WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION & LICENSING



Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing Access to the Public Records of the Reports of Decisions

This Reports of Decisions document was retrieved from the Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing website. These records are open to public view under Wisconsin's Open Records law, sections 19.31-19.39 Wisconsin Statutes.

Please read this agreement prior to viewing the Decision:

- The Reports of Decisions is designed to contain copies of all orders issued by credentialing authorities within the Department of Regulation and Licensing from November, 1998 to the present. In addition, many but not all orders for the time period between 1977 and November, 1998 are posted. Not all orders issued by a credentialing authority constitute a formal disciplinary action.
- Reports of Decisions contains information as it exists at a specific point in time in the
 Department of Regulation and Licensing data base. Because this data base changes
 constantly, the Department is not responsible for subsequent entries that update, correct or
 delete data. The Department is not responsible for notifying prior requesters of updates,
 modifications, corrections or deletions. All users have the responsibility to determine whether
 information obtained from this site is still accurate, current and complete.
- There may be discrepancies between the online copies and the original document. Original documents should be consulted as the definitive representation of the order's content. Copies of original orders may be obtained by mailing requests to the Department of Regulation and Licensing, PO Box 8935, Madison, WI 53708-8935. The Department charges copying fees. All requests must cite the case number, the date of the order, and respondent's name as it appears on the order.
- Reported decisions may have an appeal pending, and discipline may be stayed during the
 appeal. Information about the current status of a credential issued by the Department of
 Regulation and Licensing is shown on the Department's Web Site under "License Lookup."
 The status of an appeal may be found on court access websites at:
 http://ccap.courts.state.wi.us/InternetCourtAccess and http://www.courts.state.wi.us/licenses.
- Records not open to public inspection by statute are not contained on this website.

By viewing this document, you have read the above and agree to the use of the Reports of Decisions subject to the above terms, and that you understand the limitations of this on-line database.

Correcting information on the DRL website: An individual who believes that information on the website is inaccurate may contact the webmaster at web@drl.state.wi.gov

FILE COPY

STATE OF WISCONSIN BEFORE THE BOARD OF NURSING

IN THE MATTER OF DISCIPLINARY	:		
PROCEEDINGS AGAINST	:	FINAL DECISION	
	: •	AND ORDER	
RANDALL N. PROCKNOW, R.N	I., :	LS9703272NUR	
RESPONDENT	•		

The State of Wisconsin, Board of Nursing, having considered the above-captioned matter and having reviewed the record and the Proposed Decision of the Administrative Law Judge, makes the following:

ORDER

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby ordered that the Proposed Decision annexed hereto, filed by the Administrative Law Judge, shall be and hereby is made and ordered the Final Decision of the State of Wisconsin, Board of Nursing.

The Division of Enforcement and Administrative Law Judge are hereby directed to file their affidavits of costs with the Department General Counsel within 15 days of this decision. The Department General Counsel shall mail a copy thereof to respondent or his or her representative.

The rights of a party aggrieved by this Decision to petition the department for rehearing and the petition for judicial review are set forth on the attached "Notice of Appeal Information."

Dated this 12++ day of SEpsemBer 1997.

A Member of the Board

STATE OF WISCONSIN BEFORE THE BOARD OF NURSING

IN THE MATTER OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST

PROPOSED DECISION

RANDALL N. PROCKNOW, R.N., RESPONDENT.

(Case No. LS 9703272 NUR)

The parties to this proceeding for the purposes of sec. 227.53, Stats., are:

Randall N. Procknow, R.N. 732 West 4th Avenue Oshkosh, WI 54901

State of Wisconsin Board of Nursing 1400 East Washington Avenue P.O. Box 8935 Madison, WI 53708

State of Wisconsin
Department of Regulation and Licensing
Division of Enforcement
1400 East Washington Avenue
P.O. Box 8935
Madison, WI 53708

A Notice of Hearing and Complaint were filed in the above-captioned matter on March 27, 1997. The respondent, Randall N. Procknow, R.N., failed to file an Answer within the twenty days required under sec. RL 2.09(4), Wis. Adm. Code. Accordingly, complainant's attorney, James W. Harris, filed an Affidavit and Motion For Default, and a hearing on the motion was scheduled for June 12, 1997. Prior to the hearing, the Mr. Procknow submitted correspondence under date of June 9, 1997, in which he indicated a desire to waive his right to a hearing. The hearing on complainant's Motion for Default was held on June 12, 1997. Mr. Harris appeared on behalf of complainant, and Mr. Procknow did not appear, nor did anyone appear upon his behalf.

