

WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION & LICENSING



Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing Access to the Public Records of the Reports of Decisions

This Reports of Decisions document was retrieved from the Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing website. These records are open to public view under Wisconsin's Open Records law, sections 19.31-19.39 Wisconsin Statutes.

Please read this agreement prior to viewing the Decision:

- The Reports of Decisions is designed to contain copies of all orders issued by credentialing authorities within the Department of Regulation and Licensing from November, 1998 to the present. In addition, many but not all orders for the time period between 1977 and November, 1998 are posted. Not all orders issued by a credentialing authority constitute a formal disciplinary action.
- Reports of Decisions contains information as it exists at a specific point in time in the Department of Regulation and Licensing data base. Because this data base changes constantly, the Department is not responsible for subsequent entries that update, correct or delete data. The Department is not responsible for notifying prior requesters of updates, modifications, corrections or deletions. All users have the responsibility to determine whether information obtained from this site is still accurate, current and complete.
- There may be discrepancies between the online copies and the original document. Original documents should be consulted as the definitive representation of the order's content. Copies of original orders may be obtained by mailing requests to the Department of Regulation and Licensing, PO Box 8935, Madison, WI 53708-8935. The Department charges copying fees. *All requests must cite the case number, the date of the order, and respondent's name as it appears on the order.*
- Reported decisions may have an appeal pending, and discipline may be stayed during the appeal. Information about the current status of a credential issued by the Department of Regulation and Licensing is shown on the Department's Web Site under "License Lookup." The status of an appeal may be found on court access websites at: <http://ccap.courts.state.wi.us/InternetCourtAccess> and <http://www.courts.state.wi.us/wscca>.
- Records not open to public inspection by statute are not contained on this website.

By viewing this document, you have read the above and agree to the use of the Reports of Decisions subject to the above terms, and that you understand the limitations of this on-line database.

Correcting information on the DRL website: An individual who believes that information on the website is inaccurate may contact the webmaster at web@drl.state.wi.gov

1983
82 Med 153

STATE OF WISCONSIN
BEFORE THE MEDICAL EXAMINING BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF DISCIPLINARY	:	
PROCEEDINGS AGAINST	:	
	:	FINAL DECISION
GONZALO C. ROBLES, JR., M.D.,	:	AND ORDER
RESPONDENT	:	

The State of Wisconsin, Medical Examining Board, having considered the above-captioned matter and having reviewed the record and the Proposed Decision of the Hearing Examiner, makes the following:

ORDER

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby ordered that the Proposed Decision annexed hereto, filed by the Hearing Examiner, shall be and hereby is made and ordered the Final Decision of the State of Wisconsin, Medical Examining Board. Let a copy of this order be served on the respondent by certified mail.

A party aggrieved by this decision may petition the board for rehearing within twenty (20) days after service of this decision pursuant to Wis. Stats. sec. 227.12. The party to be named as respondent in the petition is Gonzalo C. Robles, Jr.

A party aggrieved by this decision may also petition for judicial review by filing the petition in the office of the clerk of the circuit court for the county where the judicial review proceedings will be held and serving the board and other parties with a copy of the petition for judicial review within thirty (30) days after service of this decision pursuant to Wis. Stats. sec. 227.16. The party to be named as respondent in the petition is the State of Wisconsin, Medical Examining Board.

Dated this 21 day of April, 1983.

Malvin S. Hasbun M.D.

pc017-552

ok

STATE OF WISCONSIN
BEFORE THE MEDICAL EXAMINING BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF DISCIPLINARY
PROCEEDINGS AGAINST

GONZALO C. ROBLES, JR., M.D.,
RESPONDENT

PROPOSED DECISION

The parties to this proceeding for the purpose of Wis. Stats.
sec. 227.16 are:

Gonzalo C. Robles, Jr., M.D.
1654 North Natividad
Manila, Philippines

-and-

5732 West North Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60639

-and-

4438 North Greenvview Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60640

Medical Examining Board
1400 East Washington Avenue, Room 176
P. O. Box 8936
Madison, Wisconsin 53708

Department of Regulation and Licensing
Division of Enforcement
1400 East Washington Avenue, Room 176
P. O. Box 8936
Madison, Wisconsin 53708

A hearing was held in the above-captioned matter on February 25, 1983 at 1400 East Washington Avenue, Madison, Wisconsin. Appearing for the complainant was Attorney Gilbert C. Lubcke, Department of Regulation and Licensing, Division of Enforcement. The respondent, Gonzalo C. Robles, Jr., was not present, nor was anyone present to represent him.

