WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION & LICENSING



Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing Access to the Public Records of the Reports of Decisions

This Reports of Decisions document was retrieved from the Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing website. These records are open to public view under Wisconsin's Open Records law, sections 19.31-19.39 Wisconsin Statutes.

Please read this agreement prior to viewing the Decision:

- The Reports of Decisions is designed to contain copies of all orders issued by credentialing authorities within the Department of Regulation and Licensing from November, 1998 to the present. In addition, many but not all orders for the time period between 1977 and November, 1998 are posted. Not all orders issued by a credentialing authority constitute a formal disciplinary action.
- Reports of Decisions contains information as it exists at a specific point in time in the
 Department of Regulation and Licensing data base. Because this data base changes
 constantly, the Department is not responsible for subsequent entries that update, correct or
 delete data. The Department is not responsible for notifying prior requesters of updates,
 modifications, corrections or deletions. All users have the responsibility to determine whether
 information obtained from this site is still accurate, current and complete.
- There may be discrepancies between the online copies and the original document. Original documents should be consulted as the definitive representation of the order's content. Copies of original orders may be obtained by mailing requests to the Department of Regulation and Licensing, PO Box 8935, Madison, WI 53708-8935. The Department charges copying fees. All requests must cite the case number, the date of the order, and respondent's name as it appears on the order.
- Reported decisions may have an appeal pending, and discipline may be stayed during the
 appeal. Information about the current status of a credential issued by the Department of
 Regulation and Licensing is shown on the Department's Web Site under "License Lookup."
 The status of an appeal may be found on court access websites at:
 http://ccap.courts.state.wi.us/InternetCourtAccess and http://www.courts.state.wi.us/licenses.
- Records not open to public inspection by statute are not contained on this website.

By viewing this document, you have read the above and agree to the use of the Reports of Decisions subject to the above terms, and that you understand the limitations of this on-line database.

Correcting information on the DRL website: An individual who believes that information on the website is inaccurate may contact the webmaster at web@drl.state.wi.gov



State of Wisconsin Before the Dentistry Examining Board

In the Matter of Disciplinary Proceedings Against

Raymond Portman, D.D.S. Respondent Case No. 94 DEN 114

Final Decision and Order

The parties to this proceeding for purposes of s. 227.53, Stats., are:

Raymond Portman, D.D.S. 701 5th Avenue Antigo WI 54409

Wisconsin Dentistry Examining Board Department of Regulation and Licensing P.O. Box 8935 Madison WI 53708

Division of Enforcement P.O. Box 8935 Madison WI 53708

The parties in this matter agree to the terms and conditions of the attached stipulation as the final decision of this matter. Accordingly, the Board adopts the Stipulation and makes the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Raymond Portman, D.D.S., was born on December 19, 1938, and is licensed to practice dentistry in the state of Wisconsin pursuant to license 1825, first granted on June 27, 1962. He practices in Antigo, Wisconsin.
- 2. During 1994, Dr. Portman recommended and provided Nikken brand magnets to one of his patients for relief of dental conditions. Nikken purports that the magnets it sells through distributors are effective for the relief of pain and some disease, and promote general health.
- 3. At the time Dr. Portman recommended and provided Nikken brand magnets to his dental patient, he knew that the magnets were not approved medical devices and had not been tested in any double-blind studies.

4. On or before December 10, 1995, Dr. Portman ceased recommending and providing Nikken brand magnets to his patients on his own conclusion that the magnets were not effective.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Dentistry Examining Board has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to s. 447.07(3), Stats.
- 2. By encouraging, and recommending unproven electro-magnetic therapies to his dental patients, Dr. Portman violated s. DE 5.02(5), Wis. Admin. Code.

ORDER

Now, therefore, it is ordered that Raymond Portman, D.D.S., be and hereby is REPRIMANDED.

Dated this 6th day of March, 1996.

