

# WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF REGULATION & LICENSING



## Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing Access to the Public Records of the Reports of Decisions

This Reports of Decisions document was retrieved from the Wisconsin Department of Regulation & Licensing website. These records are open to public view under Wisconsin's Open Records law, sections 19.31-19.39 Wisconsin Statutes.

### Please read this agreement prior to viewing the Decision:

- The Reports of Decisions is designed to contain copies of all orders issued by credentialing authorities within the Department of Regulation and Licensing from November, 1998 to the present. In addition, many but not all orders for the time period between 1977 and November, 1998 are posted. Not all orders issued by a credentialing authority constitute a formal disciplinary action.
- Reports of Decisions contains information as it exists at a specific point in time in the Department of Regulation and Licensing data base. Because this data base changes constantly, the Department is not responsible for subsequent entries that update, correct or delete data. The Department is not responsible for notifying prior requesters of updates, modifications, corrections or deletions. All users have the responsibility to determine whether information obtained from this site is still accurate, current and complete.
- There may be discrepancies between the online copies and the original document. Original documents should be consulted as the definitive representation of the order's content. Copies of original orders may be obtained by mailing requests to the Department of Regulation and Licensing, PO Box 8935, Madison, WI 53708-8935. The Department charges copying fees. *All requests must cite the case number, the date of the order, and respondent's name as it appears on the order.*
- Reported decisions may have an appeal pending, and discipline may be stayed during the appeal. Information about the current status of a credential issued by the Department of Regulation and Licensing is shown on the Department's Web Site under "License Lookup." The status of an appeal may be found on court access websites at: <http://ccap.courts.state.wi.us/InternetCourtAccess> and <http://www.courts.state.wi.us/wscqa>.
- Records not open to public inspection by statute are not contained on this website.

**By viewing this document, you have read the above and agree to the use of the Reports of Decisions subject to the above terms, and that you understand the limitations of this on-line database.**

**Correcting information on the DRL website:** An individual who believes that information on the website is inaccurate may contact the webmaster at [web@drl.state.wi.gov](mailto:web@drl.state.wi.gov)

FILE COPY

STATE OF WISCONSIN  
BEFORE THE DENTISTRY EXAMINING BOARD

---

IN THE MATTER OF :  
DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST :  
: FINAL DECISION AND ORDER  
RICHARD J. CLARK, D.D.S., :  
RESPONDENT :

---

The parties to this action for the purpose of Wis. Stats. sec. 227.53 are:

Richard J. Clark, D.D.S.  
1213 N. Richmond Street  
Appleton, Wi. 54911

Dentistry Examining Board  
P.O. Box 8935  
Madison, Wi. 53708-8935

Department of Regulation and Licensing  
Division of Enforcement  
P.O. Box 8935  
Madison, Wi. 53708-8935

The parties in this matter agree to the terms and conditions of the attached Stipulation as the Final Decision of this matter, subject to the approval of the Board. The Board has reviewed this Stipulation and makes the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Richard J. Clark, D.D.S., Respondent herein, is duly licensed to practice dentistry in the State of Wisconsin. His license bears number 0615, was granted August 18, 1950, and will expire September 30, 1991.
2. On June 19, 1989, Respondent implanted a Ramus Frame implant in the mandible of LR.
3. Following the implant, LR developed an infection at the surgical site.

4. LR was dissatisfied with the denture which Respondent provided for use with the Ramus Frame.

5. On August 31, 1989, LR consulted Dr. Lasnoski, who indicated the Ramus Frame was not properly positioned and remained infected.

6. Dr. Lasnoski referred the patient to Dr. Ell Lee who removed the Ramus Frame on September 5, 1989.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Dentistry Examining Board has jurisdiction of this matter and authority to take disciplinary action against the Respondent pursuant to Wis. Stats. sec. 447.07 (3)(g).

2. The Dentistry Examining Board is authorized to enter into the attached Stipulation pursuant to Wis. Stats. sec. 227.44(5).

3. By improperly positioning the Ramus Frame Implant, Respondent engaged in conduct which indicates a lack of knowledge of, an inability to apply or negligent application of, principles or skills of the profession which the holder has a license to practice in violation of Wis. Stats. sec. 447.07 (3)(g) and is subject to discipline.

#### ORDER

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, that the stipulation of the parties is approved.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the dentistry license of Richard J. Clark, D.D.S. be LIMITED prohibiting Dr. Clark from performing any Ramus Frame Implants in the future.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that investigative file number 89 Den 84 is hereby closed.

Dated at Madison, Wisconsin, this 5 day of September, 1990.

DENTISTRY EXAMINING BOARD

by: Ell Lee  
A member of the Board

STATE OF WISCONSIN  
BEFORE THE  
DENTISTRY EXAMINING BOARD

---

IN THE MATTER OF :  
DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST :  
RICHARD J. CLARK, D.D.S., : STIPULATION  
RESPONDENT :

---

It is hereby stipulated and agreed between Richard J. Clark, D.D.S., Respondent and Ruth E. Heike, Attorney for the Department of Regulation and Licensing, Division of Enforcement, as follows:

1. This stipulation is entered into as a result of the pending investigation of Richard J. Clark's Dentistry license by the Division of Enforcement (file number 89 Den 084). Dr. Clark consents to the resolution of this investigation by Stipulation.