Based upon the record, the examiner recommends that the Medical Examining Board adopt as its final decision the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Gonzalo C. Robles, Jr., M.D., hereinafter referred to as the "Respondent", is a physician duly licensed to practice medicine and surgery in the State of Wisconsin. His license bears #21205 and was granted on September 19, 1977. Respondent is not currently registered to practice medicine and surgery in the State of Wisconsin.

JURISDICTION

2. The Board has received information that the Respondent has recently had the following mailing addresses: 1) 5732 West North Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60639, 2) 4438 North Greenview Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60639, and 3) 1654 North Natividad, Manila, Philippines.

3. Service of the Notice of Hearing and Complaint in this matter was made by certified mail addressed to Respondent at both Chicago, Illinois addresses set forth above and by registered mail addressed to Respondent at the Manila, Philippines address set forth above.

4. Respondent did not file an Answer to the Complaint nor appear at the hearing.

CONDUCT

5. By an ORDER dated August 27, 1982 in the matter of Department of Registration and Education of the State of Illinois v. Gonzalo C. Robles, Jr. the Illinois Department of Regulation and Education adopted the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Recommendation of the Medical Disciplinary Board finding Respondent in violation of Illinois Revised Statutes, Chapter 111, Sections 4433(4), 4433(16), 4433(20), and 4433(23), and Chapter 56½, Sections 1304(a)(6), 1312(a), and 1312(h), and revoking Respondent's Certificate of Registration License #36-50351, to practice as a physician and surgeon in the State of Illinois. A copy of the Order, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Recommendation are attached hereto and incorporated herein.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Medical Examining Board has jurisdiction in this proceeding pursuant to Wis. Stats. sec. 448.02.

2. Respondent did not file an Answer to the Complaint as required by Wis. Adm. Code sec. RL 2.09, or appear at the evidentiary hearing, and is therefore in default pursuant to Wis. Adm. Code sec. RL 2.14.

3. Respondent, having had his Certificate of Registration, License #36-50351 to practice as a physician and surgeon in the State of Illinois revoked, is guilty of unprofessional conduct within the meaning of Wis. Stats. sec. 448.02(3) and Wis. Adm. Code sec. MED 10.02(2)(q).

4. The Medical Examining Board may, in finding Respondent guilty of unprofessional conduct, warn or reprimand Respondent, or limit, suspend or revoke the license granted by the Board to Respondent pursuant to Wis. Stats. sec. 448.02(3).

ORDER

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the license to practice medicine and surgery of GONZALO C. ROBLES, JR., number 21205, shall be and hereby is REVOKED, said revocation to be effective thirty days following the date of the Final Decision and Order of the Medical Examining Board.


OPINION

The license of Dr. Robles to practice as a physician and surgeon in the State of Illinois was revoked in August, 1982. There is no need to go into detail as to the facts underlying the action taken by the State of Illinois, as they are concisely set forth in the attached Findings of Fact of the Illinois board. It is sufficient to note that the conduct precipitating the action against Dr. Robles' license in Illinois was extremely serious and repetitive.

Dr. Robles did not appear at the hearing in this matter to offer any explanation for his conduct in Illinois. Given the nature of the misconduct involved, the Wisconsin Medical Examining Board must have an opportunity to fully evaluate the ability and fitness of Dr. Robles to provide medical services to the citizens of this state. This proceeding has not provided the board with such an opportunity. Accordingly, appropriate limitations on the license of Dr. Robles cannot be given satisfactory consideration. Likewise, suspension or reprimand would not adequately protect the public interest in view of the findings of the Illinois board. Only a revocation will adequately provide the board with an opportunity for future inquiry of Dr. Robles should he seek relicensure in the future. See, Wis. Stats. sec. 448.02(6).

Dated at Madison, Wisconsin this 15th day of March, 1983.

