FILE COPY

STATE OF WISCONSIN
BEFORE THE BOARD OF NURSING

IN THE MATTER OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST	:	FINAL DECISION AND ORDER
DANIEL N. KETCHUM, R.N., RESPONDENT.	:	LS9711241 NUR

The State of Wisconsin, Board of Nursing, having considered the above-captioned matter and having reviewed the record and the Proposed Decision of the Administrative Law Judge, makes the following:

ORDER

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby ordered that the Proposed Decision annexed hereto, filed by the Administrative Law Judge, shall be and hereby is made and ordered the Final Decision of the State of Wisconsin, Board of Nursing.

The Division of Enforcement and Administrative Law Judge are hereby directed to file their affidavits of costs with the Department General Counsel within 15 days of this decision. The Department General Counsel shall mail a copy thereof to respondent or his or her representative.

The rights of a party aggrieved by this Decision to petition the department for rehearing and the petition for judicial review are set forth on the attached "Notice of Appeal Information."

Dated this 9th day of July 1998.

Lucy D. Burns CRNA
A Member of the Board

STATE OF WISCONSIN
BEFORE THE BOARD OF NURSING

IN THE MATTER OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST	:	PROPOSED DECISION
DANIEL N. KETCHUM, R.N., RESPONDENT.	:	(Case No. LS9711241NUR)

The parties to this proceeding under Wis. Stats. § 227.44, and for the purposes of Wis. Stats. § 227.53, are:

Daniel N. Ketchum, R.N.
c/o Fox Lake Correctional Institute
P.O. Box 147
Fox Lake, WI 53933

State of Wisconsin
Board of Nursing
1400 East Washington Avenue
P.O. Box 8935
Madison, WI 53708

State of Wisconsin
Department of Regulation and Licensing
Division of Enforcement
1400 East Washington Avenue
P.O. Box 8935
Madison, WI 53708

A hearing was held in the above-captioned matter on March 31, 1998. The respondent, Daniel N. Ketchum, appeared by telephone, and without legal counsel. The complainant appeared by attorney, James W. Harris, Department of Regulation and Licensing, Division of Enforcement, 1400 East Washington Avenue, P.O. Pox 8935, Madison, Wisconsin 53708.

Based upon the entire record herein, the administrative law judge recommends that the Board of Nursing adopt as its final decision in this proceeding the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The respondent, Daniel N. Ketchum (D.O.B. 1/21/62), is licensed as a registered nurse in the state of Wisconsin pursuant to license number 117613. Mr. Ketchum's current

address is Fox Lake Correctional Institute, W10237 Lake Emily Road, P.O. Box 147, Fox Lake, Wisconsin 53933.

2. Mr. Ketchum purchased heroin for himself and his girlfriend, Sheri, shortly after midnight in the early morning of April 15, 1996. They had previously been to a bar and Sheri appeared intoxicated to the individual who sold the heroin. Mr. Ketchum and Sheri went to his apartment where Mr. Ketchum injected himself and Sheri with the heroin. Mr. Ketchum fell asleep and he awoke around 2:30 a.m. to find Sheri laying unconscious on the floor near the bathroom. He called Michael Last, who came over to Mr. Ketchum's apartment and helped carry Sheri onto a bed. Sheri was not moving nor appear to be breathing at this time. Last told Mr. Ketchum to call "911" for an ambulance, but Mr. Ketchum declined and gave Sheri mouth-to-mouth resuscitation. About 10 minutes later, Last contacted "911". Last then left the apartment. According to the criminal Complaint, Kenosha police officers were dispatched to Mr. Ketchum's apartment around 3:00 a.m. Sheri was transported to the Kenosha Hospital and Medical Center and was pronounced dead at 3:44 a.m. from a drug overdose on April 15, 1996.

3. On June 26, 1997, respondent was convicted in the Kenosha County Circuit Court of a violation of Wis. Stats. § 940.06, second degree reckless homicide, as a party to a crime. An element of the crime is the subjective mental state of criminal recklessness on the part of the respondent by delivery of heroin to the victim which caused her death.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Board of Nursing has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to Wis. Stats. § 441.07, and ch. N 7, Wis. Adm. Code.

2. The circumstances of the crime for which respondent was convicted substantially relate to the practice of a registered nurse.

