

WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION & LICENSING



Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing Access to the Public Records of the Reports of Decisions

This Reports of Decisions document was retrieved from the Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing website. These records are open to public view under Wisconsin's Open Records law, sections 19.31-19.39 Wisconsin Statutes.

Please read this agreement prior to viewing the Decision:

- The Reports of Decisions is designed to contain copies of all orders issued by credentialing authorities within the Department of Regulation and Licensing from November, 1998 to the present. In addition, many but not all orders for the time period between 1977 and November, 1998 are posted. Not all orders issued by a credentialing authority constitute a formal disciplinary action.
- Reports of Decisions contains information as it exists at a specific point in time in the Department of Regulation and Licensing data base. Because this data base changes constantly, the Department is not responsible for subsequent entries that update, correct or delete data. The Department is not responsible for notifying prior requesters of updates, modifications, corrections or deletions. All users have the responsibility to determine whether information obtained from this site is still accurate, current and complete.
- There may be discrepancies between the online copies and the original document. Original documents should be consulted as the definitive representation of the order's content. Copies of original orders may be obtained by mailing requests to the Department of Regulation and Licensing, PO Box 8935, Madison, WI 53708-8935. The Department charges copying fees. *All requests must cite the case number, the date of the order, and respondent's name as it appears on the order.*
- Reported decisions may have an appeal pending, and discipline may be stayed during the appeal. Information about the current status of a credential issued by the Department of Regulation and Licensing is shown on the Department's Web Site under "License Lookup." The status of an appeal may be found on court access websites at: <http://ccap.courts.state.wi.us/InternetCourtAccess> and <http://www.courts.state.wi.us/wscqa>.
- Records not open to public inspection by statute are not contained on this website.

By viewing this document, you have read the above and agree to the use of the Reports of Decisions subject to the above terms, and that you understand the limitations of this on-line database.

Correcting information on the DRL website: An individual who believes that information on the website is inaccurate may contact the webmaster at web@drl.state.wi.gov

IN THE MATTER OF

DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST

GWEN D. MARTIN, M.D.,

RESPONDENT.

FINAL DECISION AND ORDER

LS9901208MED

97 MED 83

98 MED 236

The parties to this action for the purposes of § 227.53, Wis. Stats., are:

Gwen D. Martin, M.D.
1020 Lakeshore Dr.
Rice Lake, WI 54868

Wisconsin Medical Examining Board
P.O. Box 8935
Madison, WI 53708-8935

Department of Regulation and Licensing
Division of Enforcement
P.O. Box 8935
Madison, WI 53708-8935

The parties in this matter agree to the terms and conditions of the attached Stipulation as the final decision of this matter, subject to the approval of the Board. The Board has reviewed this Stipulation and considers it acceptable.

Accordingly, the Board in this matter adopts the attached Stipulation and makes the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Respondent Gwen D. Martin (dob 9/26/59) is and was at all times relevant to the facts set forth herein a physician and surgeon licensed in the State of Wisconsin pursuant to license #28678, first granted on 7/1/87. Respondent is a surgeon.

97 MED 83

2. On July 31, 1996, at about 12:30 PM, respondent performed a pyloromyotomy upon a neonate, dob 7/6/96, who weighed 4.5 kg. Following the procedure, the patient was transferred to the floor at about 3:25 PM.

3. For postoperative hospital care, respondent prescribed morphine for pain, writing the order as "MSO₄ .5-1 mg IV qH @ hr with .2 mg IV q 1 hr PRN."

4. The morphine was administered at the rate of 0.5mg/hr beginning at about 5:00 PM. At approximately 9:00 PM, respondent checked with the attending nurse, and was informed that the patient was sleeping with reduced respirations of 28.

5. At that time, respondent ordered the morphine rate decreased to 0.2mg/hr.

6. At about 1:55 am on August 1, 1996, the patient was discovered by nursing staff to have no respirations or heartbeat. Attempts to resuscitate the patient were unsuccessful. The cause of the patient's death was morphine overdose.

7. Standard reference works state that the appropriate dose for morphine for a neonate is no more than 50 micrograms per kilogram per hour, or 0.05mg/kg/hr, or 0.225 mg/hr for this patient.

8. By writing the amount of morphine as ".5" instead of "0.5" respondent created the possibility of misreading the intended 1/2

milligram dose as 5 milligrams, which would have an even more substantial overdose. There is no benefit to the method of writing a prescription order used by respondent, and the risk created by failing to use a leading zero was therefore without any medical justification.

9. Since the incident described above, respondent has attended a pain conference offered by June Dahl, Ph.D., of the UW Pharmacy School, and a separate pediatric pain conference at the University of Michigan Medical Center. But for this attendance, the Board would have limited respondent's license to require such continuing medical education. Respondent has substantially improved her approach to prescribing morphine for neonates. At the same time, the hospital where this surgery was performed has ceased doing neonatal surgery, and such patients are referred to other facilities.

98 MED 236

10. On 9/23/96, respondent assisted another surgeon in performing a closure of a sigmoid colostomy using an EEA stapler; the patient was a 60 year old woman who weighed about 200 lbs. Respondent's actions included being responsible for stapling the rectum to the end of the colon.

11. Respondent mistakenly inserted the stapler into the vagina, resulting in the stapling of the intestine to the patient's vagina.

12. The error was discovered several days later and was corrected with a subsequent surgical procedure. Respondent suggests that the error may not have been detected during the 9/23/96 procedure in part because of the patients' thinned rectal-vaginal septum and stenotic vagina.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. The Wisconsin Medical Examining Board has jurisdiction to act in this matter pursuant to §448.02(3), Wis. Stats. and is authorized to enter into the attached Stipulation pursuant to §227.44(5), Wis. Stats.

B. The conduct described in paragraph 3, above, was negligence in treatment within the meaning of § 448.02(3)(b), Wis. Stats., for the reasons set forth in paragraphs 7-8, above. The conduct described in paragraph 5 was negligent in that respondent should have halted all morphine at that time, in response to the decreased respirations, and come in to examine the patient.

C. The conduct described in paragraph 11, above, was negligence in treatment within the meaning of §448.02(3)(b), Wis. Stats.

ORDER

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that the attached Stipulation is accepted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that Gwen D. Martin, M.D., is REPRIMANDED for her unprofessional conduct in these matters.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that respondent shall pay the costs of investigating and prosecuting this matter in the amount of \$1300, within 30 days of this order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that pursuant to §448.02(4), Wis. Stats., if the Board determines that there is probable cause to believe that respondent has violated any term of this Final Decision and Order, the Board may order that the license and registration of respondent be summarily suspended pending investigation of the alleged violation.

Dated this 3/29/99, *nunc pro tunc* January 20, 1999.

WISCONSIN MEDICAL EXAMINING BOARD, by:

Ronald Grossman, A Member of the Board