On the basis of the entire record, the administrative law judge recommends that the Board of Nursing adopt as its final decision in this proceeding the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The respondent, Randall N. Procknow (DOB 8/11/49) is licensed as a registered nurse in the state of Wisconsin, license #58624. This license was first granted September 21, 1973. Respondent's most recent address on file with the Department of Regulation and Licensing is 732 West 4th Avenue, Oshkosh, Wisconsin 54901.
- 2. A Notice of Hearing and Complaint were filed in the above-captioned matter on March 27, 1997. These documents were served upon respondent by mailing true and accurate copies by certified mail to respondent's most recent address on March 24, 1997. Respondent failed to file an Answer within twenty days after service of the Complaint.

COUNT 1

- 3. At all times relevant to the allegations contained in Count 1 of the *Complaint* on file herein, the respondent was employed as a registered nurse in the ambulatory surgery center of the Ripon Medical Center.
- 4. On June 8, 1996, respondent signed out 2 Percocet, a controlled substance, for patient JM in violation of a physician's order.
- 5. On June 23, 1996, respondent signed out a 100 mg. tubex of Demerol, a controlled substance, for patient PB, noting on the log that 11 units of Demerol remained. The previous audit count of Demerol indicated that 20 units should have been present. Respondent failed to document or account for the missing Demerol. Respondent made an entry on the wrong form, and neglected to enter the patient's full name, the ordering physician's name, his name as the administering nurse, and the time of administration, all as required by hospital policy and procedure.
- 6. The physician's order for patient PB called for 20 mg. of Demerol, and 50 mg. tubexes were available for Respondent's use. Instead, respondent signed out a 100 mg. tubex and claimed to have wasted the unused portion without a witness and documentation as required by hospital policy and procedure.
- 7. Respondent was suspended by his employer for his conduct and resigned July 26, 1996.

COUNT 2

8. At all times relevant to the allegations contained in Count 2 of the *Complaint* on file herein, the respondent was employed as a registered nurse in the surgical ICU at St. Marys Hospital, Madison, Wisconsin.

- 9. On October 14, 1996, respondent was assigned to care for patient JW who had an aortic heart valve replacement. With respect to that assignment:
- a. Respondent neglected to chart assessments, vital signs and care required by post-surgery physician's orders, including: failed to monitor the patient's glucose level, and therefore failed to administer 10 units of regular insulin as needed, failed to make any entries on the MAR and diabetic summary for the duty shift, failed to complete the vital signs graphic sheet and patient progress notes for temperature, pulse, respiration, blood pressure, body functions, neuro assessments or physical assessments, and failed to complete the Care Pathways record;
 - b. Respondent made an illegible entry at 16:50 in the patient chart; and,
- c. At 17:22 respondent signed out albumin through the PYXIS system but failed to enter the activity on the patient record.
- 10. On October 14, 1996, respondent signed out the following quantities of hydromorphone, a Schedule II controlled substance, in the name of patient JW:

16:17	1	2 mg. syringe
17:19	1	2 mg. syringe
19:21	1	1 mg. syringe
20:29	1	2 mg. syringe

The MAR for October 14, 1996, for patient JW contains a single entry for hydromorphone indicating that at 18:10 1 mg. was administered. This time does not correspond with the times that the drug was signed out by respondent, and does not correspond with the dosage prescribed.

- 11. While respondent was on duty during the evening of October 14, staff observed the following conduct of Respondent reflecting an inability to safely and reliably perform his nursing duties:
 - a. he appeared to fall asleep while charting,
 - b. he appeared glassy-eyed and his coordination was awkward,
 - c. at times his eyes rolled upward and he became non-responsive to questioning,
 - d. he attempted to pick up a paper that was taped to a desk,
 - e. he was unable to pick up a clipboard from a copier despite repeated attempts,

- f. he removed a nurse server basket from the patient room and placed it in the nurse report room, contrary to hospital procedure, and,
- g. he left the ICU at 10:50 to go to the ER and thereafter could not be located by hospital personnel.
 - 12. Respondent was terminated from this employment on October 22, 1996.