3. By having been convicted of a crime substantially related to the practice of a registered nurse, as described in paragraph 3 of the Findings of Fact, respondent has violated Wis. Stats. §§ 441.07(1)(b) and (d), and secs. N 7.04(1) and (15), Wis. Adm. Code.

ORDER

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the license of the respondent, Daniel N. Ketchum, to practice as a registered nurse in the state of Wisconsin shall be, and hereby is REVOKED.

FURTHERMORE, IT IS ORDERED that the assessable costs of this proceeding be imposed upon the respondent, Daniel N. Ketchum, pursuant to Wis. Stats. § 440.22.

OPINION

This proceeding stems from the heroin overdose death on April 15, 1996 of the girlfriend of respondent, Daniel N. Ketchum. On June 26, 1997, Mr. Ketchum, was convicted upon a guilty plea to the crime of second-degree reckless homicide, as a party to a crime. He was sentenced to 8 years in prison. The criminal statute under which Mr. Ketchum was convicted, reads as follows:

> **940.06 Second-degree reckless homicide.** Whoever recklessly causes the death of another human being is guilty of a Class C felony.

By virtue of his conviction, Mr. Ketchum was found to have created an unreasonable and substantial risk of death or great bodily harm to another human being and was aware of that risk.[1] This resulting disciplinary proceeding is founded upon the claim that a registered nurse who "recklessly causes the death of another human being" has engaged in conduct substantially related to the practice of a registered nurse.

Accordingly, the threshold issue in this proceeding is whether the crime for which Mr. Ketchum stands convicted substantially relates to the practice of a registered nurse. Registered nurses, such as Mr. Ketchum, are authorized to practice professional nursing,[2] as defined in relevant part in Wis. Stats. § 441.11(4), as follows:

> PRACTICE OF PROFESSIONAL NURSING. The practice of professional nursing within the terms of this chapter means the performance for compensation of any act in the observation or care of the ill, injured or infirm, or for the maintenance of health or prevention of illness of others, which act requires substantial nursing skill, knowledge or training, or application of nursing principles based on biological, physical and social sciences, such as the observation and recording of symptoms and reactions, the execution of procedures and techniques in the treatment of the sick under the general or special supervision or direction of a physician, podiatrist licensed under ch. 448 or dentist licensed under ch. 447. . . .

Mr. Ketchum's conviction contains elements of conduct that are diametrically opposed to the responsibility of a registered nurse to take "care of the ill, injured or infirm" and assist in maintaining the "health or prevention of illness of others". A conviction for recklessly causing the death of another person is substantially related to the practice of professional nursing.

The remaining issue in this proceeding is that of the appropriate discipline, if any, to impose upon Mr. Ketchum. In this regard, it must be recognized that the well established and interrelated purposes for applying disciplinary measures are to: 1) promote the rehabilitation of

---

[1] Wis. Stats. § 939.24(1).
[2] Wis. Stats. § 441.06(2).

the licensee, 2) protect the public, and 3) to deter other licensees from engaging in similar misconduct. *State v. Aldrich*, 71 Wis. 2d 206, 209 (1976). Punishment of the licensee is not an appropriate consideration. *State v. MacIntyre*, 41 Wis. 2d 481, 485 (1969).

At the hearing in this matter, Mr. Ketchum testified regarding the events leading to the death of his girlfriend, Sheri, on April 15, 1996. He also introduced Exhibit 2, which consists of various documents relating to his criminal conviction. Pages 1 through 17 primarily consist of statements from various individuals given to the Kenosha Police Department; and pages 18 to 44 is a transcript of Mr. Ketchum's preliminary hearing in the criminal matter.

From these documents, as well as the testimony of Mr. Ketchum, the following events took place. Mr. Ketchum purchased heroin for himself and Sheri shortly after midnight in the early morning of April 15, 1996. They had previously been to a bar and Sheri appeared intoxicated to the individual who sold the heroin. Mr. Ketchum and Sheri went to his apartment where Mr. Ketchum injected himself and Sheri with heroin.[3] Mr. Ketchum fell asleep and he awoke around 2:30 a.m. to find Sheri laying unconscious on the floor near the bathroom. He called Michael Last, who came over to Mr. Ketchum's apartment and helped carry Sheri onto a bed. Sheri was not moving nor appear to be breathing at this time. Last told Mr. Ketchum to call "911" for an ambulance, but Mr. Ketchum declined and gave Sheri mouth-to-mouth resuscitation. About 10 minutes later, Last contacted "911". Last then left the apartment. According to the criminal Complaint (Exhibit 1, p. 2), Kenosha police officers were dispatched to Mr. Ketchum's apartment around 3:00 a.m. Sheri was transported to the Kenosha Hospital and Medical Center and was pronounced dead at 3:44 a.m. on April 15, 1996.

