

WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION & LICENSING



Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing Access to the Public Records of the Reports of Decisions

This Reports of Decisions document was retrieved from the Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing website. These records are open to public view under Wisconsin's Open Records law, sections 19.31-19.39 Wisconsin Statutes.

Please read this agreement prior to viewing the Decision:

- The Reports of Decisions is designed to contain copies of all orders issued by credentialing authorities within the Department of Regulation and Licensing from November, 1998 to the present. In addition, many but not all orders for the time period between 1977 and November, 1998 are posted. Not all orders issued by a credentialing authority constitute a formal disciplinary action.
- Reports of Decisions contains information as it exists at a specific point in time in the Department of Regulation and Licensing data base. Because this data base changes constantly, the Department is not responsible for subsequent entries that update, correct or delete data. The Department is not responsible for notifying prior requesters of updates, modifications, corrections or deletions. All users have the responsibility to determine whether information obtained from this site is still accurate, current and complete.
- There may be discrepancies between the online copies and the original document. Original documents should be consulted as the definitive representation of the order's content. Copies of original orders may be obtained by mailing requests to the Department of Regulation and Licensing, PO Box 8935, Madison, WI 53708-8935. The Department charges copying fees. *All requests must cite the case number, the date of the order, and respondent's name as it appears on the order.*
- Reported decisions may have an appeal pending, and discipline may be stayed during the appeal. Information about the current status of a credential issued by the Department of Regulation and Licensing is shown on the Department's Web Site under "License Lookup." The status of an appeal may be found on court access websites at: <http://ccap.courts.state.wi.us/InternetCourtAccess> and <http://www.courts.state.wi.us/wscqa>.
- Records not open to public inspection by statute are not contained on this website.

By viewing this document, you have read the above and agree to the use of the Reports of Decisions subject to the above terms, and that you understand the limitations of this on-line database.

Correcting information on the DRL website: An individual who believes that information on the website is inaccurate may contact the webmaster at web@drl.state.wi.gov

STATE OF WISCONSIN
BEFORE THE PHARMACY EXAMINING BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF

DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST:

| | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| WALLACE L. SIMONS, R.Ph., | SECOND AMENDED FINAL |
| CAROL L. PETERSEN, R.Ph., and | DECISION AND ORDER |
| WOMEN'S INTERNATIONAL | (Following Remand) |
| PHARMACY, INC., | |
| RESPONDENTS | LS9806121PHM |

PARTIES

The parties in this matter under section 227.44 of the Statutes and section RL 2.037 of the Wisconsin Administrative Code, and for purposes of review under sec. 227.53, Stats. are:

Disciplinary Authority:

Pharmacy Examining Board
1400 East Washington Ave.
Madison, WI 53703

The board and the division are represented by:

Attorney Arthur Thexton
Division of Enforcement
Department of Regulation and Licensing
1400 East Washington Ave.
Madison, WI 53703

Complainant:

Division of Enforcement
Department of Regulation and Licensing
Madison, WI 53708-8935

Respondents:

Wallace L. Simons, R.Ph.
5852 East Dalea Drive
P.O. Box 2125

Carefree, AZ 85377

Carol L. Petersen, R.Ph.

5708 Monona Drive

Madison, WI 53716

Women's International Pharmacy, Inc.

2 Marsh Ct.

Madison, WI 53718

The respondents are represented by:

Attorney Colleen O'Connor Patzer

Michael Best & Friedrich, LLP

100 E. Wisconsin Ave.

Milwaukee, WI 53202

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On January 22, 2001, the Wisconsin Pharmacy Examining Board adopted a Final Decision and Order ("*Order*") in the above referenced matter. At its March 14, 2001 meeting, the Wisconsin Pharmacy Examining Board considered a petition for rehearing in a contested case timely filed on behalf of the respondents pursuant to sec. 227.49 (1), Wis. Stats., seeking modifications to the *Order*. Not present during the deliberation of that matter and abstaining from participating in the decision were Cynthia Benning, R.Ph., professional member, and John P. Bohlman, R.Ph., professional member and chairman. After review and consideration of the petition and the state's response thereto the board under the authority of sections 227.49 (3) and (5), Wis. Stats., denied the petition and entered an order to amend the *Order*, dated March 22, 2001. On April 10, 2001, the board entered an Order Fixing Costs in the amount of \$10,604.10 which in part reflected the costs associated with Count I (96 PHM 040). The intent of the board in issuing its April 10, 2001, Order Fixing Costs was to disallow costs associated with Count II (97 PHM 054) of the complaint.