WISCONSIN DENTISTRY EXAMINING BOARD

Member of the Board

State of Wisconsin Before the Dentistry Examining Board

In the Matter of Disciplinary Proceedings Against

Raymond Portman, D.D.S.
Respondent
Case No. 94 DEN 114

Stipulation

It is hereby stipulated between Raymond Portman, D.D.S., personally and on his own behalf and James F. Polewski, Attorney for the Division of Enforcement, Department of Regulation and Licensing, as follows:

- 1. This stipulation is entered in resolution of the pending proceedings concerning Dr.Portman's license. The Stipulation and the proposed Final Decision and Order shall be presented directly to the Dentistry Examining Board for its consideration and adoption.
- 2. In resolution of these proceedings, Dr.Portman consents to the entry of the attached Final Decision and Order.
- 3. Dr.Portman is aware of and understands each of his rights, including:
 - the right to a hearing on the allegations against him, at which the state would have the burden of proving the allegations by a preponderance of the evidence.
 - the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him,
 - the right to call witnesses on his own behalf and to compel their attendance by subpoena,
 - the right to testify himself,
 - the right to file objections to any proposed decision and to present briefs or oral arguments to the officials who are to render the final decision,
 - the right to petition for rehearing,
 - the right to be represented at every stage of the proceeding, including the making of any stipulation, by an attorney of his choosing, at his own expense,
 - all other rights afforded to him under the United States Constitution, the Wisconsin Constitution, the Wisconsin Statutes and the Wisconsin Administrative Code.

- 4. Dr.Portman is aware and understands that by signing this stipulation he voluntarily and knowingly waives the rights set forth in paragraph 3 above, and does voluntarily and knowingly waive those rights.
- 5. If the terms of this stipulation are not acceptable to the Board, the parties shall not be bound by the contents of this stipulation, and the matter shall be returned to the Division of Enforcement for further proceedings. In the event that this stipulation is not accepted by the Board, the parties agree not to contend that the Board has been prejudiced or biased in any manner by the consideration of this attempted resolution.
- 6. The parties to this stipulation agree that the attorney for the Division of Enforcement and the member of the Dentistry Examining Board assigned as an advisor in this investigation may appear before the Board for the purpose of speaking in favor of this agreement and answering questions that the members of the Board may have in connection with their deliberations on the stipulation.

Raymond Portman, D.D.S.

Date

Iames E. Polewski

Attorney

Division of Enforcement

Data

NOTICE OF APPEAL INFORMATION

Notice Of Rights For Rehearing Or Judicial Review, The Times Allowed For Each, And The Identification Of The Party To Be Named As Respondent.

Serve Petition for Rehearing or Judicial Review on:

STATE OF WISCONSIN DENTISTRY EXAMINING BOARD

P.O. Box 8935
Madison, WI 53708.

The Date of Mailing this Decision is:

March 7, 1996

1. REHEARING

Any person aggrieved by this order may file a written petition for rehearing within 20 days after service of this order, as provided in sec. 227.49 of the Wisconsin Statutes, a copy of which is reprinted on side two of this sheet. The 20 day period commences the day of personal service or mailing of this decision. (The date of mailing this decision is shown above.)

A petition for rehearing should name as respondent and be filed with the party identified in the box above.

A petition for rehearing is not a prerequisite for appeal or review.

2. JUDICIAL REVIEW.

Any person aggrieved by this decision may petition for judicial review as specified in sec. 227.53, Wisconsin Statutes a copy of which is reprinted on side two of this sheet. By law, a petition for review must be filed in circuit court and should name as the respondent the party listed in the box above. A copy of the petition for judicial review should be served upon the party listed in the box above.

A petition must be filed within 30 days after service of this decision if there is no petition for rehearing, or within 30 days after service of the order finally disposing of a petition for rehearing, or within 30 days after the final disposition by operation of law of any petition for rehearing.

The 30-day period for serving and filing a petition commences on the day after personal service or mailing of the decision by the agency, or the day after the final disposition by operation of the law of any petition for rehearing. (The date of mailing this decision is shown above.)