Respectfully submitted,



Donald R. Rittel
Hearing Examiner

DRR:kh
2497

STATE OF ILLINOIS

DEPARTMENT OF REGISTRATION AND EDUCATION

DEPARTMENT OF REGISTRATION AND EDUCATION)	
of the State of Illinois,)	Complainant
)	v.
GONZALO C. ROBLES, JR.)	
License No. 36-50351,)	Respondent

No. 81-150

ORDER

This matter having come before the Medical Disciplinary Board of the Department of Registration and Education of the State of Illinois, and the Committee, having made certain Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and a Recommendation to the Director of the Department; and the Department having complied with all required notices; and the time allowed for the filing of a Motion for Rehearing before the Director of the Department having now passed;


NOW, THEREFORE, I, GARY L. CLAYTON, DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF REGISTRATION AND EDUCATION of the State of Illinois, do hereby adopt the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Recommendation of the Medical Disciplinary Board in this matter. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Certificate of Registration, License No. 36-50351, heretofore issued to Gonzalo C. Robles, Jr. to carry on the practice of physician and surgeon in the State of Illinois is REVOKED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Respondent immediately surrender said Certificate of Registration and all other indicia

of licensure and all unused Triplicate Prescription Blanks to the Department of Registration and Education of the State of Illinois. Upon failure to do so, the Department shall seize said documents.

DATED THIS 27th DAY OF August, 1982.

DEPARTMENT OF REGISTRATION AND
EDUCATION of the State of Illinois

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Gary L. Clayton", written over a horizontal line.

GARY L. CLAYTON
DIRECTOR

STATE OF ILLINOIS

DEPARTMENT OF REGISTRATION AND EDUCATION

DEPARTMENT OF REGISTRATION AND EDUCATION)
of the State of Illinois, Complainant)
v.) No. 81-150
GONZALO C. ROBLES, JR.)
License No. 36-50351, Respondent)

FINDINGS OF FACT

Now comes the Medical Disciplinary Board of the State of Illinois by John Gregorio, M.D., its Chairman, and by James Williams, M.D., Willard Scrivner, M.D., Sam Brinkley, D.C., George Caleel, D.O., Helen Beiser, M.D., and Eli Borkon, M.D., its members, and says that they either were present at 17 North State, Chicago, Illinois, in the offices of the Illinois Department of Registration and Education on February 3, February 17, March 17, May 5 and May 12, 1982, for the hearing in the above-captioned matter or that they reviewed a transcript of the hearing held in the above-captioned matter; and at the conclusion of the presentation of all of the evidence in that case, they deliberated and made the following findings of fact:

1. THAT Gonzalo C. Robles, Jr., hereinafter referred to as "Respondent", is now a duly registered Physician and Surgeon in the State of Illinois, having been issued Certificate of Registration No. 36-50351 by the Department of Registration and Education hereinafter referred to as "Department". Respondent's license is in active status.

2. THAT the Department filed a formal complaint against the Respondent, and sent notice of the complaint to the Respondent by certified and regular mail.
3. THAT the hearing on the complaint filed by the Department against the Respondent was held on February 3, February 17, March 17, May 5 and May 12, 1982, at 17 North State, Chicago, Illinois.
4. THAT Respondent was present at the hearing and represented by Counsel, namely, B. John Mix.
5. THAT the Department was represented at the hearing by two of its attorneys, Howard E. Spinner and Anne Whitney.
6. THAT after the presentation of all the evidence and arguments, the Medical Disciplinary Board deliberated and made its Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Recommendation to the Director.
7. THAT on May 5, 1980, the Respondent prescribed to Chicago Police Officer Stanley Sanders the controlled substances of 30 pills of Valium, 5 mg. and 4 oz. of Adatuss D.C. without first obtaining from Stanley Sanders sufficient medical history or conducting a physical examination to obtain enough information to form a diagnosis for which prescribing any of these drugs would be appropriate.

8. THAT on June 18, 1980, the Respondent prescribed to Chicago Police Officer Stanley Sanders the controlled substances of 30 pills of Valium, 5 mg. and 4 oz. of Adatuss D.C. without first obtaining from Stanley Sanders sufficient medical history or conducting a physical examination to obtain enough information to form a diagnosis for which prescribing any of these drugs would be appropriate.
9. THAT on May 6, 1980, the Respondent prescribed to Illinois Department of Law Enforcement Special Agent Andrew Howard the controlled substances of 30 pills of Valium, 5 mg., 30 pills of Dalmane, 30 mg. and 4 oz. of Adatuss D.C. without first obtaining from Andrew Howard sufficient medical history or conducting a physical examination to obtain enough information to form a diagnosis for which prescribing any of these drugs would be appropriate.
10. THAT on June 16, 1980, the Respondent prescribed to Illinois Department of Law Enforcement Special Agent Rogelio G. Gomez the controlled substances of 20 pills of Valium, 5 mg., 15 pills of Dalmane, 30 mg. and 30 pills of Darvon-65 without first obtaining from Rogelio G. Gomez sufficient medical history or conducting a physical examination to obtain enough information to form a diagnosis for

which prescribing any of these drugs would be appropriate.

