# WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION & LICENSING



# Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing Access to the Public Records of the Reports of Decisions

This Reports of Decisions document was retrieved from the Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing website. These records are open to public view under Wisconsin's Open Records law, sections 19.31-19.39 Wisconsin Statutes.

#### Please read this agreement prior to viewing the Decision:

- The Reports of Decisions is designed to contain copies of all orders issued by credentialing authorities within the Department of Regulation and Licensing from November, 1998 to the present. In addition, many but not all orders for the time period between 1977 and November, 1998 are posted. Not all orders issued by a credentialing authority constitute a formal disciplinary action.
- Reports of Decisions contains information as it exists at a specific point in time in the
  Department of Regulation and Licensing data base. Because this data base changes
  constantly, the Department is not responsible for subsequent entries that update, correct or
  delete data. The Department is not responsible for notifying prior requesters of updates,
  modifications, corrections or deletions. All users have the responsibility to determine whether
  information obtained from this site is still accurate, current and complete.
- There may be discrepancies between the online copies and the original document. Original documents should be consulted as the definitive representation of the order's content. Copies of original orders may be obtained by mailing requests to the Department of Regulation and Licensing, PO Box 8935, Madison, WI 53708-8935. The Department charges copying fees. All requests must cite the case number, the date of the order, and respondent's name as it appears on the order.
- Reported decisions may have an appeal pending, and discipline may be stayed during the
  appeal. Information about the current status of a credential issued by the Department of
  Regulation and Licensing is shown on the Department's Web Site under "License Lookup."
  The status of an appeal may be found on court access websites at:
  <a href="http://ccap.courts.state.wi.us/InternetCourtAccess">http://ccap.courts.state.wi.us/InternetCourtAccess</a> and <a href="http://www.courts.state.wi.us/wscca">http://www.courts.state.wi.us/licenses</a>.
- Records not open to public inspection by statute are not contained on this website.

By viewing this document, you have read the above and agree to the use of the Reports of Decisions subject to the above terms, and that you understand the limitations of this on-line database.

**Correcting information on the DRL website:** An individual who believes that information on the website is inaccurate may contact the webmaster at <a href="web@drl.state.wi.gov">web@drl.state.wi.gov</a>

BEFORE	THE	STATE	OF	WISCON	SIN
PHARMAC	Y EX	KAMININ	NG I	BOARD	

\_\_\_\_\_

IN THE MATTER OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST

:

FINAL DECISION
AND ORDER

DANIEL H. HARSCH,

RESPONDENT. :

The State of Wisconsin, Pharmacy Examining Board, having considered the above-captioned matter and having reviewed the record and the Stipulation and Proposed Decision, makes the following:

#### ORDER

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby ordered that the Proposed Decision annexed hereto, as altered by the letters dated May 9, 1983 from the Attorney for the Complainant and the Attorney for the Respondent, shall be and hereby is made and ordered the Final Decision of the State of Wisconsin, Pharmacy Examining Board. Let a copy of this order be served on the Respondent by certified mail.

A party aggrieved by this decision may petition the board for rehearing within twenty (20) days after service of this decision pursuant to Wis. Stats. sec. 227.12. The party to be named as Respondent in the petition is Daniel H. Harsch.

A party aggrieved by this decision may also petition for judicial review by filing the petition in the office of the clerk of the circuit court for the county where the judicial review proceedings will be held and serving the board and other parties with a copy of the petition for judicial review within thirty (30) days after service of this decision pursuant to Wis. Stats. sec. 227.16. The party to be named as Respondent in the petition is the State of Wisconsin Pharmacy Examining Board.

Member, Pharmacy Examining Board

MJB:1mp pc017-552

BEFORE THE STATE OF WISCONSIN PHARMACY EXAMINING BOARD		
IN THE MATTER OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST	:	STIPULATION
DANIEL H. HARSCH, RESPONDENT.	:	
The parties in this matter	agree and	stipulate as follows:

- 1. That the Respondent waives his right to a public hearing in this matter.
- 2. That the Respondent and the Complainant urge the adoption by the Pharmacy Examining Board of the attached Proposed Decision.
- 3. That if the terms of the Proposed Decision are not acceptable to the Pharmacy Examining Board, then neither party shall be bound by any of the terms.