The respondents timely appealed the board's Amended *Order* and Order Fixing Costs to the State of Wisconsin, Circuit Court, Dane County, Branch 1, the Honorable Robert A. DeChambeau, presiding. After review, the court entered a Memorandum Decision and Order dated May 3, 2002, remanding the Amended *Order* and the Order Fixing Costs to the board for further action consistent with the court's order of remand.

FOLLOWING REMAND TO THE BOARD:

Based on the entire record of this case and the court's Memorandum Decision and Order dated May 3, 2002, the board makes the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order in this Second Amended Final Decision and Order.

Explanation of modifications contained in the Second Amended Final Decision and Order and Order Fixing Costs following remand.

Not present during the deliberation of this matter and abstaining from participating in the decision were Cynthia Benning, R.Ph., professional member, and John P. Bohlman, R.Ph., professional member.

1. The court reversed the board's Conclusions of Law IX and VI contained in the *Order*, and remanded those parts of the board's decision for further proceedings consistent with the court's order. Accordingly, the board strikes Conclusions of Law IX and VI from this Second Amended Final Decision and Order.
2. The reference to Conclusion of Law VI contained in Conclusion of Law VII is also stricken.
3. A new forfeiture in the amount of (\$6000), six thousand dollars is assessed. This reflects the previously assessed forfeiture of (\$8000), eight thousand dollars, reduced by (\$2000), two thousand dollars. The reduction is based upon striking the violation previously represented by Conclusion of Law VI.

4. The Order Fixing Costs, dated April 10, 2001, fixed costs in the amount of \$10,604.10. Included in this total was the sum of \$9,797.86 which were the claimed costs filed by Attorney Arthur K. Thexton associated with Count I (96 PHM 040). Accepting the hearing examiner's recommendation, the board declined to order costs associated with Count II (97 PHM 054).

The court's Memorandum Decision and Order of Remand noted a cost item from Count II improperly recorded on the affidavit of costs associated with Count I, reflecting three hours of attorney time for, "12/10/1999 Prep closing argument on Ct 2.". Attorney Thexton's hourly billing rate was \$41.00 per hour. Accordingly, an Amended Order Fixing Costs will be issued by the board ordering payment of costs in the amount of \$10,481.10, reflecting a reduction of \$123.00 for the errantly charged cost item belonging to Count II.

The board has further reviewed the affidavit of costs filed for both Count I (96 PHM 040) and Count II (97 PHM 054). It appears based on the patent descriptions of the cost items contained therein that no other disallowed costs from Count II were errantly claimed as cots associated with Count I.

The board also re-reviewed the Appendix to the Proposed Decision and Final Order originally filed by the hearing examiner. The Appendix contains a timeline procedural history of this matter and specifically references litigation activity related to both Counts I and II. The board utilized this Appendix to review the costs recorded in the affidavits of costs for the two Counts. As an example, the Appendix notes in relation to Count I, "36. Mr. Thexton filed the State's Reply Argument on April 7, 2000." The corresponding affidavit of costs for Count I contains the entry, "04/07/2000 Finalize reply brief", two hours. The board's general review of he Appendix leads it to conclude that the matters related to Count I were primarily submitted upon written briefs and appear to be accurately reflected in the affidavit of costs for Count I (96 PHM 040). This is further evidenced by the extensive use of stipulations related to Count I, which are reflected in the affidavit of costs submitted for Count I.

A review of the Appendix also reveals that early litigation efforts included the respondent's legal argument related to its claim of a right to representation by legal counsel not admitted to practice in Wisconsin.

As a final check to guard against the admixture of costs, the board re-reviewed the parties' written legal arguments and supporting documentation submitted to the board related to the hearing examiner's Proposed Final Decision and Order. (Agenda-November 2000, Wisconsin Pharmacy Examining Board) Based upon this review, the litigation of issues related to Count II do not appear to be reflected in the charged costs for any documents prepared by Thexton for submission to the board and reflected on the affidavit of costs for Count I (96 PHM 040).

APPLICABLE STATUTES AND RULES

Wisconsin Statute

450.10 Disciplinary proceedings; immunity; orders.

(1) (a) In this subsection, "unprofessional conduct" includes, but is not limited to:

...

2. Violating this chapter or, subject to s. 961.38 (4r), ch. 961 or any federal or state statute or rule which substantially relates to the practice of the licensee.

...

6. Engaging in conduct in the practice of the licensee which evidences a lack of knowledge or ability to apply professional principles or skills.

...

Wisconsin Administrative Code

Phar 7.07 Medication profile record system.

