.O. Box 991
Minocqua, WI 54548

Real Estate Appraisers Board
P.O. Box 8935
Madison, WI 53708-8935

Department of Regulation and Licensing
Division of Enforcement
P.O. Box 8935
Madison, WI 53708 8935

The parties in these matters agree to the terms and conditions of the attached Stipulation as the final decision of the matter(s), subject to the approval of the Real Estate Appraisers Board. The Board has reviewed the Stipulation agreement annexed to this Final Decision and Order, and considers it acceptable. Accordingly, the Board adopts the attached Stipulation and makes the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Respondent Timothy A. Fierek ("Fierek"), whose last known address of record with the Department of Regulation and Licensing ("Department") is W7029 Old Lake Road, Shawano, Wisconsin 54166, and whose date of birth is June 27, 1971, possesses a certificate of licensure and a certificate of certification to practice as a licensed appraiser (#4-1113). The license was first granted on May 22, 1998, and will expire on December 14, 2007.
2. Respondent Jeffrey A. Conner ("Conner"), whose last known address of record with the Department is 13525 Cathers Cove Lane, P.O. Box 991, Minocqua, Wisconsin 54548, and whose date of birth is March 10, 1960, possesses a certificate of licensure and a certificate of certification to practice as a licensed appraiser (#4-1575). The license was first granted on June 9, 2003, and will expire on December 14, 2007.
3. On December 29, 2003, Mr. Fierek signed an appraisal of real estate located at 5094 Evergreen Court, Rhinelander, Wisconsin, 54501-8716, as well as the certification statement for the appraisal.

Count I: Failure to Disclose Significant Assistance

4. The appraisal described in paragraph 3, above, was conducted by, and the report was written by, Mr. Conner. The report was not signed by Mr. Conner. Nowhere in the report does it state that Mr. Conner made significant contributions to the appraisal and appraisal report was provided to the Department.

4. Per Wis. Admin. Code § RL 86.01(1), “Certified and licensed appraisers shall comply with... the standards set forth in Appendix I. A violation of any provision of this chapter may result in disciplinary action under s. 458.26, Stats.

5. Per Wis. Admin. Code § RL 86.01(2), “All appraisals performed in conjunction with federally related transactions and non-federally related transactions shall conform to the uniform standards of professional appraisal practice set forth in Appendix I.”

6. Per Wis. Stat. § 458.26(3)(b), an appraiser is subject to discipline if he or she has, “Engaged in unprofessional or unethical conduct in violation of rules promulgated under s. 458.24.”

Count II: Data Collection and Reporting Errors

7. Mr. Conner failed to identify the characteristics of the property that are relevant to the purpose and intended use of the appraisal, including, but not limited to: (a) failing to identify the township, section, town or range in which the property is located; (b) inadequate description of the physical characteristics of the land, specifically, describing the land as simply 21.3 acres with 345 frontage feet, when over 80% of the land is wetland and not buildable; (c) failing to report that over 80% of the land is located in a flood-plain per Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources Wetland Inventory maps; and (d) incorrectly identified the zoning as “residential,” when, in fact, the correct zoning was “recreation.”

8. Per USPAP Standards Rule 1-2, “In developing a real property appraisal, an appraiser must: (e) identify the characteristics of the property that are relevant to the purpose and intended use of the appraisal, including: (i) its location and physical, legal and economic attributes; ... (iv) any known... ordinances or other items of a similar nature;”

9. Mr. Conner failed to identify and analyze the effect on use and value of existing land use regulations by inaccurately identifying the zoning category, failing to identify over 80% of the land as being classified as wetland by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, and failing to analyze and comment on the impact on value and use of these applicable legal classifications.

10. Per USPAP Standards Rule 1-3, “... an appraiser must: (a) identify and analyze the effect on use and value of existing land use regulations...”

11. Mr. Conner failed to adequately collect comparable sales data, as evidenced by his claim to the Department that there was a, “lack of sales on the Wisconsin River,” when, in fact, there comparable sales located on the same flowage that were no more dissimilar from the comparables selected by Mr. Conner, and had occurred within 10 months of the date of Mr. Conner’s appraisal. Use of comparables located on the same flowage as the subject property would have significantly affected the results of the appraisal.

12. Mr. Conner failed to adequately analyze and adjust comparable sales data, as evidence by his claim to the Department that, “... some of the comparables (used by Mr. Conner) were on superior inland type lakes.” Yet the “Comments on Sales Comparisons” states that the “sales chosen are similar to the subject in... appeal, amenities, and location,” and that adjustments were, “due to acreage differences.” Further, all adjustments made to the comparables were in favor of increasing the value of the subject property based on acreage, and no adjustments were made due to the fact that the some of the comparable sales were located on “superior inland type lakes.” No negative adjustments appear in the report based upon comparison of the subject property to those on “superior inland type lakes.”