2. Dr. Clark understands that by signing this Stipulation he voluntarily and knowingly waives his rights in this matter, including the right to have a formal disciplinary complaint filed, the right to a hearing on the allegations against him at which the State has the burden of proving the allegations by a preponderance of the evidence, the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him, the right to call witnesses on his own behalf and to compel their attendance by subpoena, the right to testify on his own behalf, the right to file objections to any proposed decision, and to present briefs or oral arguments to the officials who are to render the final decision, the right to petition for rehearing, and all other applicable rights afforded to him under the United States Constitution, the Wisconsin Constitution, the Wisconsin Statutes, and the Wisconsin Administrative Code.

3. Dr. Clark understands that this resolution of the matter does constitute disciplinary action against his license and will be published in accordance with the Department of Regulation and Licensing's policy regarding publication of disciplinary actions.

4. The Dentistry Examining Board has jurisdiction of this matter pursuant to Wis. Stats. sec. 447.07.

5. This Stipulation may be submitted directly to the Wisconsin Dentistry Examining Board without further notice to either party.

6. The attached Final Decision and Order may be made and entered without prior notice to any party.

7. In the event any portion of this Stipulation or the attached Final Decision and Order is not accepted by the Wisconsin Dentistry Examining Board, the entire Stipulation and Final Decision and Order shall be void and have no effect.

8. Dr. Clark and Ruth E. Heike, Attorney for the Department of Regulation and Licensing, may appear before the Wisconsin Dentistry Examining Board and argue in favor of acceptance of this Stipulation and the entry and issuance of the attached Final Decision and Order.

9. In order to resolve this case, Dr. Clark agrees to permit the Dentistry Examining Board to limit his license prohibiting Dr. Clark from performing any Ramus Frame Implants at any time in the future.

June 23, 1990  
Date

Richard J. Clark  
Richard J. Clark, D.D.S.

7/2/90  
Date

Ruth E. Heike  
Ruth E. Heike, Attorney  
Department of Regulation and Licensing  
Division of Enforcement

## NOTICE OF APPEAL INFORMATION

(Notice of Rights for Rehearing or Judicial Review,  
the times allowed for each and the identification  
of the party to be named as respondent)

The following notice is served on you as part of the final decision:

### 1. Rehearing.

Any person aggrieved by this order may petition for a rehearing within 20 days of the service of this decision, as provided in section 227.49 of the Wisconsin Statutes, a copy of which is attached. The 20 day period commences the day after personal service or mailing of this decision. (The date of mailing of this decision is shown below.) The petition for rehearing should be filed with the State of Wisconsin Dentistry Examining Board.

A petition for rehearing is not a prerequisite for appeal directly to circuit court through a petition for judicial review.

### 2. Judicial Review.

Any person aggrieved by this decision has a right to petition for judicial review of this decision as provided in section 227.53 of the Wisconsin Statutes, a copy of which is attached. The petition should be filed in circuit court and served upon the State of Wisconsin Dentistry Examining Board.

within 30 days of service of this decision if there has been no petition for rehearing, or within 30 days of service of the order finally disposing of the petition for rehearing, or within 30 days after the final disposition by operation of law of any petition for rehearing.

The 30 day period commences the day after personal service or mailing of the decision or order, or the day after the final disposition by operation of the law of any petition for rehearing. (The date of mailing of this decision is shown below.) A petition for judicial review should be served upon, and name as the respondent, the following: the State of Wisconsin Dentistry Examining Board.

The date of mailing of this decision is September 10, 1990.

WLD:dms  
886-490

**227.49** Petitions for rehearing in contested cases. (1) A petition for rehearing shall not be a prerequisite for appeal or review. Any person aggrieved by a final order may, within 20 days after service of the order, file a written petition for rehearing which shall specify in detail the grounds for the relief sought and supporting authorities. An agency may order a rehearing on its own motion within 20 days after service of a final order. This subsection does not apply to s. 17.025 (3) (e). No agency is required to conduct more than one rehearing based on a petition for rehearing filed under this subsection in any contested case.

(2) The filing of a petition for rehearing shall not suspend or delay the effective date of the order, and the order shall take effect on the date fixed by the agency and shall continue in effect unless the petition is granted or until the order is superseded, modified, or set aside as provided by law.

(3) Rehearing will be granted only on the basis of:

(a) Some material error of law.

(b) Some material error of fact.

(c) The discovery of new evidence sufficiently strong to reverse or modify the order, and which could not have been previously discovered by due diligence.

(4) Copies of petitions for rehearing shall be served on all parties of record. Parties may file replies to the petition.

(5) The agency may order a rehearing or enter an order with reference to the petition without a hearing, and shall dispose of the petition within 30 days after it is filed. If the agency does not enter an order disposing of the petition within the 30-day period, the petition shall be deemed to have been denied as of the expiration of the 30-day period.