...

(2) The following minimum information shall be retrievable:

...

(h) Directions for use.

...

Phar 10.03 Unprofessional conduct.

The following without limitation because of enumeration, are violations of standards of professional conduct and constitute unprofessional conduct in addition to those grounds specified under s. 450.10 (1), Stats.:

...

(2) Engaging in any pharmacy practice which constitutes a danger to the health, welfare, or safety of patient or public, including but not limited to, practicing in a manner which substantially departs from the standard of care ordinarily exercised by a pharmacist which harmed or could have harmed a patient;

...

(18) Violating or attempting to violate any formal disciplinary order of the board.

Federal Statute

Section 301 (I) of the Food, Drug & Cosmetic Act, 21 USC §331 (I) (repealed effective 11/21/97) The following acts and the causing thereof are hereby prohibited:

...

(I) The using, on the labeling of any drug or device or in any advertising relating to such drug or device, of any representation or suggestion that approval of an application with respect to such drug or device is in effect under section 505, 515, or 520(g), as the case may be, or that such drug or device complies with the provisions of such section.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The respondent, Wallace L. Simons, R.Ph., is licensed as a registered pharmacist in the state of Wisconsin, under license number 7258.
2. The respondent, Carol L. Petersen, R.Ph., is licensed as a registered pharmacist in the state of Wisconsin, under license number 8487.
3. The respondent, Women's International Pharmacy, Inc., is licensed as a pharmacy in the state of Wisconsin, under license number 7091. [Current number 8131 resulting from a change of location during pendency of this action.] At all times material to this matter, the license issued to Women's International Pharmacy was limited pursuant to a board order dated August 16, 1995 [exhibit 102].
4. At all times material to this matter, Mr. Simons was the president and 50% owner of Women's International Pharmacy, Inc., and he was responsible for its policies.
5. At all times material to this matter, Ms. Petersen was the managing pharmacist of Women's International Pharmacy, Inc., and she was responsible for its professional operations.
6. Women's International Pharmacy specializes in the compounding and sale and distribution of micronized progesterone.

Regarding count 1 of the complaint, D.O.E. informal complaint # 96 PHM 40

7. The order issued by the board on August 16, 1995 states

C. No compounded drug product shall be delivered, dispensed, or otherwise transferred to another pharmacy for dispensing to a patient unless respondent has informed that pharmacy that the portion of the medication container label showing the name, address and telephone number of Women's International Pharmacy must appear on the medication container dispensed to the patient, and Women's International Pharmacy makes and documents direct telephone contact with each patient to provide a consultation.

8. (by stipulation). From August 16, 1995 until approximately September 3, 1996, pursuant to paragraph C of the board order, Women's International Pharmacy attempted to contact by telephone all patients who received prescriptions compounded by Women's International Pharmacy through another pharmacy. During these attempts, many patients stated that they were confused by the phone calls and reacted negatively.

9 (by stipulation). In response to these statements of patients and their negative reaction to the telephone calls, between approximately September 3, 1996 and July 19, 1999, Women's International Pharmacy ceased telephoning, and routinely sent cards to patients receiving prescriptions through other pharmacies [exhibit 103]. The complete text of the postcard is:

Dear _____

Women's International Pharmacy recently mailed to your pharmacy, _____, a prescription for you compounded by our pharmacy. Our state pharmacy regulations require that we contact you to offer consultation services regarding any questions you may have about your medication. Therefore, if you would like to consult with a pharmacist, please call our toll free number, (800) 279-5708 and follow the menu instructions.

It is our goal to provide the highest quality prescriptions and service available.

Thank you,

Customer Service

10. (by stipulation). Neither Mr. Simon nor Ms. Petersen informed the board or the department of this change in their procedure at the time it was implemented. During an investigative interview by Investigator Karen Fahlgren on March 26, 1997, Ms. Petersen told Ms. Fahlgren of this change in policy and the rationale for the change. Following the investigative interview, respondents' counsel had telephone discussions with the board's prosecuting attorney regarding the investigation which was open in this matter. In April, 1997, respondents' counsel attempted to discuss these changes in policy and the reasons therefor directly with the board [exhibits 104 - 107]. Since July 19, 1999, Women's International Pharmacy has both sent cards and telephoned all patients.