Michael J. Berndt, Attorney for Complainant

3/7/83 Date

Daniel H. Harsch, Respondent

3/28/93

D.J. Weis, Attorney for Respondent

D ( )

MJB:az 3507

BEFORE THE STATE OF WISCONSIN PHARMACY EXAMINING BOARD

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

IN THE MATTER OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST

PROPOSED DECISION

DANIEL H. HARSCH, RESPONDENT.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. That Daniel H. Harsch, hereinafter called the Respondent, was at all times relevant to this complaint duly licensed under the provisions of Chapter 450 of the Wisconsin Statutes to practice as a registered pharmacist in the State of Wisconsin.
- 2. That the Respondent's pharmacist license is #9173, issued on May 12, 1977.
- 3. That the Respondent's last reported address is 922 River Street, Iron Mountain, Michigan 49801.
- 4. That the Respondent was convicted of one felony violation of section 161.41(1)(a), Wisconsin Statutes, and one felony violation of section 161.41(1)(b), Wisconsin Statutes, upon pleas of guilty on June 2, 1982, in the Circuit Court for Oneida County, Judge Robert E. Kinney, presiding.
  - 5. That the Information in said matter charged as follows:
  - a. That on April 28, 1982, in the City of Rhinelander in Oneida County, the Respondent did feloniously and knowingly deliver Desoxyn, listed in Schedule II of the Uniform Controlled Substances Act, contrary to section 161.41(1)(a), Wisconsin Statutes; and
  - b. That on or about one week prior to end of September, 1981, in the City of Marshfield in Wood County, the Respondent did feloniously and knowingly deliver Pethidine, listed in Schedule II of the Uniform Controlled Substances Act, contrary to section 161.41(1)(b), Wisconsin Statutes.
- 6. That following said convictions the Respondent was sentenced to the Wisconsin State Prison for a term of 18 months on each count, said terms to be concurrent. The executions of said sentences were stayed and the Respondent was placed on probation for two years on each count, said terms of probation being concurrent. The court imposed the following conditions of probation:
  - a. The Respondent shall be incarcerated in the county jail during non-working hours for a period of 82 days (this equaled the credit for time already served).

- b. The Respondent shall make payments as follows:
  - i. Fine of \$500.00;
  - ii. Penalty assessment of \$60.00;
  - iii. Costs of \$20.00;
  - iv. Restitution of \$500.00.
- 7. That attached as Exhibits A, B, and C are copies of the Amended Criminal Complaint, Information, and Judgment of Conviction, respectively.
- 8. That in mitigation of the above, the Respondent asserts that at the time of said violations the Respondent was suffering from a mental disease, manic depression, which substantially affected his judgment. Further, the Respondent asserts that at the time of said violations the Respondent was not taking a prescribed medication which aids in controlling the disease. Attached as Exhibit D is a copy of the Respondent's pre-sentence report concerning the above criminal convictions which provides information regarding the Respondent's background and medical history.
- 9. That the Respondent has not practiced as a pharmacist since May, 1982.
- 10. That a Proposed Order substantially identical to the Proposed Order set forth below is currently pending before the Michigan Pharmacy Examining Board.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. That the circumstances of said convictions substantially relate to the circumstances of the practice of pharmacy.
- 2. That by being convicted of the above crimes, the Respondent has violated Wisconsin Administrative Code section Phar 5.03(2) and section 450.02(7)(a), Wisconsin Statutes.
- 3. That the Pharmacy Examining Board has jurisdiction to take disciplinary action against the Respondent for the violations set forth in Conclusion of Law #2 pursuant to section 450.02(7)(a), Wisconsin Statutes.

#### ORDER

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the license to practice pharmacy of the Respondent, Daniel H. Harsch, shall be and hereby is suspended for a period of one year commencing fifteen days from the date of this Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that following the one year suspension the Respondent will not automatically receive his license but must apply for a two-year limited license which will be granted upon proof acceptable to the Pharmacy Examining Board that the Respondent is capable of practicing pharmacy safely and competently. It is further ordered that when a limited license is granted to the Respondent, said license shall be under the following terms and conditions:

- 1. On a quarterly basis the Respondent shall cause to be sent to the Pharmacy Examining Board a report from a medical doctor. Said report shall address the following:
  - a. Whether the Respondent is physically and mentally capable of safely and competently practicing pharmacy.
  - b. The dates of the Respondent's visits with the medical doctor and an evaluation of the Respondent's physical and mental condition on each date.
- 2. If said medical doctor finds at any time that the Respondent is unable to safely and competently practice pharmacy then an immediate report shall be forwarded to the Pharmacy Examining Board.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that following the two year suspension, the Respondent will not be granted full licensure until he has presented adequate proof to the Pharmacy Examining Board that he is physically and mentally capable of safely and competently practicing pharmacy.

MJB:1mp 707-587