11. THAT on June 16, 1980, the Respondent prescribed to Illinois Department of Law Enforcement Special Agent Arthur Chavarria the controlled substances of 20 pills of Valium, 5 mg., Phenergan with Codeine, 4 oz. of Adatuss D.C. and Empirin with Codeine without first obtaining from Arthur Chavarria sufficient medical history or conducting a meaningful physical examination to obtain enough information to form a diagnosis for which prescribing any of these drugs would be appropriate.
12. THAT on May 14, 1980, the Respondent prescribed to Illinois Department of Law Enforcement Special Agent Osborn Curtis the controlled substances of 34 pills of Valium, 5 mg., 28 pills of Darvon N-100 and 4 oz. Dimetane D.C. without first obtaining from Osborn Curtis sufficient medical history or conducting a physical examination to obtain enough information to form a diagnosis for which prescribing any of these drugs would be appropriate.
13. THAT on May 14, 1980, the Respondent prescribed to Illinois Department of Law Enforcement Special Agent James Kizart the controlled substances of 34 pills of Valium, 5 mg., 35 pills of Darvon N-100

and 4 oz of Dimetane D.C. without first obtaining from James Kizart sufficient medical history or conducting a physical examination to obtain enough information to form a diagnosis for which prescribing any of these drugs would be appropriate.

14. THAT on June 18, 1980, the Respondent prescribed to Illinois Department of Law Enforcement Special Agent Osborn Curtis the controlled substances of 30 pills of Valium, 5 mg., Phenergan with Codeine and 4 oz. of Adatuss D.C. without first obtaining from Osborn Curtis sufficient medical history or conducting a physical examination to obtain enough information to form a diagnosis for which prescribing any of these drugs would be appropriate.

15. THAT on June 18, 1980, the Respondent prescribed to Illinois Department of Law Enforcement Special Agent James Kizart the controlled substances of 20 pills of Valium, 5 mg. and 20 pills of Darvon N-100 without first obtaining from James Kizart sufficient medical history or conducting a physical examination to obtain enough information to form a diagnosis for which prescribing any of these drugs would be appropriate.

16. THAT the Respondent issued eight prescriptions for 100 pills each for a total of 800 pills of

Dilaudid, 4 mg., a controlled substance, to James Aderholt for two separate fractures on an out-patient basis. Less than 100 pills for each fracture were required for the medical needs of the patient.

17. THAT the Respondent issued a prescription (Triplicate No. 1S-87842) to Flora Edwards for 100 Dilaudid, 4 mg., a controlled substance, for a draining abscess (recurrent) of right armpit as an out-patient. A prescription for 100 Dilaudid, 4 mg. is inappropriate for this condition.
18. THAT the Respondent issued a prescription (Triplicate No. 1S-87872) to Valerie Armstrong for 100 Dilaudid, 4 mg., a controlled substance, for an actively bleeding peptic duodenal ulcer. A prescription for 100 Dilaudid, 4 mg. is inappropriate for this condition.
19. THAT the Respondent issued 4 prescriptions for 100 Dilaudid, 4 mg. each, for a total of 400 Dilaudid, 4 mg., a controlled substance, to Anthony Bridges between December 17, 1980, and June 19, 1981, for pain from ischemic Volkman's contracture as an out-patient. Less than 100 pills of Dilaudid, 4 mg. were required for the medical needs of the patient.
20. THAT the Respondent issued a prescription (Triplicate No. 1P-70672) to Gabriel Rosarid for

100 Dilaudid, 4 mg., a controlled substance, for an impending heart attack as an out-patient. The only appropriate treatment would be hospitalization.

21. THAT the Respondent issued a prescription (Triplicate No. 1Q-42151) to Mary Duncan for 100 Dilaudid, 4 mg., a controlled substance, for severe post-surgical pain and/or ruptured ovarian cyst as an out-patient. The only appropriate treatment for a possible ruptured ovarian cyst is hospitalization.