11. The order issued by the board on August 16, 1995 states

F. Respondents shall not provide reprints, articles or other printed materials purporting to state that the active ingredients in respondent's products, or formulae similar to those used by respondents, are safe and effective unless those articles were published in refereed journals. Respondents shall not promote directly or through Genesis Systems Corporation or another, any particular formula or dosage of any drug or drug product which they compound, and shall advise and counsel Genesis Systems Corporation not to so promote. Upon request, respondents shall submit all promotional and informational materials in use at that time (and for the year preceding the request) to the Board for review by the Board or its designee. Such submission is for informational purposes only. All material intended to be sent to practitioners or patients shall bear the date of printing after 12/1/95. All reprints of articles or similar materials shall bear the disclaimer: "Reprinted for promotional purposes by Genesis Systems Corporation for Women's International Pharmacy" or similar wording, after 12/1/95.

12. (by stipulation). Between December 1, 1995 and March 27, 1999, Women's International Pharmacy routinely sent a promotional brochure [exhibit 108] and a document entitled "Business Policies" [exhibit 113] to new patients and new prescribers. A cover letter [exhibit 109] and a document entitled "News You Can Use" [exhibit 110] were sent only to new prescribers. A chart of a study [exhibit 111] was sent to new and current prescribers. A cover letter [exhibit 112] was sent only to new patients. A cover letter [exhibit 114], a reprint entitled "Estrogen Replacement Therapy" [exhibit 115], a reprint entitled "New Therapy for Menopause Reduces Risks" [exhibit 116], and a report entitled "The Postmenopausal Estrogen/Progestin Interventions (PEPI) Trial" [exhibit 117] were sent to prescribers, patients, and physicians who requested information from Women's International Pharmacy.

13. Exhibits 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, and 115 contain no date of printing. Exhibit 115 is a reprint entitled "Estrogen Replacement Therapy", apparently excerpted from a book. Exhibit 116 is a reprint from the New York Times entitled "New Therapy for Menopause Reduces Risks"; it contains a date of (original) printing. Exhibit 117 is a report from the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute entitled "The Postmenopausal Estrogen/Progestin Interventions (PEPI) Trial"; it contains a date of (original) printing.

14. The order issued by the board on August 16, 1995 states

H. All products containing progesterone or any estrogen shall contain a Patient Package Insert containing all FDA-required content no later than August 1, 1995. If, for reasons beyond respondents' control, the PPI cannot be made ready by this date, a reasonable extension shall be granted.

15 (by stipulation). Between August 1, 1995 and the date of the stipulation, December 22, 1999, Women's International Pharmacy routinely provided patients with the same Patient Package Insert with all of its products containing estrogen or progesterone [exhibit 118]. The insert included all required FDA text. The language at the top of the insert which is not in columnar format was not part of the required FDA text, and was created by Mr. Simon and Ms. Petersen in consultation with their attorneys. The complete text of the added portion is:

Enclosed you will find copies of the FDA approved package inserts for estrogens and gestational products. While we are complying with FDA rules by providing these inserts, we would like to clarify that the FDA gathered this information based upon the usage of the traditional hormone replacement therapies. This includes using estrogen without properly balancing it with progesterone and also

includes the use of synthetic analog hormones. As you will note, the FDA indicates that the usage of such agents, as described, on the attached inserts can be problematic. Please also note that the natural hormone therapy you are receiving has not as yet been evaluated by the FDA. Natural progesterone and estrogens are identical to the molecules formed in the human body.

16. Prior to November 21, 1997, section 301 (1) of the Food, Drug & Cosmetic Act, 21 USC section 331 (1) prohibited:

(l) The using, on the labeling of any drug or device or in any advertising relating to such drug or device, of any representation or suggestion that approval of an application with respect to such drug or device is in effect under section 505, 515, or 520(g), as the case may be, or that such drug or device complies with the provisions of such section.

17 (by stipulation). From as early as 1988 to the date of the stipulation, December 22, 1999, Women's International Pharmacy routinely sent an informational sheet headed "most asked questions about natural oral progesterone" [exhibit 119] to patients. The sheet included the following:

Question: Is the oral form of natural progesterone approved by the F.D.A.?

Answer: Natural micronized progesterone has F.D.A. approval. The oral form of natural progesterone is compounded from a physician's prescription by a licensed pharmacist using F.D.A. approved ingredients. Therefore, it does not require separate F.D.A. approval.

Regarding count 2 of the complaint, D.O.E. informal complaint # 97 PHM 54

18. A patient in Ashland, Oregon, Gayle Vezie, obtained a prescription from Dr. Linda Harris in a nearby town, for progesterone in dosages of 100 mg to be taken twice a day orally.

19. Women's International Pharmacy filled the prescription and provided Ms. Vezie with capsules containing 100 mg of micronized progesterone in oil. This dispensing is within the authorized scope of Women's International Pharmacy's license.