COUNT 3

- 13. At all times relevant to the allegations contained in Count 3 of the *Complaint* on file herein, the Respondent was employed as a registered nurse at the Shawano Medical Center.
- 14. Thefts and tampering of meperidine hydrochloride and morphine sulfate occurred at the Shawano Medical Center on 9/13/96, 9/14/96, 9/15/96, 11/20/96, 11/23/96 and 12/9/96. Personnel records demonstrate that respondent was the only employee with access to the locked medical cabinets to be on duty on all of the above dates, and further respondent was responsible for security of the controlled substances. Respondent had no explanation for the breach of security and loss of the controlled substances.
- 15. On December 9, 1996, respondent signed out the following controlled substances for which there was no physician's order:

Patient CW -- 100 mg. tubex Demerol

Patient LD -- 100 mg. tubex Demerol

Patient BJ -- 100 mg. tubex Demerol

Patient VM -- 75 mg. tubex Demerol

16. On December 10, 1996, at the end of his shift, respondent participated in an inventory of controlled substances for which he was responsible in the Special Care Unit. Respondent as the off-going nurse held the form indicating the number of drugs present at the start of his shift. The on-coming nurse performed the actual count. The on-coming nurse determined that there were "0" units of 50 mg. Demerol present. The controlled substance inventory form showed that respondent had accepted responsibility for 13 units of 50 mg. Demerol at the start of his shift. Respondent did not document or explain the missing controlled substances.

PRIOR DISCIPLINE

17. Respondent has been previously disciplined by the Board of Nursing for diversion and personal use of controlled substances in Case 91 NUR 164, and for unauthorized access to controlled substances in violation of a Board order in Case 93 NUR 220.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The State of Wisconsin Board of Nursing has jurisdiction in this proceeding pursuant to Ch. 441, Stats.
- 2. By failing to file an Answer to the Complaint within twenty days from the date of service of the Complaint as required by sec. RL 2.09(4), Wis. Adm. Code, respondent is in default and the Board of Nursing may make findings and enter an order on the basis of the Complaint and other evidence, pursuant to sec. RL 2.14, Wis. Adm. Code.
- 3. The conduct of respondent as set forth above in the Findings of Fact constitutes violations of secs. 441.07(1)(b), (c) and (d), Stats., and secs. N 7.03(1)(a), (b), (c), (d) and (g), N 7.03(3), and N 7.04(1), (2), (5), (6) and (15), Wis. Adm. Code.

ORDER

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the license of the respondent, Randall N. Procknow, to practice as a registered nurse in the state of Wisconsin shall be, and hereby is REVOKED.

FURTHERMORE, IT IS ORDERED that the respondent, Randall N. Procknow, may not apply for reinstatement of his license for a period of one year from the date of the Final Decision and Order of the Board of Nursing, pursuant to sec. 441.07(2), Stats.

FURTHERMORE, IT IS ORDERED that the assessable costs of this proceeding be imposed upon respondent, Randall N. Procknow, pursuant to sec. 440.22, Stats.

OPINION

The clear conclusion to be drawn from the allegations within the *Complaint*, as substantiated by the evidence presented in their support at the hearing, is that Mr. Procknow engaged in the diversion of controlled substances from the health care facilities at which he was employed from June through December, 1996. It is also a fair, if not an inescapable inference to draw, that the diversion of controlled substances by Mr. Procknow was for personal use.

In Mr. Procknow's letter waiving his right to a hearing on the allegations within the *Complaint*, he writes:

I would however, like to provide some information to the Board at this time that I feel might be helpful in their determination.

- 1) Since mid December, I have not practiced as a Registered Nurse, or in any manner in health care. This was based on my own decisions, as well as that of counselor.
- 2) I completed an outpatient program in Dec/Jan 1997 in Appleton, Wisconsin, for substance abuse.
- 3) I have had counseling over the last several years regarding abuse issues pertaining to myself that have contributed to my relapse.
- 4) I can provide you with any of this information if need.