22. THAT the Respondent issued a prescription (Triplicate No. 1T-76294) to Reva Garcia for 100 Preludin, 75 mg., a controlled substance. The medical record that was prepared is a sham in that the temperature was the average temperature of 98.6° as were all the other files, the other vital signs were unusually normal and similar to the vital signs in the other files, there were no lab tests in the record and there were no return visits. The prescription was issued before the return of laboratory tests; therefore, Respondent did not have enough data to have issued the prescription in good faith.

23. THAT the Respondent issued a prescription (Triplicate No. 1R-93890) to Lillian Hileman for 100 Preludin, 75 mg., a controlled substance. The medical record that was prepared is a sham in that

the temperature was the average temperature of 98.6° as were all the other files, the other vital signs were unusually normal and similar to the vital signs in the other files, there were no lab tests in the record and there were no return visits. The prescription was issued without ruling out Diabetes; therefore, Respondent did not issue the prescription in good faith.

24. THAT the Respondent issued a prescription (Triplicate No. 1R-93892) to Michael Wood for 100 Preludin, 75 mg., a controlled substance. The medical record that was prepared is a sham in that the temperature was the average temperature of 98.6° as were all the other files, the other vital signs were unusually normal and similar to the vital signs in the other files, there were no lab tests in the record and there were no return visits. The prescription was issued without conducting adequate diagnostic tests; therefore, the prescription was not issued for a therapeutic purpose.

25. THAT the Respondent issued a prescription (Triplicate No. 1R-93894) to Roselyn Smith for 100 Preludin, 75 mg., a controlled substance. The medical record that was prepared is a sham in that the temperature was the average temperature of 98.6° as were all the other files, the other vital

signs were unusually normal and similar to the vital signs in the other files, there were no lab tests in the record and there were no return visits. The prescription was issued without adequate laboratory tests to exclude other possible causes of weight gain; therefore, the prescription was not issued for a therapeutic purpose.

26. THAT the vital signs for the six agents in Paragraphs 7 through 15 were invented and placed in the medical record to make it appear as if that part of the examination had been taken.
27. THAT the similarity of the vital signs of all of Respondent's patients' records introduced as Respondent's Exhibits 4 through 8 and Department Exhibits 4, 10, 14, 19, 23 and 24 shows that all of the vital signs in all patient records are fake.
28. THAT no medical record for any patient to whom Respondent prescribed Preludin contained any results of a laboratory test nor any indication of a return visit. This shows that Respondent intended that none be taken or performed.
29. THAT 100 Preludin, 75 mg., were prescribed on the first visit for a fee of \$350 shows that Respondent's "100-DAY WEIGHT-REDUCING PROGRAM" was nothing but a sham designed to cover up the diversion of Preludin into other than medical purposes.

30. THAT between November 15, 1979, and December 29, 1981, Respondent issued approximately 1200 prescriptions for Preludin, 75 mg., or Dilaudid, 4 mg., mostly in amounts of 100 pills per prescription, as shown in Department Exhibit 32.
31. THAT there was no apparent consistent doctor-patient relationship with most of the patients.
32. THAT the frequency of the Dilaudid and Preludin prescriptions was far in excess of those normally prescribed by physicians in the same or similar practices.
33. THAT the consistent dosages of 4 mg. for Dilaudid and 75 mg. for Preludin was unusual.
34. THAT the geographic distances the patients came to Respondent at 1555 West Madison, 10 South Paulina or 5711 North Lincoln, Chicago, Illinois was exceptionally unusual.
35. THAT the consistent prescribing of Dilaudid and Preludin to the exclusion of almost all other Schedule II controlled substances or designated products was exceptionally unusual.
36. THAT the prescriptions listed in Department Exhibit 32 for Dilaudid and Preludin taken in their totality are either the result of ineffective controls to prevent the diversion of controlled substances to other than medical purposes or the

prescribing of controlled substances to persons whom the Respondent knew or should have known who were habitual or customary users of those substances for illicit purposes.

37. THAT Respondent submitted claims for reimbursement for services rendered (physical examinations and taking of history) to the Illinois Department of Public Aid concerning the visits described in Paragraphs 7, 9, 12 and 13 above when he knew that no physical examination had been performed.