(6) Upon granting a rehearing, the agency shall set the matter for further proceedings as soon as practicable. Proceedings upon rehearing shall conform as nearly may be to the proceedings in an original hearing except as the agency may otherwise direct. If in the agency's judgment, after such rehearing it appears that the original decision, order or determination is in any respect unlawful or unreasonable, the agency may reverse, change, modify or suspend the same accordingly. Any decision, order or determination made after such rehearing reversing, changing, modifying or suspending the original determination shall have the same force and effect as an original decision, order or determination.

**227.52** Judicial review; decisions reviewable. Administrative decisions which adversely affect the substantial interests of any person, whether by action or inaction, whether affirmative or negative in form, are subject to review as provided in this chapter, except for the decisions of the department of revenue other than decisions relating to alcohol beverage permits issued under ch. 125, decisions of the department of employe trust funds, the commissioner of banking, the commissioner of credit unions, the commissioner of savings and loan, the board of state canvassers and those decisions of the department of industry, labor and human relations which are subject to review, prior to any judicial review, by the labor and industry review commission, and except as otherwise provided by law.

**227.53** Parties and proceedings for review. (1) Except as otherwise specifically provided by law, any person aggrieved by a decision specified in s. 227.52 shall be entitled to judicial review thereof as provided in this chapter.

(a) Proceedings for review shall be instituted by serving a petition therefor personally or by certified mail upon the agency or one of its officials, and filing the petition in the office of the clerk of the circuit court for the county where the judicial review proceedings are to be held. Unless a rehearing is requested under s. 227.49, petitions for review under this paragraph shall be served and filed within 30 days after the service of the decision of the agency upon all parties under s. 227.48. If a rehearing is requested under s. 227.49, any party desiring judicial review shall serve and file a petition for review within 30 days after service of the order finally

disposing of the application for rehearing, or within 30 days after the final disposition by operation of law of any such application for rehearing. The 30-day period for serving and filing a petition under this paragraph commences on the day after personal service or mailing of the decision by the agency. If the petitioner is a resident, the proceedings shall be held in the circuit court for the county where the petitioner resides, except that if the petitioner is an agency, the proceedings shall be in the circuit court for the county where the respondent resides and except as provided in ss. 77.59 (6) (b), 182.70 (6) and 182.71 (5) (g). The proceedings shall be in the circuit court for Dane county if the petitioner is a nonresident. If all parties stipulate and the court to which the parties desire to transfer the proceedings agrees, the proceedings may be held in the county designated by the parties. If 2 or more petitions for review of the same decision are filed in different counties, the circuit judge for the county in which a petition for review of the decision was first filed shall determine the venue for judicial review of the decision, and shall order transfer or consolidation where appropriate.

(b) The petition shall state the nature of the petitioner's interest, the facts showing that petitioner is a person aggrieved by the decision, and the grounds specified in s. 227.57 upon which petitioner contends that the decision should be reversed or modified. The petition may be amended, by leave of court, though the time for serving the same has expired. The petition shall be entitled in the name of the person serving it as petitioner and the name of the agency whose decision is sought to be reviewed as respondent, except that in petitions for review of decisions of the following agencies, the latter agency specified shall be the named respondent:

1. The tax appeals commission, the department of revenue.

2. The banking review board or the consumer credit review board, the commissioner of banking.

3. The credit union review board, the commissioner of credit unions.

4. The savings and loan review board, the commissioner of savings and loan, except if the petitioner is the commissioner of savings and loan, the prevailing parties before the savings and loan review board shall be the named respondents.

(c) Copies of the petition shall be served, personally or by certified mail, or, when service is timely admitted in writing, by first class mail, not later than 30 days after the institution of the proceeding, upon all parties who appeared before the agency in the proceeding in which the order sought to be reviewed was made.

(d) The agency (except in the case of the tax appeals commission and the banking review board, the consumer credit review board, the credit union review board, and the savings and loan review board) and all parties to the proceeding before it, shall have the right to participate in the proceedings for review. The court may permit other interested persons to intervene. Any person petitioning the court to intervene shall serve a copy of the petition on each party who appeared before the agency and any additional parties to the judicial review at least 5 days prior to the date set for hearing on the petition.

(2) Every person served with the petition for review as provided in this section and who desires to participate in the proceedings for review thereby instituted shall serve upon the petitioner, within 20 days after service of the petition upon such person, a notice of appearance clearly stating the person's position with reference to each material allegation in the petition and to the affirmance, vacation or modification of the order or decision under review. Such notice, other than by the named respondent, shall also be served on the named respondent and the attorney general, and shall be filed, together with proof of required service thereof, with the clerk of the reviewing court within 10 days after such service. Service of all subsequent papers or notices in such proceeding need be made only upon the petitioner and such other persons as have served and filed the notice as provided in this subsection or have been permitted to intervene in said proceeding, as parties thereto, by order of the reviewing court.