The above facts were not introduced to establish that the circumstances of the conviction are substantially related to nursing practice, since the elements of the crime are reviewed for that purpose.[4] Nor were they brought forward in an inappropriate attempt to collaterally attack Mr. Ketchum's criminal conviction.[5] Rather, they are drawn from the evidence produced by Mr. Ketchum and utilized for the limited purpose of determining the existence of aggravating or mitigating factors for consideration in the disciplinary sanction determination.

The circumstances presented are not of assistance to Mr. Ketchum's request that his license be limited in some respect, rather than revoked as recommended by the complainant. This is especially so, given the testimony of the complainant's expert witness, Sharon Kotowski, R.N. Among other things, Ms. Kotowski noted that Mr. Ketchum failed to utilize basic life saving measures, known to competent nurses, when confronted with Sheri's circumstances. According to Ms. Kotowski, basic nursing measures would have included a nursing assessment, performing

---

[3] Mr. Ketchum claims that he did not inject Sheri with heroin; but rather, she did herself. However, this is not consistent with what Mr. Ketchum had told others previously. See, Exhibit 2, pp. 7, 11, 14, 15 and 28.

[4] *County of Milwaukee v. LIRC*, 139 Wis. 2d 805 (1987).

[5] *Lee v. State Board of Dental Examiners*, 29 Wis.2d 330 (1966)

cardiopulmonary resuscitation on a flat surface (rather than a bed), contacting "911" immediately and then returning to aid the victim until help arrived. Mr. Kotowski did none of these things.

In fact, the reasons given by Mr. Ketchum for not calling "911" are extremely damaging to his request for lenient discipline. First, he indicated that he had been able to resuscitate Sheri on two previous occasions after a drug overdose, and thought he could do so again. This admission establishes that Mr. Ketchum was well aware of Sheri's propensity to dangerously overdose on illicit drugs prior to injecting her with heroin, while she was in an intoxicated state, on April 15, 1996. Second, Mr. Ketchum said he knew that Sheri was HIV positive and claimed he was concerned for her "privacy" should he need to disclose that to arriving emergency personnel. Under the circumstances, Mr. Ketchum's supposed concern for Sheri's welfare was, most charitably stated, woefully and fatally misdirected.

In my opinion, there are no mitigating circumstances presented in this case to justify the imposition of anything less than a revocation of Mr. Ketchum's license to practice as a registered nurse. The deterrence of other licensees from engaging in similar misconduct, as well as the need to protect the public from Mr. Ketchum, requires his license be revoked.

Dated this 27th day of April, 1998.

Respectfully submitted,



Donald R. Rittel
Administrative Law Judge

g:\decision\proposed\ketchum.drr

STATE OF WISCONSIN
DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION AND LICENSING
BEFORE THE BOARD OF NURSING

In the Matter of Disciplinary Proceedings Against

Daniel N. Ketchum, R.N.,

Respondent.

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

STATE OF WISCONSIN)
)
COUNTY OF DANE)

I, Kate Rotenberg, having been duly sworn on oath, state the following to be true and correct based on my personal knowledge:

1. I am employed by the Wisconsin Department of Regulation and Licensing.

2. On July 14, 1998, I served the Final Decision and Order dated July 9, 1998, LS9711241NUR, upon the Respondent Daniel N. Ketchum, R.N. by enclosing a true and accurate copy of the above-described document in an envelope properly stamped and addressed to the above-named Respondent and placing the envelope in the State of Wisconsin mail system to be mailed by the United States Post Office by certified mail. The certified mail receipt number on the envelope is Z 233 819 552.

3. The address used for mailing the Decision is the address that appears in the records of the Department as the Respondent's last-known address and is:

Daniel N. Ketchum, R.N.
c/o Fox Lake Correctional Institute
P.O. Box 147
Fox Lake WI 53933

Kate Rotenberg
Kate Rotenberg
Department of Regulation and Licensing
Office of Legal Counsel

Subscribed and sworn to before me
this 15th day of July, 1998.