Dated this 4th day of August, 1982

John Gugino M.D.
Chairman

Helen R. Brine M.D.
Member

Ames B. Williams M.D.
Member

For Scanned
Member

Ellen L. Berlin M.D.
Member

AP Buckley D2
Member

Member

HES:klg

STATE OF ILLINOIS

DEPARTMENT OF REGISTRATION AND EDUCATION

DEPARTMENT OF REGISTRATION AND EDUCATION)	
of the State of Illinois,	Complainant)
	v.)
GONZALO C. ROBLES, JR.)
License No. 36-50351,	Respondent)
		No. 81-150

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Now comes the Medical Disciplinary Board of the State of Illinois by John Gregorio, M.D., its Chairman, and by James Williams, M.D., Willard Scrivner, M.D., Sam Brinkley, D.C., George Caleel, D.O., Helen Beiser, M.D. and Eli Borkon, M.D., its members, and says that they were present at 17 North State, Chicago, Illinois, in the Offices of the Illinois Department of Registration and Education on February 3, February 17, March 17, May 5 and May 12, 1982, for the hearing in the above-captioned matter or that they reviewed a transcript of the hearing held in the above-mentioned matter; and that at the conclusion of the presentation of all of the evidence in that case, they deliberated and made the following conclusions of law:

1. THAT the Medical Disciplinary Board of the State of Illinois had jurisdiction over the subject matter and of the parties in this case.
2. THAT the Respondent violated Chapter 111, Section 4433(16), Illinois Revised Statutes, in that in connection with each of the purported patients

listed below the controlled substance prescriptions for the patients were issued in a way other than for a therapeutic purpose.

<u>NAME</u>	<u>Identification of Prescription</u>
Stanley Sanders	05/05/80
Stanley Sanders	06/18/80
Andrew Howard	05/06/80
Rogelio Gomez	06/16/80
Arthur Chavarria	06/16/80
Osborn Curtis	05/14/80
James Kizart	05/14/80
Osborn Curtis	06/18/80
James Kizart	06/18/80
James Aderholt	all but the first prescription for each fracture
Flora Edwards	1S-87842
Valerie Armstrong	1S-87872
Anthony Bridges	last three prescriptions
Gabriel Rosarid	1P-70672
Mary Duncan	1Q-42151
Michael Wood	1R-93892
Rosalyn Smith	1R-93894
The prescriptions of Department Exhibit 32 taken as a whole.	

3. THAT Respondent violated Chapter 56½, Section 1304(a)(6) in that the issuance of the prescriptions for each of the purported patients listed below constituted a failure to provide effective control against the diversion of controlled substances in other than legitimate channels.

<u>NAME</u>	<u>Identification of Prescription</u>
Stanley Sanders	05/05/80
Stanley Sanders	06/18/80
Andrew Howard	05/06/80

Rogelio Gomez	06/16/80
Arthur Chavarria	06/16/80
Osborn Curtis	05/14/80
James Kizart	05/14/80
Osborn Curtis	06/18/80
James Kizart	06/18/80
James Aderholt	all but the first prescription for each fracture
Anthony Bridges	last three prescriptions
Gabriel Rosarid	1P-70672
Mary Duncan	1Q-42151
The prescriptions of Department Exhibit 32 taken as a whole.	

4. THAT Respondent violated Chapter 111, Section 4433(4), Illinois Revised Statutes in that the issuance of the prescriptions for each of the purported patients listed below constituted unprofessional conduct likely to harm the public.

<u>NAME</u>	<u>Identification of Prescription</u>
Stanley Sanders	05/05/80
Stanley Sanders	06/18/80
Andrew Howard	05/06/80
Rogelio Gomez	06/16/80
Arthur Chavarria	06/16/80
Osborn Curtis	05/14/80
James Kizart	05/14/80
Osborn Curtis	06/18/80
James Kizart	06/18/80
James Aderholt	all but the first prescription for each fracture
Anthony Bridges	last three prescriptions
Gabriel Rosarid	1P-70672
Mary Duncan	1Q-42151
The prescriptions of Department Exhibit 32 taken as a whole.	

5. THAT Respondent violated Chapter 56½, Section 1312(a) in that the issuance of the prescriptions

for each of the purported patients listed below was not made in good faith.