It would be my wish to maintain my license to practice with restrictions. I realize this information appears scant, but I would be happy to supply information to you from treatment programs, counselors, or my psychiatrist.

As Mr. Procknow's correspondence suggests, the only issue remaining to be determined in this proceeding is the appropriate discipline to be imposed. In this regard, it must be recognized that the interrelated purposes for applying disciplinary measures are: 1) to promote the rehabilitation of the licensee, 2) to protect the public, and 3) to deter other licensees from engaging in similar misconduct. State v. Aldrich, 71 Wis. 2d 206, 209 (1976). Punishment of the licensee is not an appropriate consideration. State v. MacIntyre, 41 Wis. 2d 481, 485 (1969).

Mr. Procknow essentially requests that limitations be placed upon his license, similar to those that are standard in impaired nursing cases. However, the complainant cites to the serious harm which could have befallen the patients in these cases, as well as the "repeater" aspect of Mr. Procknow's conduct, and argues that the protection of the public dictates his license be revoked.

It is recommended, under all of the circumstances presented, that the license of Mr. Procknow be revoked. Such discipline acts to prohibit him from applying for reinstatement of his license for at least one year. See, sec. 441.07(2), Stats.

Testimony presented at the hearing by the Director of the Surgical ICU at St. Marys Hospital respecting Count 2 of the *Complaint*, suggests that Mr. Procknow's conduct on October 14, 1996, could have resulted in serious, and perhaps even fatal consequences for patient JW. The patient had just undergone an aortic heart valve replacement and was entrusted to the nursing care of Mr. Procknow. The testimony indicated that having undergone major surgery, the patient was essentially helpless and unable to speak.

During his shift, Mr. Procknow diverted hydromorphone, a schedule II controlled substance, and was later observed by staff to be virtually non-functional. While responsible for the care of patient JW, he failed to monitor the patient's glucose level, and accordingly failed to administer 10 units of regular insulin as needed. He also failed to make any entries on the MAR and diabetic summary for the duty shift, failed to complete the vital signs graphic sheet and patient progress notes for temperature, pulse, respiration, blood pressure, body functions, neuro assessments or physical assessments, and failed to complete the Care Pathways record.

It is clear that Mr. Procknow endangered the health and safety of patient JW by failing to competently monitor the patient's condition. This resulted, among other things, in patient JW's failing to receive the insulin medically necessitated for stabilizing his condition.

Evidence was also submitted respecting Counts 1 and 3 of the *Complaint*. Although direct evidence of actual endangerment to patients is not as strong as that discussed above, it is clear that Mr. Procknow diverted controlled substances for his own use during his employment as a nurse in those cases, as well.

In determining an appropriate discipline to apply here, the need for strong sanctions is bolstered by the fact that Mr. Procknow previously has been disciplined for drug diversion. (Exhibit 1). These actions were as follows:

- On March 8, 1991, the board found that Mr. Procknow had on numerous occasions between September, 1986 and July, 1988, diverted controlled substances for his own use. Mr. Procknow received a two year suspension, stayed at three month intervals, contingent among other things upon successful participation and compliance in a chemical dependency treatment program.
- On January 8, 1992, the board found that Mr. Procknow had violated the terms of the March 8, 1991 order by working with access to controlled substances, failing to obtain witnessed drug screens and failing to obtain the screens on the required frequency. The board imposed a two year stayed suspension upon Mr. Procknow's license, again contingent upon meeting stated limitations and conditions.
- Mr. Procknow received the return of an unrestricted license to practice as a registered nurse on November 4, 1993. However, on September 16, 1994, the board found that during the time his license had been limited, Mr. Procknow had again worked with access to controlled substances, contrary to his disciplinary order. The board reprimanded Mr. Procknow.

Despite this prior history, and the conduct found here, Mr. Procknow requests that he be permitted to continue practice under a limited license in light his having completed an outpatient drug rehabilitation program in December 1996 - January 1997. He also cites his having obtained

counseling over the last "several years" for his drug abuse problem as an indication of his sincere effort toward rehabilitation.