Notary Public, State of Wisconsin
My commission is permanent.

NOTICE OF RIGHTS OF APPEAL

TO: DANIEL N KETCHUM RN

You have been issued a Final Decision and Order. For purposes of service the date of mailing of this Final Decision and Order is 7/14/98. Your rights to request a rehearing and/or judicial review are summarized below and set forth fully in the statutes reprinted on the reverse side.

A. REHEARING.

Any person aggrieved by this order may file a written petition for rehearing within 20 days after service of this order, as provided in section 227.49 of the Wisconsin Statutes. The 20 day period commences on the day of personal service or the date of mailing of this decision. The date of mailing of this Final Decision is shown above.

A petition for rehearing should name as respondent and be filed with the party identified below.

A petition for rehearing shall specify in detail the grounds for relief sought and supporting authorities. Rehearing will be granted only on the basis of some material error of law, material error of fact, or new evidence sufficiently strong to reverse or modify the Order which could not have been previously discovered by due diligence. The agency may order a rehearing or enter an order disposing of the petition without a hearing. If the agency does not enter an order disposing of the petition within 30 days of the filing of the petition, the petition shall be deemed to have been denied at the end of the 30 day period.

A petition for rehearing is not a prerequisite for judicial review.

B. JUDICIAL REVIEW.

Any person aggrieved by this decision may petition for judicial review as specified in section 227.53, Wisconsin Statutes (copy on reverse side). The petition for judicial review must be filed in circuit court where the petitioner resides, except if the petitioner is a non-resident of the state, the proceedings shall be in the circuit court for Dane County. The petition should name as the respondent the Department, Board, Examining Board, or Affiliated Credentialing Board which issued the Final Decision and Order. A copy of the petition for judicial review must also be served upon the respondent at the address listed below.

A petition for judicial review must be served personally or by certified mail on the respondent and filed with the court within 30 days after service of the Final Decision and Order if there is no petition for rehearing, or within 30 days after service of the order finally disposing of a petition for rehearing, or within 30 days after the final disposition by operation of law of any petition for rehearing. Courts have held that the right to judicial review of administrative agency decisions is dependent upon strict compliance with the requirements of sec. 227.53 (1) (a), Stats. This statute requires, among other things, that a petition for review be served upon the agency and be filed with the clerk of the circuit court within the applicable thirty day period.

The 30 day period for serving and filing a petition for judicial review commences on the day after personal service or mailing of the Final Decision and Order by the agency, or, if a petition for rehearing has been timely filed, the day after personal service or mailing of a final decision or disposition by the agency of the petition for rehearing, or the day after the final disposition by operation of the law of a petition for rehearing. The date of mailing of this Final Decision and Order is shown above.

The petition shall state the nature of the petitioner's interest, the facts showing that the petitioner is a person aggrieved by the decision, and the grounds specified in section 227.57, Wisconsin Statutes, upon which the petitioner contends that the decision should be reversed or modified. The petition shall be entitled in the name of the person serving it as Petitioner and the Respondent as described below.

SERVE PETITION FOR REHEARING OR JUDICIAL REVIEW ON:

STATE OF WISCONSIN BOARD OF NURSING
1400 East Washington Avenue
P.O. Box 8935
Madison WI 53708-8935

FILE COPY

STATE OF WISCONSIN
BEFORE THE BOARD OF NURSING

IN THE MATTER OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST	:	ORDER FIXING COSTS
	:	Case # LS9711241NUR
DANIEL N. KETCHUM, R.N., RESPONDENT.	:	

On July 9, 1998, the Board of Nursing filed its Final Decision and Order in the above-captioned matter by which the board ordered that pursuant to sec. 440.22, Wis. Stats., 100% of the costs of this proceeding be assessed against respondent. Pursuant to sec. RL 2.18 (4), Wis. Adm. Code, on or about April 30, 1998, the Board of Nursing received the *Affidavit of Costs* in the amount of $1,794.40, filed by Attorney James W. Harris. On or about July 16, 1998, the Board of Nursing received the *Affidavit of Costs of the Office of Legal Services* in the amount of $307.70, filed by Administrative Law Judge Donald R. Rittel. The Board of Nursing considered the affidavits on September 18, 1998 and orders as follows:

ORDER

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that pursuant to sec. 440.22, Wis. Stats., the costs of this proceeding in the amount of $2,102.10, which is 100% of the costs set forth in the affidavits of costs of Attorney James W. Harris and Administrative Law Judge Donald R. Rittel, which are attached hereto and made a part hereof, are hereby assessed against respondent, and shall be payable by him to the Department of Regulation and Licensing. **Failure of respondent to make payment on or before October 18, 1998, shall constitute a violation of the Order unless respondent petitions for and the board grants a different deadline.** Under sec. 440.22 (3), Wis. Stats., the Board of Nursing may not restore, renew or otherwise issue any credential to the respondent until respondent has made payment to the department in the full amount assessed.