20. On June 10th or 11th, 1997, Ms. Vezie, concerned over a perceived side-effect of the medication, called both Dr. Harris's office and Women's International Pharmacy, leaving messages for a return call at both locations.

21. On the same day, an employee of Women's International Pharmacy, who was almost certainly a staff pharmacist, returned the call to Ms. Vezie and spoke to her about her concern.

22. In the conversation above, the employee told Ms. Vezie of an alternative route of administration of the progesterone which might reduce the unwanted side effect. The employee explained that instead of taking the progesterone orally, Ms. Vezie could remove the progesterone from the capsules and rub it on her skin, specifically on her wrist.

23. In the same conversation, Ms. Vezie most likely told the employee that she had called her prescribing doctor's office and was expecting a call back, and the employee most likely expected Ms. Vezie to discuss her concerns and the alternative route of administration with her doctor.

24. On June 11, 1997, a nurse from Dr. Harris's office made the following note in Ms. Vezie's record: "TC: pt c/o being 'tired & very drowsy' for the 8d that she's been taking Progesterone - pt states she called WIP & they told her that can be a side effect - WIP rec to pt to open capsule & put gel on skin instead - pt is concerned about this side effect, but will finish the x14d of it anyway & see if she has period. K. Peel, MA".

25. Dr. Harris did not return Ms. Vezie's call for over a month.

26. Based on the information she was given by the employee of Women's International Pharmacy, and without a return call from her physician, Ms. Vezie changed the route of administration.

27. When Dr. Harris did return Ms. Vezie's call in late July, Ms. Vezie had been applying the progesterone topically for a month and a half. Dr. Harris became upset over what she perceived as an unauthorized change in the route of administration.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I. The Pharmacy Examining Board has personal jurisdiction over the respondents, based on their each holding a credential issued by the board, and based on notice under sec. 801.04 (2), Stats.

II. The Pharmacy Examining Board is the legal authority responsible for issuing and controlling credentials for pharmacists and pharmacies, under ch. 450, Stats., and it has jurisdiction over the subject-matter of a complaint alleging unprofessional conduct, under sec. 15.08(5)(c), Stats., sec. 450.10, Stats., and ch. Phar 10, Wis. Admin. Code.

III. By only sending post cards and not telephoning patients between approximately September 3, 1996 and July 19, 1999, Women's International Pharmacy violated paragraph C of the board's August 16, 1995 order.

IV. By sending undated material, Women's International Pharmacy violated paragraph F of the board's August 16, 1995 order.

V. By sending reprints of articles not published in refereed journals, Women's International Pharmacy violated paragraph F of the board's August 16, 1995 order.

VI. (Stricken)

VII. The violations of a board order in conclusions of law III, IV, V and VI constitute unprofessional conduct, under sec. Phar 10.03 (18), Wis. Admin. Code.

VIII. (Stricken)

IX. (Stricken)

X. There is no evidence to support the allegation that for a period of time extending over a period of years, and including the day June 10, 1997, Women's International Pharmacy had a policy of having pharmacists advise patients to alter the route of administration for hormones from oral to topical. Nor is there sufficient evidence to prove that on one occasion on June 10th or 11th, 1997, an employee of Women's International Pharmacy advised a patient, Gayle Vezie, to alter the route of administration from oral to topical without recommending that she contact her physician about the change.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Wallace Simons be reprimanded.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Women's International Pharmacy pay a forfeiture of \$6,000, and if the forfeiture is not paid in full within 45 days after the date this order is signed by a member of the Pharmacy Examining Board, the license issued to Women's International Pharmacy shall be suspended indefinitely, until the forfeiture is paid in full.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Carol Petersen be reprimanded.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Count 2 of the complaint be dismissed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the respondents pay all costs associated with count 1 of this proceeding, as authorized by sec. 440.22 (2), Stats., and sec. RL 2.18, Wis. Admin. Code. The respondents shall not be responsible to pay the costs associated with count 2 of the complaint. If there are costs which cannot be allocated either to count 1 or to count 2, one-half shall be assumed to be assigned to count 1 and one-half to count 2. The respondents shall be responsible for the costs jointly and severally, and if the costs remain unpaid 90 days after the date of the order establishing the costs, the licenses of all respondents shall be summarily suspended, under sec. 440.22 (3), Stats., until the costs are paid in full.

Dated: 6/12/02

STATE OF WISCONSIN

PHARMACY EXAMINING BOARD

Susan Sutter

Chairperson