The facts presented establish that, unfortunately, Mr. Procknow has again relapsed into drug abuse. This despite prior discipline by the board and prior treatment for his problem. The facts also show that, at least with respect to Count 2, his personal use of the diverted controlled substances occurred during the time he was providing nursing services to an individual in acute need of diligent and competent professional care.

Under these circumstances, the need to protect the public outweighs the disciplinary function of attempting to rehabilitate the licensee. Providing Mr. Procknow with the ability to continue practice under a limited license in the past has not achieved his rehabilitation from drug abuse. It recurred, and could have brought with it severe patient consequences. Unfortunately, it cannot be presumed that a limited license will be sufficiently protective of the public at this time.

It is recommended that Mr. Procknow's license be revoked. Such discipline does not serve to withdraw from Mr. Procknow the hope of ever becoming licensed to practice as a registered nurse in the future. Rather, it permits him to reapply for reinstatement after one year. During that time he will be, perhaps, in a better position to concentrate on his own well-being and not tempted by access to controlled substances to continue in drug abusive behavior.

In this sense, the recommended discipline may very well also serve to promote the rehabilitation of Mr. Procknow, and his ultimate return to professional nursing practice, while assuring the protection of the public during his recovery.

Dated this 27 day of June, 1997.

Respectfully submitted,

Donald R. Rittel

Administrative Law Judge

alj\propdec\procknow

NOTICE OF APPEAL INFORMATION

Notice Of Rights For Rehearing Or Judicial Review. The Times Allowed For Each. And The Identification Of The Party To Be Named As Respondent.

Serve Petition for Rehearing or Judicial Review on:

STATE OF WISCONSIN BOARD OF NURSING

P.O. Box 8935
Madison, WI 53708.

The Date of Mailing this Decision is:

SEPTEMBER 17, 1997

1. REHEARING

Any person aggrieved by this order may file a written petition for rehearing within 20 days after service of this order, as provided in sec. 227.49 of the Wisconsin Statutes, a copy of which is reprinted on side two of this sheet. The 20 day period commences the day of personal service or mailing of this decision. (The date of mailing this decision is shown above.)

A petition for rehearing should name as respondent and be filed with the party identified in the box above.

A petition for rehearing is not a prerequisite for appeal or review.

2. JUDICIAL REVIEW.

Any person aggrieved by this decision may petition for judicial review as specified in sec. 227.53, Wisconsin Statutes a copy of which is reprinted on side two of this sheet. By law, a petition for review must be filed in circuit court and should name as the respondent the party listed in the box above. A copy of the petition for judicial review should be served upon the party listed in the box above.

A petition must be filed within 30 days after service of this decision if there is no petition for rehearing, or within 30 days after service of the order finally disposing of a petition for rehearing, or within 30 days after the final disposition by operation of law of any petition for rehearing.

The 30-day period for serving and filing a petition commences on the day after personal service or mailing of the decision by the agency, or the day after the final disposition by operation of the law of any petition for rehearing. (The date of mailing this decision is shown above.)



STATE OF WISCONSIN *** BEFORE THE BOARD OF NURSING

IN THE MATTER OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST

ORDER FIXING COSTS Case # LS9703272NUR

RANDALL N. PROCKNOW, R.N., RESPONDENT.

On September 12, 1997, the Board of Nursing filed its Final Decision and Order in the above-captioned matter by which the board ordered that pursuant to sec. 440.22, Wis. Stats., 100% of the costs of this proceeding be assessed against respondent. Pursuant to sec. RL 2.18 (4), Wis. Adm. Code, on July 7, 1997, the Board of Nursing received the Affidavit of Costs in the amount of \$1,549.70, filed by Attorney James W. Harris. On June 27, 1997, the Board of Nursing received the Affidavit of Costs of Office of Board Legal Services in the amount of \$241.77, filed by Administrative Law Judge Donald R. Rittel. The Board of Nursing considered the affidavits on November 13, 1997, and orders as follows:

ORDER

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that pursuant to sec. 440.22, Wis. Stats., the costs of this proceeding in the amount of \$1,791.47, which is 100% of the costs set forth in the affidavits of costs of Attorney James W. Harris and Administrative Law Judge Donald R. Rittel, which are attached hereto and made a part hereof, are hereby assessed against respondent, and shall be payable by him to the Department of Regulation and Licensing. Failure of respondent to make payment on or before December 12, 1997, shall constitute a violation of the Order unless respondent petitions for and the board grants a different deadline. Under sec. 440.22 (3), Wis. Stats., the Board of Nursing may not restore, renew or otherwise issue any credential to the respondent until respondent has made payment to the department in the full amount assessed.