To ensure that payments for assessed costs are correctly receipted, the attached *"Guidelines for Payment of Costs and/or Forfeitures"* should be enclosed with the payment.

Dated this 18th day of September, 1998.

BOARD OF NURSING

By: _____
A Member of the Board

STATE OF WISCONSIN
BEFORE THE BOARD OF NURSING

IN THE MATTER OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST DANIEL N. KETCHUM, R.N., RESPONDENT.	: : : : :	AFFIDAVIT OF COSTS OF OFFICE OF BOARD LEGAL SERVICES (Case No. LS9711241NUR)

STATE OF WISCONSIN)
) ss.
COUNTY OF DANE)

Donald R. Rittel, being first duly sworn on oath, deposes and states as follows:

1. Your affiant is an attorney licensed to practice law in the state of Wisconsin, and is employed by the Wisconsin Department of Regulation and Licensing, Office of Board Legal Services.

2. In the course of his employment, your affiant was assigned as the administrative law judge in the above-captioned matter.

3. Set out below are the actual costs of this proceeding for the Office of Board Legal Services in this matter:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE EXPENSE
Donald R. Rittel

DATE	ACTIVITY	TIME SPENT
12/12/97	Review Complaint; Respondent's Letter; Letter to Respondent	0.25 hours
1/29/98	Conduct Prehearing Conference; prepare Memorandum	0.75 hours
2/27/98	Conduct Prehearing Conference; prepare Memorandum	0.50 hours
3/31/98	Conduct Evidentiary Hearing	1.50 hours
	Review record; prepare Proposed Decision	4.00 hours
	TOTAL TIME SPENT	7.00 hours

Total administrative law judge expense for Donald R. Rittel,
7.00 hours @ $ 43.958 per hour, salary and benefits: $ 307.70

TOTAL ASSESSABLE COSTS FOR OFFICE OF BOARD LEGAL SERVICES **$ 307.70**



Donald R. Rittel
Administrative Law Judge

Sworn to and subscribed before me
this 16th day of July, 1998.

Ruby Jefferson-Moore
Notary Public, State of Wisconsin
My Commission is permanent

I:\alj\costs\ketchum

STATE OF WISCONSIN
BEFORE THE BOARD OF NURSING

IN THE MATTER OF THE DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST	:	
	:	AFFIDAVIT OF COSTS
DANIEL N. KETCHUM, R.N., RESPONDENT.	:	LS9711241NUR

STATE OF WISCONSIN)
) ss.
COUNTY OF DANE)

James W. Harris, being duly sworn, deposes and states as follows:

1. I am an attorney licensed in the state of Wisconsin employed by the Wisconsin Department of Regulation and Licensing, Division of Enforcement:

2. In the course of those duties I was assigned as a prosecutor in the above-captioned matter; and

3. Set forth below are the costs of the proceeding accrued to the Division of Enforcement in this matter, based upon Division of Enforcement records compiled in the regular course of agency business in the above-captioned matter.

PROSECUTING ATTORNEY EXPENSE

Date	Activity	Time Spent
10/06/97	file review/ltr DA	2.0
10/15/97	file review	1.0
10/16/97	conf investigator	0.5
11/17/97	research/prepare complaint	2.0
11/18/97	conf: BLS/prepare notice/transmittal	1.0
11/21/97	conf. Secretary/review letter	0.5
11/26/97	review process/memo	0.5
12/03/97	conf. Berndt/prep Exprt cklist/TC expert	1.0
12/05/97	TC expeert/prep materials/letter	3.0
01/06/98	TC expert/prep exhibits/letter	1.0
01/16/98	trial prep	5.0
01/20/98	TC ALJ/trial prep.	2.0
01/29/98	file review/prehearing conf.	1.0
02/18/98	trial prep	1.0

02/27/98	case review/prehearing conf	1.0
03/16/98	conf. expert	0.5
03/19/98	trial prep/conf. witness	2.0
03/31/98	prep/ hearing	3.0
04/30/98	review decision/prep bill of costs/transmittal	3.0

TOTAL HOURS 31 # Hours.