13. Per USPAP Standards Rule 1-1(a), “In developing a real property appraisal an appraiser must: (a) be aware of, understand, and correctly employ those recognized methods and techniques that are necessary to produce a credible appraisal; (b) not commit a substantial error of omission or commission that significantly affects an appraisal; and (c) not render appraisal services in a careless or negligent manner, such as by making a series of errors that, although individually might not significantly affect the results of an appraisal, in the aggregate affect the credibility of those results.”

14. Per USPAP Standards Rule 1-4, “In developing a real property appraisal, an appraiser must collect, verify, and analyze all information applicable to the appraisal problem... (a) When a sales comparison approach is applicable an appraiser must analyze such comparables sales data as are available to indicate a value conclusion.”

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Wisconsin Real Estate Appraisers Board has jurisdiction to act in these matters pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 458.26.

2. The Wisconsin Real Estate Appraisers Board has authority to enter into this stipulated resolution of this matter pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 227.44(5).

3. By failing to ensure the copy of the appraisal report not bearing his signature disclosed the significant assistance provided in the conduct of the appraisal and the creation of the appraisal report by Mr. Conner, **Jeffrey A. Conner** violated USPAP Standards Rules 2-2 and 2-3, which constitute violations of Wis. Admin. Code §§ RL 86.01(1) and (2), thereby subjecting himself to discipline per Wis. Stat. § 458.26(3)(b).

4. By failing to adequately identify the location of the property, the physical characteristics of the property, that a majority of the property is located within a flood plain, and incorrectly identifying the zoning classification of the property, **Jeffrey A. Conner** committed one or more violations of USPAP Standards Rule 2-2(e), which constitute violations of Wis. Admin. Code §§ RL 86.01(1) and (2), thereby subjecting themselves to discipline per Wis. Stat. § 458.26(3)(b).

5. By failing to identify and analyze the effect on use and value of existing land use regulations, specifically, the proper zoning category and the fact that most of the parcel is designated as wetlands by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, **Jeffery A. Conner** committed one or more violations of USPAP Standards Rule 1-3, which constitute violations of Wis. Admin. Code §§ RL 86.01(1) and (2), thereby subjecting themselves to discipline per Wis. Stat. § 458.26(3)(b).

6. By failing to adequately collect, select, analyze and adjust comparable sales data, **Jeffrey A. Conner** committed one or more violations of USPAP Standards Rule 1-1(a) and (b), and USPAP Standards Rule 1-4, which constitute violations of Wis. Admin. Code §§ RL 86.01(1) and (2), thereby subjecting themselves to discipline per Wis. Stat. § 458.26(3)(b).

7. By making a series of errors, as noted above in paragraphs 3-6 of these conclusions of law, above, **Jeffrey A. Conner** has committed one or more violations of USPAP Standards Rule 1-1(c), which constitute violations of Wis. Admin. Code §§ RL 86.01(1) and (2), thereby subjecting themselves to discipline per Wis. Stat. § 458.26(3)(b).

ORDER

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The license and certification of **Jeffrey A. Conner** is hereby **LIMITED**, as follows: (a) Respondent may not sign appraisal as a supervisory appraiser; (b) Respondent may not rely upon substantial appraisal work performed by another; and (c) Respondent shall not sign more than forty-three (43) appraisal reports in any calendar month. Proof of compliance with the limitation on number of appraisals shall be provided to the Department Monitor each month by having a certified public accountant review records and certify that Respondent is complying with the limitation. The limitations may be removed no sooner than one (1) year from the date of signing of this Order, and only if all terms and conditions of this Order that are applicable to Respondent have been complied with.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

3. Respondent **Jeffrey A. Conner** shall pay partial costs of this investigation to date, with the total amount of seven hundred (\$700.00) dollars, within six (6) months of the signing of this Order.

4. Respondent **Jeffrey A. Conner** shall submit his five most recent waterfront appraisals performed in Oneida County or any adjacent County, to Kyle S. Zastrow (10-138) for Mr. Zastrow's review. As an order for educational training, Respondent **Jeffrey A. Conner** will then meet with Mr. Zastrow at a mutually agreeable time and place to review these appraisals with him for a period of no less than four (4) hours, and no more than eight (8) hours. The meeting shall occur no later than August 15, 2006. Respondent **Jeffrey A. Conner** will pay Mr. Zastrow the sum of \$300.00 as compensation for his time and expertise. In addition, Respondent **Jeffrey A. Conner** successfully complete the following educational courses, to include the testing component, if any, within one (1) year of the date of signing of this Order, if Kyle S. Zastrow recommends that the course be taken after reviewing the five appraisals submitted to him by Respondent **Jeffrey A. Conner**: (a) Basic Appraisal Principles, conducted by the Appraisal Institute; and (b) Basic Appraisal Procedures, conducted by the Appraisal Institute. The education required by this Order may not be counted toward Respondent's continuing education obligations. Proof of completion shall be provided to the Department Monitor.

