WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION & LICENSING



Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing Access to the Public Records of the Reports of Decisions

This Reports of Decisions document was retrieved from the Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing website. These records are open to public view under Wisconsin's Open Records law, sections 19.31-19.39 Wisconsin Statutes.

Please read this agreement prior to viewing the Decision:

- The Reports of Decisions is designed to contain copies of all orders issued by credentialing authorities within the Department of Regulation and Licensing from November, 1998 to the present. In addition, many but not all orders for the time period between 1977 and November, 1998 are posted. Not all orders issued by a credentialing authority constitute a formal disciplinary action.
- Reports of Decisions contains information as it exists at a specific point in time in the
 Department of Regulation and Licensing data base. Because this data base changes
 constantly, the Department is not responsible for subsequent entries that update, correct or
 delete data. The Department is not responsible for notifying prior requesters of updates,
 modifications, corrections or deletions. All users have the responsibility to determine whether
 information obtained from this site is still accurate, current and complete.
- There may be discrepancies between the online copies and the original document. Original documents should be consulted as the definitive representation of the order's content. Copies of original orders may be obtained by mailing requests to the Department of Regulation and Licensing, PO Box 8935, Madison, WI 53708-8935. The Department charges copying fees. All requests must cite the case number, the date of the order, and respondent's name as it appears on the order.
- Reported decisions may have an appeal pending, and discipline may be stayed during the
 appeal. Information about the current status of a credential issued by the Department of
 Regulation and Licensing is shown on the Department's Web Site under "License Lookup."
 The status of an appeal may be found on court access websites at:
 http://ccap.courts.state.wi.us/InternetCourtAccess and http://www.courts.state.wi.us/licenses.
- Records not open to public inspection by statute are not contained on this website.

By viewing this document, you have read the above and agree to the use of the Reports of Decisions subject to the above terms, and that you understand the limitations of this on-line database.

Correcting information on the DRL website: An individual who believes that information on the website is inaccurate may contact the webmaster at web@drl.state.wi.gov

STATE OF WISCONSIN	
BEFORE THE REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS BOARD	
IN THE MATTER OF THE DISCIPLINARY	
PROCEEDINGS AGAINST:	
GARY M. KUEHLING,	FINAL DECISION AND ORDER
RESPONDENT	
The parties to this action for the purposes of W	is. Stats. sec. 227.53 are:
Gary M. Kuehling	
P.O. Box 1028	
Eagle River, WI 54521-1028	
Bureau of Business and Design Professions	
Real Estate Appraisers Board	
P.O. Box 8935	
Madison, WI 53708-8935	
Department of Regulation and Licensing	
Division of Enforcement	
P.O. Box 8935	
Madison, WI 53708-8935	
The state of Wisconsin Real Estate Appraisers B hereto of the parties, in resolution of the caption	oard, having considered the Stipulation agreement annexed - oned-matters, makes the following:
	<u>ORDER</u>
the Stipulation Agreement annexed - hereto, file	t pursuant to jurisdiction and authority granted to the Board, that ed by Complainant's attorney, shall be and hereby is incorporated, of the state of Wisconsin, Real Estate Appraisers Board.
Let a copy of this Order be served on	Respondent by certified mail.
Dated this 30th day of January 2002	

Paul Vozar, or Designee

Real Estate Appraisers Board

BEFORE THE REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS BOARD	
IN THE MATTER OF THE DISCIPLINARY	
PROCEEDINGS AGAINST:	
GARY M. KUEHLING,	STIPULATION
RESPONDENT	

Respondent Gary M. Kuehling (Kuehling), and Complainant's Attorney, Henry E. Sanders, Division of Enforcement, having reached agreement for disposition of the captioned-matters, stipulate and agrees as follows:

- 1. Respondent Kuehling of P.O. Box 1028, Eagle River, Wisconsin 54521, was at all time material to the complaint, granted a certificate of licensure and certificate of certification as a Certified General Appraiser, and had been so licensed/certified under the provisions of ch. 458, Wis. Stats., since November 28, 1992.
 - a. Respondent's appraisal's certification is presently expired. However, because he has a right of renewal, the Board still retains jurisdiction of him.
- 2. This Stipulation shall be submitted to the Real Estate Appraisers Board (Board) for approval and disposition(s) of the matters. If the terms of the Stipulation are not acceptable to the Board, then the parties shall not be bound by any of the provision of the Stipulation.
 - a. This Stipulation is dispositive of Investigative Complaint #s 99 APP 023 & 99 APP 031.
- 3. Respondent has been advised of his right to Public Hearings on each and every allegation of the complaints, but hereby freely and voluntarily waive his right to hearings in these matters on the condition that all provisions of the Stipulation be acceptable to and approved by the Board.
 - a. Respondent further agrees to wave any appeal(s) of the Board's Final Decision and Order adopting the Stipulation Agreement.
- 4. By Final Decision and Order of the Real Estate Appraisers Board dated November 19, 1997, Exhibit "A" attached hereto, regarding Complaints #s 94 APP 002 & 94 APP 015, Respondent was previously disciplined by the Board.
- 5. Further, the Department received Complaint # <u>97 APP 037</u> against Respondent in 1997, from a consumer who complained that he had been appointed by a court as executor of his father's estate to settle the father's estate, that the consumer was ordered to obtain an appraisal of a vacant lot owned by the father.

The consumer hired Respondent to perform the subject appraisal, with Respondent agreeing to perform the appraisal immediately if the consumer would forward a cashier's check to him, which the consumer did.

Thereafter, more than three (3) months after Respondent had been hired and paid by the consumer, and after repeated telephone calls to Respondent – which were not returned – Respondent had not performed the subject appraisal report. The consumer ultimately received the subject appraisal report more than three (3) months after Respondent was hired to perform it.

Prior to the subject complaint being opened as Investigative Complaint # 97 APP 037 against Respondent, the subject complaint was reviewed by the Appraisers' Board Screening Panel, which mailed a "10-day letter" to Respondent requesting a detailed written response to the allegations of the complaint within 10 days of receipt of the letter, and copies of relevant documents.

Respondent never responded to the 10-day letter, and Complaint # 97 APP 037 was opened against Respondent, and the allegations were investigated.

The investigation concluded with conclusions by the Board that Respondent had violated various appraisal related statutes and or administrative rules, but chose to close the investigative complaint on the basis of "Prosecutorial Discretion," and the sending of "Letter of Concern" to Respondent, Exhibit "B" attached hereto, which provided in pertinent part:

"The Real Estate Appraisers Board is concerned that you may be taking on too much of a backlog if you cannot get your reports out to the client in a timely manner and by not allowing the client to seek another appraiser if your timeframes for completing the reports are unacceptable to the client."

99 APP 023

- 6. Thereafter, the Department received a complaint against Respondent from a consumer, alleging that Respondent was hired on about July 5-6, 1999, by a lender to perform an appraisal of the consumer's resident pursuant to the consumer's refinance application. Respondent was informed that time was of the essence regarding completing the appraisal report.
- 7. Respondent went to the consumers residence on July 7, 1999, and inspected the subject property inside and outside. Respondent was contacted by the lender on July 8, 1999, regarding Respondent's appraisal's value conclusions.
- 8. Respondent orally informed the lender that the value of the subject residence had come in at about \$189,000.00. The lender informed Respondent that he needed the appraisal report immediately.
- 9. However and subsequently, notwithstanding several telephone calls to Respondent from the Consumer, his wife, and the lender, as of the date of the lender filing this subject complaint on August 25, 1999, Respondent never completed and or submitted an appraisal report to the consumer or the lender.
- 10. Ultimately, the lender and the consumer was required to have a different appraiser perform an appraisal of the subject property. Interest rates had increased which adversely affected the consumer's refinance application.
- 11. Again, the Real Estate Appraisers Screening Panel sent Respondent a 10-day letter dated September 15, 1999, regarding the allegations, and requested Respondent to provide a detailed response to the allegations in the complaint within 10-days of receipt of the letter and to provide copies of all relevant documents; while informing Respondent that if it did not receive his response, a decision would be based on the information in the complaint, regarding making a decision to open an investigation or not.
 - a. Respondent never responded to the letter and the subject complaint was opened for investigation.
- 12. Respondent was contacted by investigators assigned to the complaint via letters dated October 28, 1999, May 2, 2000, and August 18, 2000, which Respondent failed to respond to.
- 13. Ultimately, via a letter to Respondent dated August 28, 2000, which threatened to put him into an administrative-disciplinary hearing, Respondent responded erroneously, but failed to provide any relevant documentation.
 - a. Respondent responded in pertinent part that "since no appraisal work was performed in this case, I do not have a file or further notes or papers to submit."
- 14. Succinctly, an investigation into the allegations determined credibly that Complainant's allegations were true, that Respondent's responses were not credible: and several other documented instances of Respondent failing to complete appraisal assignments were documented.