Total attorney expense for 31 hours at $41.00 per hour (based upon average salary and benefits for Division of Enforcement attorneys) equals: $ 1,271.00

INVESTIGATOR EXPENSE

Date	Activity	Time Spent
09/23/97	letter for records/ltr victim	1.0
09/23/97	TC BA/memo	0.5
10/03/97	case summary	1.0

TOTAL HOURS 2.5 # Hours.

Total investigator expense for 2.5hours at $20.00 per hour (based upon average salary and benefits for Division of Enforcement investigators) equals: $ 50.00

EXPERT WITNESS FEES

Sharon Kotowski, R.N. $ 473.40

TOTAL ASSESSABLE COSTS $ 1,794.40

James W. Harris, Attorney

Subscribed and sworn to before me this
30th day of April, 1998.

Notary Public

My Commission is permanent.

State of Wisconsin \ DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION & LICENSING

Marlene A. Cummings
Secretary

Tommy G Thompson
Governor

1400 E. WASHINGTON AVENUE
P.O. BOX 8935
MADISON, WISCONSIN 53708-8935
(608) 266-2112

July 21, 1998

DANIEL N. KETCHUM
C/O FOX LAKE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTE
P.O. BOX 147
FOX LAKE WI 53933

RE: In The Matter of Disciplinary Proceedings Against Daniel N. Ketchum, R.N., Respondent, LS9711241NUR, Assessment of Costs

Dear Mr. Ketchum:

On July 9, 1998, the Board of Nursing issued an order involving your license to practice as a registered nurse in the state of Wisconsin. The order requires payment of costs of the proceedings.

Enclosed please find the Affidavits of Costs of the Office of Legal Services and the Division of Enforcement in the above captioned matter. The total amount of the costs of the proceedings is $2,102.10.

Under sec. RL 2.18, Wis. Adm. Code, objections to the affidavits of costs shall be filed in writing. Your objections must be received at the office of the Board of Nursing, Room 174, 1400 East Washington Avenue, P.O. Box 8935, Madison, Wisconsin 53708, on or before August 8, 1998. After reviewing the objections, if any, the Board of Nursing will issue an Order Fixing Costs. Under sec. 440.23, Wis. Stats., the board may not restore or renew a credential until the holder has made payment to the department in the full amount assessed.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pamela A. Haack

Pamela A. Haack
Administrative Assistant
Office of Legal Services

Enclosures

cc: Board of Nursing
Department Monitor

Department of Regulation & Licensing

State of Wisconsin

P.O. Box 8935, Madison, WI 53708-8935
(608)
TTY# (608) 267-2416
TRS# 1-800-947-3529
hearing or speech impaired only

GUIDELINES FOR PAYMENT OF COSTS AND/OR FORFEITURES

On July 9, 1998, the Board of Nursing took disciplinary action against your license. Part of the discipline was an assessment of costs and/or a forfeiture.

The amount of the costs assessed is: $2,102.10 Case #: LS9711241NUR

The amount of the forfeiture is: _____ Case # _____

Please submit a check or a money order in the amount of $2,102.10

The costs and/or forfeitures are due: October 18, 1998

NAME: Daniel N. Ketchum LICENSE NUMBER: 117613

STREET ADDRESS: Fox Lake Correctional Institute, P.O. Box 147

CITY: Fox Lake STATE: WI ZIP CODE: 53933

Check whether the payment is for costs or for a forfeiture or both:

X COSTS _____ FORFEITURE

Check whether the payment is for an individual license or an establishment license:

X INDIVIDUAL _____ ESTABLISHMENT

If a payment plan has been established, the amount due monthly is:

For Receipting Use Only

Make checks payable to:

DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION AND LICENSING
1400 E. WASHINGTON AVE., ROOM 141
P.O. BOX 8935
MADISON, WI 53708-8935

#2145 (Rev. 9/96)
Ch. 440.22, Stats.
G:\BDLS\FM2145.DOC

Committed to Equal Opportunity in Employment and Licensing+