5. All payments and proof of completion of education required by this order to be submitted to the Department Monitor shall be mailed, faxed or delivered to:

Department Monitor
Division of Enforcement
Department of Regulation and Licensing
P.O. Box 8935, Madison, WI 53708-8935
Telephone (608) 261-7904, Fax (608) 266-2264

6. Case number 04 APP 025 is hereby closed as to Respondent **Jeffrey A. Conner** only.

7. Violation of any of the terms of this Order may be construed as conduct imperiling public health, safety and welfare and may result in a summary suspension of Respondent's license and certification. The Real Estate Appraisers Board in its discretion may in the alternative impose additional conditions and limitations or other additional discipline for a violation of any of the terms of this Order. In the event that Respondent fails to timely submit any payment of costs as set forth above, or fails to comply with the conditions of education the Respondent's license and certification (#4-1575) SHALL BE SUSPENDED, without further notice or hearing, until Respondent has complied with the terms of this Order.

8. This Order is effective on the date of its signing.

REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS BOARD

By: Mark P. Kowbel
A Member of the Board

7/12/2006
Date

STATE OF WISCONSIN
BEFORE THE REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS BOARD

IN RE DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS :
AGAINST :
TIMOTHY A. FIEREK and : STIPULATION AS TO RESPONDENT
JEFFREY A. CONNER, : JEFFERY A. CONNER
RESPONDENTS. : LS _____
:

Division of Enforcement Case No. 04 APP 025

- It is hereby stipulated and agreed, by and between Jeffrey A. Conner, Respondent (Conner); and Mark A. Herman, attorney for Complainant, State of Wisconsin, Department of Regulation and Licensing, Division of Enforcement, as follows:
1. This Stipulation resolves a pending investigation of Mr. Conner’s licensure by the Division of Enforcement (04 APP 025). Mr. Conner consents to the resolution of this investigation by stipulation and without the issuance of a formal complaint.
 2. Mr. Conner understands that by signing this Stipulation he voluntarily and knowingly waives his rights, including: the right to a hearing on the allegations against him, at which time the state has the burden of proving those allegations by a preponderance of the evidence; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to call witnesses on his behalf and to compel their attendance by subpoena; the right to testify himself; the right to file objections to any proposed decision and to present briefs or oral arguments to the officials who are to render the final decision; the right to petition for rehearing; and all other applicable rights afforded to him under the United States Constitution, the Wisconsin Constitution, the Wisconsin Statutes, the Wisconsin Administrative Code, and any other provisions of state or federal law.
 3. Mr. Conner has been provided with the opportunity to obtain legal counsel prior to signing this Stipulation.
 4. Mr. Conner agrees to the adoption of the attached Final Decision and Order by the Real Estate Appraisers Board (“Board”). The parties to the Stipulation consent to the entry of the attached Final Decision and Order without further notice, pleading, appearance or consent of the parties. Mr. Conner waives all rights to any appeal of the Board’s order, if adopted in the form as attached.
 5. If the terms of this Stipulation are not acceptable to the Board, the parties shall not be bound by the contents of this Stipulation, and the matter shall then be returned to the Division of Enforcement for further proceedings. In the event that this Stipulation is not accepted by the Board, the parties agree not to contend that the Board has been prejudiced or biased in any manner by the consideration of this attempted resolution.
 6. The parties to this Stipulation agree that the attorney or other agent for the Division of Enforcement and any member of the Real Estate Appraisers Board ever assigned as an advisor in this investigation may appear before the Board, without the presence of Respondent or his attorney, for purposes of speaking in support of this agreement and answering questions that any person may have in connection with the Board’s deliberations on the Stipulation. Additionally, any such Board advisor may vote on whether the Board should accept this Stipulation and issue the attached Final Decision and Order.
 7. Mr. Conner informed that should the Board adopt this Stipulation, the Board’s final decision and order is a public record and will be published in accordance with standard Department procedure.
 8. The Division of Enforcement joins Mr. Conner in recommending the Board adopt this Stipulation and issue the attached Final Decision and Order.

JEFFREY A. CONNER

Respondent

13525 Cathers Cove Lane

P.O. Box 991

Minocqua, WI 54548

Date

MARK A. HERMAN

Attorney, Division of Enforcement

1400 East Washington Avenue

Madison, WI 53708-8935

Date

[Case No. 04 APP 025 (Respondent Conner Only): Limitation, Education and \$700 Costs.]