99 APP 031

- 15. The Department also received this complaint against Respondent from a lender, F&M Bank, Woodruff, Wisconsin, in October 1999, which complained the Respondent was hired in June 1999, by Complainant to appraise a subject property, which was the subject of an Offer to Purchase, subject to a financing contingency by the prospective purchaser; that notwithstanding several telephone calls to Respondent from the prospective purchaser and lender, and that on September 5, 1999, Respondent promised to have the appraisal report into the lender's office by September 8, 1999, Respondent has never produced any-promised appraisal report.
- 16. The subject Offer to Purchase became null and void because the prospective purchaser was not able to remove the financing contingency because Complainant Bank could not commit to a loan without an appraisal.
- 17. Respondent was contacted regarding the allegations, and Respondent indicated succinctly that, he was hired directly by the borrower to perform the appraisal, who indicated to Respondent that the borrower would be responsible for Respondent's appraisal's fees; that when he arrived to perform the appraisal, he asked the borrower for his check, that the borrower indicated that he could not pay Respondent then, that if Respondent would do the appraisal then, he would pay Respondent later out of the loan proceeds. Respondent purportedly informed the borrower that, that was not acceptable to him and that he could not perform the appraisal on that basis.
 - a. The lender & prospective purchaser disputes the above assertions.
- 18. Accordingly, based upon the investigations and the above-enumerated facts, regarding Complaint # 99 APP 023 and Respondent's failure to complete appraisal assignments, Respondent is deemed to have variously violated secs. 458.26(3)(a)-(b),(e), Stats., (a) made a material misstatement ... in information furnished to the Board or Department, (b) Engaged in unprofessional or unethical conduct in violations of rules promulgated

under 458.24, and (e) Advertised in a manner that is false, deceptive or misleading; has, by giving an oral appraisal value without subsequently preparing a written appraisal report, or retaining a work file to support his oral appraisal value, violated Secs. RL 86.01(1)-(2), Wis. Adm. Code, (1) ... Appraisers shall comply with the Standards of Practice established by ch. 458, Stats., and chs. RL 80 to 86 and the standards set forth in USPAP, and (2) ... All appraisals ... shall conform to USPAP; violated USPAP's Ethics Provisions, Conduct; & Competency Provision (2), take all steps necessary or appropriate to complete the assignment competently, and record keeping of related "Work File," thusly, has violated USPAP's Standards Rule 2-4, thusly Standards Rule 2-1,2-2(b), all not inclusive.

- 19. Regarding Complaint # 99 APP 031, Respondent is deemed to have violated the same violations as are enumerated in paragraph #18 supra, with the exception of violations of oral reports and record keeping.
- 20. Accordingly, based upon the above and in settlement of these matters, Respondent Kuehling hereby consents, accepts and agrees to voluntarily surrender his certificate of licensure and certificate of certification as a certified general appraiser, and or his right to renew same.
- 21. Following receipt of the Board's order adopting the Stipulation Agreement, Respondent shall submit all Real Estate Appraisal licenses and or certificates previously issued to him to the attention of Department Monitor:

Marlene Meyer

Monitor

Division of Enforcement

P.O. Box 8935

Madison, WI 53708-8935

22. Respondent further agrees that Complainant's Attorney Sanders, and the case advisors assigned to the complaints, may appear at any closed-deliberative meeting of the Board with respect to this Stipulation, but those appearances shall be limited solely to clarification, justification and to statements in support of the Stipulation and for no other purpose.

Gary M. Kuehling 2-8-02

Respondent Date

Henry E. Sanders 2-11-02

Complainant's Attorney Date