To ensure that payments for assessed costs are correctly receipted, the attached "Guidelines for Payment of Costs and/or Forfeitures" should be enclosed with the payment.

Dated this 13th day of November, 1997.

BOARD OF NURSING

By:

A Member of the Board

STATE OF WISCONSIN BEFORE THE BOARD OF NURSING

IN THE MATTER OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST

AFFIDAVIT OF COSTS OF

: OFFICE OF BOARD LEGAL SERVICES

(Case No. LS 9703272 NUR)

RANDALL N. PROCKNOW, R.N., RESPONDENT.

STATE OF WISCONSIN)

ss. COUNTY OF DANE)

Donald R. Rittel, being first duly sworn on oath, deposes and states as follows:

- 1. Your affiant is an attorney licensed to practice law in the state of Wisconsin, and is employed by the Wisconsin Department of Regulation and Licensing, Office of Board Legal Services.
- 2. In the course of his employment, your affiant was assigned as the administrative law judge in the above-captioned matter.
- 3. Set out below are the actual costs of this proceeding for the Office of Board Legal Services in this matter:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE EXPENSE Donald R. Rittel

DATE	ACTIVITY	TIME SPENT
5/21/97 6/12/97	Prepare Notice of Hearing on Motion For Default Conduct Hearing	0.25 hours 1.25 hours
	Review Record; prepare Proposed Decision	4.00 hours
	TOTAL TIME SPENT	5.50 hours
	strative law judge expense for Donald R. Rittel, 2 \$ 43.958 per hour, salary and benefits:	\$ 241 77

In the Matter of Randall N. Procknow, R.N. Affidavit of Costs
Page 2

TOTAL ASSESSABLE COSTS FOR OFFICE OF BOARD LEGAL SERVICES

<u>\$ 241.77</u>

RUBY
JEFFERSON
MOORE
OF USCONSTITUTE TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PR

Donald R. Rittel
Administrative Law Judge

Notary Public, State of Wisconsin

My Commission is plrmane

i:\alj\costs\procknow

STATE OF WISCONSIN BEFORE THE BOARD OF NURSING IN THE MATTER OF THE DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST AFFIDAVIT OF COSTS RANDALL N. PROCKNOW, R.N., RESPONDENT. STATE OF WISCONSIN STATE OF WISCONSIN AFFIDAVIT OF COSTS LS9703272NUR ESPONDENT.

James W. Harris, being duly sworn, deposes and states as follows:

) ss.

)

COUNTY OF DANE

- 1. I am an attorney licensed in the state of Wisconsin and is employed by the Wisconsin Department of Regulation and Licensing, Division of Enforcement:
- 2. In the course of those duties I was assigned as a prosecutor in the above-captioned matter; and
- 3. Set out below are the costs of the proceeding accrued to the Division of Enforcement in this matter, based upon Division of Enforcement records compiled in the regular course of agency business in the above-captioned matter.

PROSECUTING ATTORNEY EXPENSE

<u>Date</u> 10/24/96	Activity conf investigator	Time Spent 0.3
1/03/97	review Shawano articles	0.5
2/03/97	conf investigator	
3/03/97	review evidence/issues/Ripon	6.0
3/04/97	review	6.0
	evidence/issues/StMarys	
3/05/97	review	4.0
	evidence/issues/Shawano	
3/05/97	prepare complaint	3.0
3/10/97	prepare notice/memo	1.0
5/06/97	prepare & file affidavit	0.5
	default and motion	
5/29/97	letter witness	0.3
5/30/97	hearing preparation	4.0
6/04/97	prepare certified records	
6/04/97	conference witness/letter	1.0
6/10/97	review respondent letter	0.3