<u>NAME</u>	<u>Identification of Prescriptions</u>
Stanley Sanders	05/05/80
Stanley Sanders	06/18/80
Andrew Howard	05/06/80
Rogelio Gomez	06/16/80
Arthur Chavarria	06/16/80
Osborn Curtis	05/14/80
James Kizart	05/14/80
Osborn Curtis	06/18/80
James Kizart	06/18/80
James Aderholt	all but the first prescription for each fracture
Flora Edwards	1S-87842
Anthony Bridges	last three prescriptions
Gabriel Rosarid	1P-70672
Mary Duncan	1Q-42151
Reva Garcia	1T-76294
Lillian Hileman	1R-93890
The prescriptions of Department Exhibit 32 taken as a whole.	

6. THAT Respondent violated Chapter 111, Section 4433(20) and 4433(23); Illinois Revised Statutes, in that he submitted false claims for services not rendered against the Medical Assistance Program of the Illinois Department of Public Aid.
7. THAT Respondent violated Chapter 56½, Section 1312(h) by prescribing the prescriptions in Exhibit A to the Complaint to individuals for either their physical or psychological addiction, habitual or customary use or diversion.
8. THAT Respondent violated Chapter 111, Section 4433(4), Illinois Revised Statutes, by violating

Chapter 56½, Sections 1304(a)(6), 1312(a) and
1312(h), Illinois Revised Statutes.

Dated this 4th day of August, 1982.

John Gregory M.D.
Chairman
Glenn R. Beier M.D.
Member
James B. Williams M.D.
Member
Mr. Steven D. ...
Member
Ch. L. ...
Member
J. H. ...
Member

Member

HES:klg

STATE OF ILLINOIS

DEPARTMENT OF REGISTRATION AND EDUCATION

DEPARTMENT OF REGISTRATION AND EDUCATION)	
of the State of Illinois, Complainant)	
)	
v.)	No. 81-150
)	
GONZALO C. ROBLES, JR.)	
License No. 36-50351, Respondent)	

RECOMMENDATION TO THE DIRECTOR

Now comes the Medical Disciplinary Board of the State of Illinois by John Gregorio, M.D., its Chairman, and by James Williams, M.D., Willard Scrivner, M.D., Sam Brinkley, D.C., George Caleel, D.O., Helen Beiser, M.D., and Eli Borkon, M.D., its members, and says:

1. THAT they were all present on February 3, February 17, March 17, May 5 and May 12, 1982, at the Office of the State of Illinois, Department of Registration and Education, 17 North State, Chicago, Illinois, and heard testimony in the above-captioned matter, or they reviewed a transcript of the hearing held in the above-captioned matter;
2. THAT they deliberated at the conclusion of the presentation of all of the evidence in this matter;

3. THAT as a result of their deliberation they made certain Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law relative to the matter above captioned.

4. THAT following said Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law they now make a certain recommendation to Gary L. Clayton, Director of the Department of Registration and Education, as to the licensure of the Respondent herein.

WHEREFORE, the Medical Disciplinary Board of the State of Illinois recommends that License No. 36-50351 and the Controlled Substances License No. 003-036-050351-1, of Gonzalo C. Robles, Jr., be disciplined as follows for each of the following events.

<u>NAME</u>	<u>Identification of Prescription</u>	<u>Recommendation</u>
Stanley Sanders	05/05/80	REVOCATION
Stanley Sanders	06/18/80	REVOCATION
Andrew Howard	05/06/80	REVOCATION
Rogelio Gomez	06/16/80	REVOCATION
Arthur Chavarria	06/16/80	REVOCATION
Osborn Curtis	05/14/80	REVOCATION
James Kizart	05/14/80	REVOCATION
Osborn Curtis	06/18/80	REVOCATION
James Kizart	06/18/80	REVOCATION
James Aderholt	all but the first prescription for each fracture	REVOCATION
Flora Edwards	1S-87842	REVOCATION
Valerie Armstrong	1S-87872	REVOCATION
Anthony Bridges	last three prescriptions	REVOCATION
Gabriel Rosarid	1P-70672	REVOCATION
Mary Duncan	1Q-42151	REVOCATION
Michael Wood	1R-93892	REVOCATION
Reva Garcia	1T-76294	REVOCATION
Lillian Hileman	1R-93890	REVOCATION

Rosalyn Smith
The prescriptions
of Department
Exhibit 32 taken
as a whole.

1 R-93894

REVOCATION
REVOCATION

DATED THIS 4th DAY OF August, 1982.

Chairman

John Gregory MD

Member

Heleen R. Brier M.D

Member

James B. Williams MD

Member

Mr. Scudder

Member

Ch. L. Beahan MD

Member

Dr. Stanley

Member

HES:klg