WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION & LICENSING



Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing Access to the Public Records of the Reports of Decisions

This Reports of Decisions document was retrieved from the Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing website. These records are open to public view under Wisconsin's Open Records law, sections 19.31-19.39 Wisconsin Statutes.

Please read this agreement prior to viewing the Decision:

- The Reports of Decisions is designed to contain copies of all orders issued by credentialing authorities within the Department of Regulation and Licensing from November, 1998 to the present. In addition, many but not all orders for the time period between 1977 and November, 1998 are posted. Not all orders issued by a credentialing authority constitute a formal disciplinary action.
- Reports of Decisions contains information as it exists at a specific point in time in the
 Department of Regulation and Licensing data base. Because this data base changes
 constantly, the Department is not responsible for subsequent entries that update, correct or
 delete data. The Department is not responsible for notifying prior requesters of updates,
 modifications, corrections or deletions. All users have the responsibility to determine whether
 information obtained from this site is still accurate, current and complete.
- There may be discrepancies between the online copies and the original document. Original documents should be consulted as the definitive representation of the order's content. Copies of original orders may be obtained by mailing requests to the Department of Regulation and Licensing, PO Box 8935, Madison, WI 53708-8935. The Department charges copying fees. All requests must cite the case number, the date of the order, and respondent's name as it appears on the order.
- Reported decisions may have an appeal pending, and discipline may be stayed during the
 appeal. Information about the current status of a credential issued by the Department of
 Regulation and Licensing is shown on the Department's Web Site under "License Lookup."
 The status of an appeal may be found on court access websites at:
 http://ccap.courts.state.wi.us/InternetCourtAccess and http://www.courts.state.wi.us/licenses.
- Records not open to public inspection by statute are not contained on this website.

By viewing this document, you have read the above and agree to the use of the Reports of Decisions subject to the above terms, and that you understand the limitations of this on-line database.

Correcting information on the DRL website: An individual who believes that information on the website is inaccurate may contact the webmaster at web@drl.state.wi.gov

STATE OF WISCONSIN

BEFORE DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION AND LICENSING

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION	:	
FOR LICENSE OF	: FINAL DECISION	
	: AND ORDER	
STEVEN GOTTSCHALK,	: LS0304291REB	
APPLICANT.	:	
	of Regulation and Licensing, having considered the above- cord and the Proposed Decision of the Administrative Law Judg	e,
	ORDER	
	ed that the Proposed Decision annexed hereto, filed by the y is made and ordered the Final Decision of the State of Wiscor	nsin,
The rights of a party aggrieved by th for judicial review are set forth on the attache	is Decision to petition the department for rehearing and the peed "Notice of Appeal Information."	etitior
Dated this 31 st day of July, 2003.		
Donsia Strong Hill, Secretary Department of Regulation and Licensing		

STATE OF WISCONSIN

IN THE MATTER OF		
THE APPLICATION FOR A LICENSE OF		
STEVEN T. GOTTSCHALK,		
Applicant		
PROPOSED DECISION		
The parties to this proceeding, for the purposes of § 227.53, Stats., are:		
Steven T. Gottschalk		
115 4 th Street, #24		
Montello, WI 53949		
Department of Regulation & Licensing		
Division of Enforcement		
1400 East Washington Avenue		
P.O. Box 8935		
Madison, WI 53708		
Department of Regulation & Licensing		
1400 East Washington Avenue		
P.O. Box 8935		
Madison, WI 53708		
A Class I hearing was conducted in the above-captioned matter on June 25, 2003, at 1400 East Washington Avenue, Madison, Wisconsin. Applicant Steven Gottschalk did not appear. The Division of Enforcement appeared by Attorney Claudia Berry Miran.		
Based upon the entire record in this case, the administrative law judge recommends that the department of Regulation & Licensing adopt as its final decision in the matter the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order.		

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Steven T. Gottschalk (Mr. Gottschalk), applicant herein, filed his application for a license to practice as a real estate salesperson by application dated February 6, 2003. On his application, Mr. Bell indicated five convictions of issuing worthless checks and three convictions of Theft.
- 2. By letter dated February 26, 2003, the Department of Regulation & Licensing (department) denied the application. The letter of denial cites as the basis for the denial the eight convictions. Mr. Gottschalk timely filed his request for a hearing on the denial of his application.
 - 3. Mr. Gottschalk failed to appear for the hearing in this matter.
- 4. The circumstances of the convictions of issuing worthless checks and of theft are substantially related to the practice of a real estate salesperson.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Department has jurisdiction in this matter under § 452.05, Stats.
- 2. The circumstances of Mr. Gottschalk's convictions are substantially related to the circumstances of the practice of a real estate salesperson for the purposes of $\S 11.335(1)(c)$, Stats.

ORDER

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the denial of the application of Steven T. Gottschalk for licensure as a real estate salesperson be, and hereby is, affirmed.

OPINION

Because Mr. Gottschalk failed to appear for the hearing which he had requested, this record lacks sufficient evidence to support a reversal of the department's decision to deny the application. The Division of Enforcement did include in its exhibits a letter from Mr. Gottschalk's former employer, Joe Sauve of Universal Silencer; a letter from Gregory A. Slayton, the real estate broker for whom Mr. Gottschalk is or was employed as an assistant; and a memo from K.J. Dickman, Mr. Gottschalk's probation officer. Mr. Sauve and Mr. Slayton both support Mr. Gottschalk's licensure. Mr. Dickmann's memo indicates that Mr. Gottschalk "has complied marginally with probation," but concludes that the only concern he would have with licensing Mr. Gottschalk "would revolve around what role he would play in handling any monies." Had Mr. Gottschalk provided testimony and other evidence in mitigation of the various convictions, that evidence combined with the supporting letters might militate for issuance of some kind of limited license. Absent such evidence, and given that the circumstances of convictions for theft and issuing worthless checks unquestionably relate to the circumstances of the practice of real estate, there is not sufficient basis for deciding that the department's initial determination was not fully justified.

Respectfully submitted,

Wayne R. Austin

Administrative Law Judge