6/11/97	prepare for hearing	2.0
6/12/97	attend hearing	2.0
6/12/97	letter witness	0.3
7/01/97	review decision	0.5

TOTAL HOURS

31.2 hours

Total attorney expense for 31.2 hours at \$41.00 per hour (based upon average salary and benefits for Division of Enforcement attorneys) equals:

\$ 1,279.20

INVESTIGATOR EXPENSE

	انتها در ان	, m: a .
Date	Activity	Time Spent
8/01/96	file received	s
8/02/96	•	. 0.5
8/02/96	telconf detective	0.5
8/06/96	telconf detective	0.3
8/07/96	letter detective	0.5
8/17/96	telconf Frederick	0.7
10/16/96	telconf Frederick	0.7
10/18/96	telconf Ripon PD	0.5
10/24/96	interview Frederick	1.0
12/11/96	letter Gullicksrud	0.5
1/07/97	telconf Gullicksrud	0.5
2/06/97	telconf Shawano Cty Court	0.3
2/11/97	telconf Shawano Cty Court	0.3
2/13/97	interview Wallner Ripon PD	1.0
2/20/97	telconf Shawano Cty Court	0.3
2/25/97	telconf Shawano Cty Court	0.3
3/05/97	conf. B.A. re discipline	0.5
4/25/97	telconf Shawano Cty Court	0.3
5/01/97	telconf Shawano cty Court	0.3
5/28/97	telconf Shawano Cty Court	0.3
6/04/97	prepare certified discipline	0.5
	record exhibit	
6/06/97	telconf Shawano Cty Court	0.3
6/09/97	telconf Shawano Cty Court	0.3
6/09/97	hearing preparation	1.0
6/12/97	attend hearing	2.0
	_	

TOTAL HOURS

13.4 hours.

Total investigator expense for 13.4 hours at \$20.00 per hour (based upon average salary and benefits for Division of Enforcement investigators) equals:

\$ 268.00

MISCELLANEOUS DISBURSEMENTS

Postage

\$ 2.50

TOTAL ASSESSABLE COSTS

\$ 1,549.70

James W. Harris, Attorney

State Bar No. 1013219

Subscribed and sworn to before me this

774 day of July, 1997.

Notary Public

My Commission 15 permanon

Department of Regulation & Licensing

State of Wisconsin

PO. Box 8935, Madison, WI 53708-8935

(608)

TTY# (608) 267-2416 hearing or speech TRS# 1-800-947-3529 impaired only

GUIDELINES FOR PAYMENT OF COSTS AND/OR FORFEITURES

On September 12, 1997	, the Board of Nursing
took disciplinary action against you forfeiture.	r license. Part of the discipline was an assessment of costs and/or a
The amount of the costs assessed is:	\$1,791.47 Case #: LS9703272NUR
The amount of the forfeiture is:	Case #
Please submit a check or a money ord	er in the amount of \$ 1,791.47
The costs and/or forfeitures are due:	December 12, 1997
NAME: Randall N. Procknow	LICENSE NUMBER: 58624
STREET ADDRESS: 732 West 4th	Avenue
CITY: Oshkosh	STATE: WI ZIP CODE: 54901
Check whether the payment is for cos	ts or for a forfeiture or both:
X COSTS	FORFEITURE
Check whether the payment is for an	individual license or an establishment license:
X INDIVIDUAL	ESTABLISHMENT
If a payment plan has been establishe	d, the amount due monthly is: For Receipting Use Only
Make checks payable to:	<i>}</i> -
DEPARTMENT OF REGUL 1400 E. WASHINGTON AVE P.O. BOX 8935 MADISON, WI 53708-8935	1
#2145 (Rev. 9/96) Ch. 440.22, Stats.	

Committed to Equal Opportunity in Employment and Licensing+

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION AND LICENSING BEFORE THE BOARD OF NURSING

In the Matter of Disciplinary Proceedings Against

My commission is permanent.

	•			
Randall N	N. Procknow,	R.N.,	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	G
	Responde	ent.		
STATE OF WIS	CONSIN))		_
COUNTY OF D	ANE)		
I, Kate R correct based on			oath, state the following to be true and	
1. I	am employed	by the Wisconsin Depa	rtment of Regulation and Licensing.	
1997, and Guide Respondent Ran- above-described Respondent and	elines for Payr dall N. Prock: documents in placing the en	ment of Costs and/or For now, R.N. by enclosing an envelope properly s nvelope in the State of V	rder Fixing Costs dated November 13, rfeitures, LS9703272NUR, upon the true and accurate copies of the tamped and addressed to the above-nanty visconsin mail system to be mailed by a fied mail receipt number on the envelop	ned the
		ed for mailing the Decis the Respondent's last-kr	tion is the address that appears in the nown address and is:	
7:	Randall N. Pro 32 W. 4th Av Oshkosh WI 5	enue	Kate Rotenberg Department of Regulation and Licen	nsing
Subscribed and s	sworn to befo	re me	Office of Legal Counsel	
this 17th de	ay of <u>Nove</u>	ساحر, 1997.		
Notary Public S	Came T	onsin		



State of Wisconsin \ DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION & LICENSING

Marlene A Cummings Secretary

1400 E WASHINGTON AVENUE P O BOX 8935 MADISON, WISCONSIN 53708-8935 (608) 266-2112

Tommy G Thompson Governor

September 22, 1997

RANDALL N. PROCKNOW, R.N 732 WEST 4TH AVENUE OSHKOSH WI 54901

RE: In The Matter of Disciplinary Proceedings Against Randall N. Procknow, R.N., Respondent, LS9703272NUR, Assessment of Costs

Dear Mr. Procknow:

On September 12, 1997, the Board of Nursing issued an order involving your license to practice as a registered nurse. The order requires payment of the costs of the proceedings.

Enclosed please find the Affidavits of Costs of the Office of Legal Services and the Division of Enforcement in the above captioned matter. The total amount of the costs of the proceedings is \$1,791.47.

Under sec. RL 2.18, Wis. Adm. Code, objections to the affidavits of costs shall be filed in writing. Your objections must be received at the office of the Board of Nursing, Room 178, 1400 East Washington Avenue, P.O. Box 8935, Madison, Wisconsin 53708, on or before October 12, 1997. After reviewing the objections, if any, the Board of Nursing will issue an Order Fixing Costs. Under sec. 440.23, Wis. Stats., the board may not restore or renew a credential until the holder has made payment to the department in the full amount assessed.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Panula d Hand

Pamela A. Haack

Administrative Assistant Office of Legal Services

Enclosures

cc:

Board of Nursing Department Monitor

Regulatory Boards

NOTICE OF APPEAL INFORMATION

Notice Of Rights For Rehearing Or Judicial Review, The Times Allowed For Each, And The Identification Of The Party To Be Named As Respondent.

Serve Petition for Rehearing or Judicial Review on:

STATE OF WISCONSIN BOARD OF NURSING

1400 East Washington Avenue P.O. Box 8935 Madison, WI 53708.

The Date of Mailing this Decision is:

November 17, 1997

1. REHEARING

Any person aggrieved by this order may file a written petition for rehearing within 20 days after service of this order, as provided in sec. 227.49 of the Wisconsin Statutes, a copy of which is reprinted on side two of this sheet. The 20 day period commences the day of personal service or mailing of this decision. (The date of mailing this decision is shown above.)

A petition for rehearing should name as respondent and be filed with the party identified in the box above.

A petition for rehearing is not a prerequisite for appeal or review.

2. JUDICIAL REVIEW.

Any person aggrieved by this decision may petition for judicial review as specified in sec. 227.53, Wisconsin Statutes a copy of which is reprinted on side two of this sheet. By law, a petition for review must be filed in circuit court and should name as the respondent the party listed in the box above. A copy of the petition for judicial review should be served upon the party listed in the box above.

A petition must be filed within 30 days after service of this decision if there is no petition for rehearing, or within 30 days after service of the order finally disposing of a petition for rehearing, or within 30 days after the final disposition by operation of law of any petition for rehearing.

The 30-day period for serving and filing a petition commences on the day after personal service or mailing of the decision by the agency, or the day after the final disposition by operation of the law of any petition for rehearing. (The date of mailing this decision is shown above.)